Page 1

SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY

AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING

KC WRIGHT ADMINISTRATION CENTER
BOARD ROOM
600 SE 3RD AVENUE
FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

THURSDAY, AUGUST 12TH, 2021 10:49 A.M. - 1:32 P.M.

Court Reporter:
Timothy R. Bass, Stenographic Reporter
Bass Reporting Service, Inc.
633 SE 3rd Avenue, Suite 200
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 2 1 COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: 2 MR. ROBERT MAYERSOHN, CHAIR (Telephonically) MR. ANDREW MEDVIN, VICE CHAIR 3 MR. MOSES BARNES MS. REBECCA DAHL 4 MR. ANTHONY DE MEO MS. MARY FERTIG (Telephonically) 5 DR. NATHALIE LYNCH-WALSH MR. ADAM SABIN 6 MS. PHYLLIS SHAW 7 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF: 8 MR. JORIS JABOUIN, Chief Auditor 9 MS. ALI ARCESE, Manager, Property and Inventory Audits MS. ANN CONWAY, Manager, Internal Funds Audits 10 MS. JENNIFER HARPALANI, Manager, IT Audits MS. MEREDITH ARLOTTA, Manager, Operational Audits 11 MR. ERIC SEIFER, Auditor III MS. RAYSA LUGO, Auditor III 12 MS. MICHELE MARQUARDT, Executive Secretary MS. WANDA RADCLIFF, Clerk Spec B 13 14 DISTRICT STAFF: 15 DR. VICKIE L. CARTWRIGHT, Interim Superintendent of Schools 16 DR. VALERIE WANZA, Chief School Performance & Accountability Officer, Office of School 17 Performance & Accountability DR. DILDRA MARTIN-OGBURN, Director of Benefits & 18 Employment Services, Designee for Oleg Gorokhovsky 19 DANIEL GOHL, Chief Academic Officer DR. JERMAINE FLEMING, Acting Chief Strategy & 20 Operations Officer, Office of the Chief Strategy Operations Officer 21 MR. PHILLIP H. DUNN, Chief Information Officer MR. MAXIMO ROSARIO, Director, Network Integration 22 (Telephonically) MS. MARY COKER, Director, Procurement & Warehousing Services 23

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

2425

	Page 3
1	INVITED GUESTS:
	Roderick HARVEY, CPA HCT DR. FRED HICKS, IT Consultant for HCT
	DAN O'KEEFE, Shareholder, MSL CPAs & Advisors (Telephonically)
	EDDY CASTANEDA, Senior Manager, MSL CPAs & Advisors MR. TIMOTHY BASS, Court Reporter
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:

2

3

4

5

6

7

MR. JABOUIN: Good morning. It is now 10:49 on Thursday, August 17th, and we are ready to begin the first meeting of the Audit Committee of the School Board of Broward County, Florida for school fiscal year 2021-2022.

8

9

My name is Joris Jabouin. I am the chief auditor for the school district. I will serve as Chair Pro Tem for this meeting until a Chair and Vice Chair have been elected.

1112

10

We will now start the meeting by standing up for the Pledge of Allegiance.

14

13

(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

15 16

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

17 18 I would now like to take a roll call for the Audit Committee Members.

19

Mr. Moses Barnes?

20

MR. BARNES: Here.

21

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Rebecca Dahl?

22

MS. DAHL: Here.

23

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Anthony De Meo?

24

MR. DE MEO: Here.

25

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Hagen Disch is excused.

1 Mary Fertig?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MS. FERTIG: Here.

MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Here.

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Robert Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Here.

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Andrew Medvin?

MR. MEDVIN: Here.

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Adam Sabin?

MR. SABIN: Here.

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Phyllis Shaw?

MS. SHAW: Here.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. Please note that Mr. Sabin needs to leave the meeting at 12:30 and that Mr. Mayersohn needs to leave the meeting at 11:25.

I also would like to recognize some of the colleagues in the room. If we can please start with Dr. Valerie Wanza.

DR. WANZA: Good morning. Valerie Wanza,
Chief School Performance & Accountability
Officer.

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Bass?

MR. BASS: Tim Bass, Court Reporter.

MS. CONWAY: Ann Conway, Office of the Chief

1 Auditor.

MS. ARCESE: Ali Arcese, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MS. ARLOTTA: Meredith Arlotta, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MS. MARQUARDT: Michele Marquardt, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MR. JABOUIN: And Dr. Ogburn?

DR. MARTIN-OGBURN: Good morning. Dr. Dildra
Martin-Ogburn, Director of Benefits & Employment
Services representing our Chief Financial
Officer.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

We will now proceed to Agenda Item Number 3, the Approval of the Agenda.

Are there any questions with respect to the agenda?

Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. So we have two big things on here, the HCT Report part 2 or 3, we have with the Behavioral Threat Assessment, which I don't see us being able to get through in this meeting. So, once again, we have timing issues, especially if we're hearing that people have to leave at 11 and 12. I cleared my calendar until

at least 2 once I saw the Behavioral Threat Assessment on it.

MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, with respect to your point, I'm just going to discuss some of the matters that have come up from different Audit Committee members with respect to the timing of the meetings and some of the constraints that they have.

I would suggest that we proceed with the agenda as best as we can and to be able to answer as many questions as best as we can and we'll have a Chair at the middle part of the meeting and then we can decide on that part; if that's acceptable to you, Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

MS. FERTIG: Can I make a quick comment on that?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, please. Ms. Fertig?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's

point, I -- sometimes we get several big things
on the same agenda and then we get, you know,

five minutes into a really critical thing and
then by the time we come back the next month or

whenever, a special meeting or whatever, you've

lost really some of your thought process on the

first one. So if we see we're really going to

run over, if one of these two big ones could be held for a special meeting? I would just like to discuss them all at one time. And I don't know if anybody else feels that way, but I feel like it gets very fragmented when we keep breaking it up over multiple meetings.

MS. SHAW: I agree.

MS. DAHL: I agree.

MR. JABOUIN: So maybe when we get to those points we can evaluate how much time is left and then we can determine if it's not worthy of starting and start it at a future meeting.

With respect to the agenda, there are -- from a time sensitive standpoint, it is important that Item Number 14, the Policy 3100 proceed, as well as -- as well as the MSL Required Communications to the Board.

With respect to the HCT report, we really need to get that one to conclude as well at this meeting.

So with the remaining ones, we probably should not start those and we should evaluate the time when it's time to start them.

Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: I would like to recommend that we

make some changes to the agenda before adoption.

Move Item Number 12 -- move Item Number 11 to

Number 10, Item Number 12 to 13, Item Number 14

next. This way the items that are necessary can
be completed, and in the space and time those

items that are left will be determined at those

moments.

And I do agree we probably should not start the discussion with an item unless we're able to complete it.

In addition, I believe we have spent a lot of time wording and we probably need to really spend less time -- I don't think things need to be repeated.

MR. JABOUIN: So, Ms. Shaw, you're suggesting that Item Number 10 be pushed down to after Number 14?

MS. SHAW: Correct.

MR. JABOUIN: Item Number 10, since there's no exceptions, I imagine will not take much time, but we'll proceed with that as Dr. Wanza will represent the Superintendent for the entire meeting?

DR. WANZA: Oh, I am?

MR. JABOUIN: If that's acceptable to you to

represent -- you may have some constraints?
Sorry.

DR. WANZA: Okay. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JABOUIN: So we will move Item Number 10 to follow Item Number 14.

And Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, to that point, where is the new interim superintendent? This is the first Audit Committee Meeting of the year and nobody made sure she was slated to be here?

MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, I did communicate the meeting with Interim Superintendent Dr. Cartwright. She will -- her office has communicated that she will attend for a portion of the meeting. So she is aware of the meeting and she will try to attend.

Any more questions on the agenda?
(No response.)

MS. SHAW: Hearing none, then I will recommend we adopt the meeting agenda with the changes.

MR. JABOUIN: So moved by Ms. Shaw.

May I please have a second?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Second.

MR. JABOUIN: By Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

Just say aye if the members agree.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

All right. So with respect to Agenda Item
Number 5, the Chief Auditor Administrative Items,
the Acknowledgement for School Board Advisory
Committee Members Responsibility Form needs to be
completed for fiscal year 2022. We have the
forms here for your signature. We've left them
behind. We will email them to the members that
are not at the meeting physically, Mr. Mayersohn,
Ms. Fertig and Ms. Disch. You'll receive them by
email. I would please like to have them back by
September 15th, so that I can make an
announcement at the September 30th Audit
Committee Meeting.

And then with respect to the district's annual training program for school board established advisory committees, we have left a pack also in your seats and we will send a link out tomorrow. If you don't receive it, please, let us know. And we also would like to have the members complete this by September 15th as well.

And also we would like to thank and acknowledge Ms. Stephanie Shim for her service to

the audit committee and the school district over the past year. Ms. Shim was Ms. Ann Murray's representative on the audit committee. We will connect with her in order to have her come back and formally acknowledge her service. And in her absence today I do want to thank her for her service.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then with respect to the audit committee timeframes, we do have them on the agenda that was approved. The timeframes are as a guide. They are not required but we do provide them to district staff who attend a variety of other meetings so that they can be here on certain times. And we provide them to the consultants that also attend the meeting as well. value the audit committee members' time and we want to be respectful of it. We realize that the audit committee members have outside responsibilities and you're leaving those to come here in the middle of your day to dedicate to the audit committee and the school district as volunteers.

I have in the past spoken to audit committee members and former audit committee members that I've asked about the length of the meeting and

challenges it represents. I will brainstorm with the new Chair and to potentially bring the audit committee meeting subjects and topics and timing at a future meeting so that the committee members can deliberate amongst themselves on the best path to go forward. But we -- we are not unlimited in time, so it is important to recognize and thank the committee members for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With respect to the audit committee's motion on the chief auditor's reporting line at the June 17th audit committee meeting there was a motion This is still in process. for that. We are still working on scheduling a meeting with the school board chair, Dr. Rosalind Osgood and the audit committee chair. I have met with Dr. Osgood twice on this matter as recently as yesterday. And now it's just a matter of setting up that particular meeting. I've also met with Interim Superintendent Dr. Vickie L. Cartwright on Monday regarding this. So at this point it is a matter of scheduling.

And then with respect to your parking passes as audit committee members, they are pending. We are working with the department to process them

to finish them up. You can still use your current parking passes until we contact you, unless you don't have a parking pass and we'll go ahead and Michele will be able to get that to you.

And that will conclude the Chief Auditor's Administrative Matters.

We have received no public comments?

MS. MARQUARDT: No.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. There are no public comments to date and I do see Ms. Shaw has a question.

MS. SHAW: I have an issue with one public comment. And part of it is, if we're going to allow the public to speak after each item, then I'm fine. But if we're not allowing the public to speak after each item we need to have another public comments at some point later.

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so Ms. Shaw, in previous discussions I had maybe about a year ago on this, it was with Mr. Mayersohn a while back, so the first thing I would do is seek to find out if there is a public speaker. Once the public speaker identifies the topic they're going to be speaking on, if it's an agenda item, we will go

ahead and bring that speaker in before that agenda item is presented, and then that will then allow the audit committee members to hear what the public comment is and be able to address that during that piece.

So it really comes down to whether or not we receive one. So at this point it's a placeholder on the agenda for us to know if we have any public comments and then to just go ahead and put it in the right spot. So there will be an opportunity for that.

And Dr. Lynch-Walsh, you have a question as well?

MS. SHAW: May I finish?

MR. JABOUIN: Oh, I'm sorry, Ms. Shaw.

MS. SHAW: Florida statute does require there be a public comment, number one.

Number two, the mere fact that the public is looking at this and seeing public comments before the item, their thought process may be that they don't get an opportunity to speak either after each item or later on in the agenda.

I would hate to take away from someone wanting to speak because the agenda has public comments prior to all of the agenda items.

Something to consider, something to discuss with attorneys. But I believe that either we need to have some kind of disclosure that they're able to speak after each item or the public comments -- either we have two public comments or something below for them to speak.

MR. JABOUIN: I could put a dot right after each item, agenda item, and the first dot can be the public comments and then that way the public will be aware that there is a dot there. And I would remove Item Number 5 in this current agenda and then each of the areas would then have a public comment. So that will also put a placeholder to the Chair as to that's naturally next, if that's acceptable to you Ms. Shaw. Is that reasonable?

MS. SHAW: That's fine. But if someone who is not speaking about a particular agenda, they may not have an opportunity if you take away the public comment agenda item.

MR. JABOUIN: Oh, so maybe we can leave them both. Because they could have a subject that is not on the agenda. Okay. Thank you.

Was that it, Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: Yes.

_ `

item on the agenda, then you wouldn't need additional bullets after each thing.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Thank you.

there could also be a note like there is on the

MR. JABOUIN:

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

Okay. Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

Related to that,

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And, yes, we did have a discussion and that was exactly what we wanted to see is that the pubic have the opportunity to speak after each item if they were here to speak to a particular item.

But you mentioned them being brought in.

This is a public meeting. So they can't be prohibited from observing the meeting prior to them providing input.

I don't know if you just misspoke, but this isn't broadcast on BECON to the best of my knowledge, so there's no other opportunity for them to observe the meeting other than being in here.

MR. JABOUIN: So we can work with BECON to have it broadcast to the outside areas as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, either they come in -- I, mean, there's room unless you have 50

people, but it either gets broadcast outside of this room, period, meaning not just in the building, because that's another point of contention, which is why I usually have to patch people in on Teams, is this meeting isn't broadcast for the public. Most of the public doesn't even know there is such a thing as an audit committee meeting.

MR. JABOUIN: We'll consider that and I appreciate your point about making the note. Either some combination of what you stated or what Ms. Shaw stated, we can proceed with.

Any other questions?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We're still approving or did we approve?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, we're currently on Agenda

Item Number 5, Public Comments.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: My comment is about something else.

MR. JABOUIN: So we are now ready to proceed to Agenda Item Number 6, the Nominating Committee Report.

For Agenda Item Number 6 I'd please ask the Nominating Committee Chair, Ms. Phyllis Shaw, to present the report of the Nominating Committee.

MS. SHAW: Good morning everyone. The

Nominating Committee met and we -- we are

continuing with the current members Chair and

Vice Chair. We elected and voted on Mr.

Mayersohn to continue as Chair and Mr. Medvin to

continue as Vice Chair. That is the

recommendation from the Audit Committee -- from

the Nominating Committee, I apologize.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you, Ms. Shaw.

We now move to Agenda Item Number 7, the election of the Chair and the Vice Chair.

The Nominating Committee has nominated Mr. Robert Mayersohn to serve as Chair for school year 2022 and Mr. Andrew Medvin as Vice Chair.

I would also like to ask if there are any nominations from the floor?

(No response.)

MR. JABOUIN: I note no nominations from the floor. And so we'll proceed to a vote.

All in favor for Mr. Robert Mayersohn to serve as Chair, please say aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. JABOUIN: I notice a unanimous vote. I hear no nays from the individuals that have indicated that they are present, so it's a

unanimous vote for Mr. Robert Mayersohn to serve as Chair.

All in favor for Mr. Andrew Medvin to serve as Vice Chair, please, say aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. JABOUIN: I also note a unanimous vote as I heard no nays.

I congratulate Mr. Robert Mayersohn to serve as Chair and Mr. Andrew Medvin to serve as Vice Chair.

Mr. Mayersohn, you've indicated that you will be with us until 11:25. I would like to virtually send you the gavel to the meeting and you may choose to designate Mr. Medvin or yourself until you depart the meeting.

Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes. First of all, again, I'm humbled and grateful to again serve as Chair for the 2021-2022 school year. I thank the audit committee for your support and confidence and look forward to serving again.

At this time I would like to pass the gavel to Mr. Medvin. I think him being at the meeting will obviously expedite and move things forward.

So, Mr. Medvin, congratulations to you as

1 well and it's all yours.

MR. MEDVIN: I would just like to thank the committee for your vote of confidence.

I see we have several individuals who have arrived since we did roll call. Can you please identify yourself for the record?

MR. GOHL: Good morning Audit Committee.

Thank you, through the Vice Chair, through the Chair and our Chief Auditor. My name is Dan Gohl. I serve as Chief Academic Officer for Broward County Public Schools.

MR. FLEMING: Good morning, Group Chair. My name is Jermaine Flemming. I'm the Acting Chief Strategy & Operations officer.

MR. MEDVIN: Thank you.

Next item on the agenda is Approval of the Minutes from the meeting of June 17th. Do we have any comments regarding the minutes?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On the minutes? No, I'd just like to thank Mr. Bass for -- it is Bass; right? An excellent job, as always. Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. No comments.

Is there a motion for approval?

MS. SHAW: Motion to approve the minutes, Phyllis Shaw.

1 MR. MEDVIN: Second?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SABIN: I second. This is Adam Sabin.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay.

All in favor signify by saying aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: The minutes have been approved.

Item 10, are we doing a report on --

MR. JABOUIN: So we are quickly checking to see. We had determined to move Item Number 10 down. We're quickly checking to see if the consultants are here. We had told them to be here at 11:30 for the HCT report.

So, Mr. Medvin, we -- and Ms. Shaw, I think you're the one who made the motion for that, I would recommend that we move Number 10 back if we don't get a confirmation HCT is here, but we're just going to pause a moment.

MS. SHAW: Does MSL need to be here?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So we do have outsider presence for Numbers 12 and 13, but we may be able to move to Item Number 14 if they're not here.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Internal funds, were there any exceptions?

MR. JABOUIN: I would recommend to the

committee that we do move back to Number 10 as we don't have the members of HCT here yet, both members here, if that's appropriate Ms. Shaw.

MS. SHAW: Motion to transmit the Internal Funds Audit. I'm looking for a second.

MR. JABOUIN: It was Ms. Shaw that made the motion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I second it.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. I presume everybody has looked at this report. It's a good report, as usual. If there is no other comments let's vote on the motion to transmit to the board.

All in favor signify by saying aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

MS. DAHL: I'm not opposing, I have a comment.

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Dahl?

MS. DAHL: I just want to say again to Dr. Wanza what a great job they're doing with these reports from the school and departments. I remember as a principal I used to fear these kinds of things and I'm hearing from my friends that that is no longer the case. So thank you, Dr. Wanza for making the process better for

principals and Mr. Jabouin for your department also making it better for principals and departments. You all are doing a great job. Thank you so very much from people that have done it in the past and are still doing it.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

And if I can mention to the committee -- I'm sorry, was a vote taken?

MR. MEDVIN: Yes.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. If we can for Items 11, 12 and 13, if we can proceed to Item Number 14 at this time.

And, Mr. Mayersohn, are you still on the phone?

MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm still here.

MR. JABOUIN: I just wanted to comment on what a better job Mr. Medvin has done over you because we are actually over schedule. I just wanted to mention that.

Thank you. With respect to Agenda Item

Number 14, this was discussed at the June 17th

Audit Committee Meetings. This is an update to

School Board Policy 3100, Annual Financial Audit.

As discussed on June 17th, this is the policy

where the district's certified public accounting

firm, who is currently MSL, was chosen. Policy 3100 was impacted by Florida Statute 218.391. Now the original plan was to present the update to Policy 3100 at the June 17th Audit Committee Meeting, then present it at the School Board Workshop on July 27th, then go over the Policy 3100 update after this meeting on August 11th. However, we were unable to have the workshop on July 27th and the agenda item for Policy 3100 is now rescheduled for the August 24th workshop.

As I discussed on June 17th, we would like to proceed with the request for proposal after the school board approves the update to Policy 3100.

I am able to have the rule development workshop on August 24th with board approval and adoption on September 14th, if the board chooses to proceed like that.

This would allow me to start the RFP process shortly thereafter September 14th, hopefully, on by September 15, where I would have a 30-day window. This would allow me to also convene the audit selection committee two weeks after that and then if a firm is selected proceed with negotiation and contract development and then ultimately present it for approval to the board.

This is a very aggressive timeframe, that hopefully there are no challenges that, you know, impact this timeframe.

So the update to Policy 3100 was impacted by Statute 218.391. Your package has the current Policy 3100, the proposed update to Policy 3100, and we also have the redline change to Policy 3100 that has addressed your comments.

If you go to the redline document, we'll proceed in the essence of time since this was discussed, to paragraph number 2. Now, this was impacted by paragraphs B, 2B of the statute where it specifically calls for the -- for the school -- for the governing board member to serve as the chair of the committee and there shall be at least three people. So the third dot -- I'm sorry, the first dot of paragraph 2, the new paragraph 2, is where that is addressed.

And then the other two dots address -- the last dot addresses the comments from the last meeting. Thank you, Ms. Dahl, for reaching out to me during my process of updating. I had missed that update, so thank you very much for doing that.

MS. DAHL: You're welcome.

MR. JABOUIN: So the first dot is very significant. So we would have five members of this audit selection committee, which would be the chair, the school board member that'll serve as a chair, and you have the audit committee chair as number 2. The three other audit committee members would be numbers -- would lead us to number five. So that obviously is a significant point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then a very significant point, as well, is paragraph number 4. Now, the language that has been discussed in the audit committee meeting has been put in. It will allow the district to have some flexibility in the selection of the It will call for the approval that was requested by both the audit committee and the board when those times come. So it is one that hopefully the board will proceed with. know that the landscape for these type of audits of school districts and some of the challenges that they present have yielded in our recent searches and the searches of other districts three firms that do this type of work, our current auditor, MSL, RSM who does work for us and then Cherry Bekaert also does that work.

So going into the next contract bidding without such a change the district and the audit committee and the audit selection committee would just have two firms. And this gives the selection committee the opportunity to have at least the firm that currently does the work for consideration. And given some of the challenges in the district, the fact that we do have an interim chief financial officer, this may be something that the audit selection committee may consider.

We did check the language in other districts to see if they had such restrictions and we did not find any. We checked with Dade, Orange Volusia, Osceola, Polk, Pasco, Marion, Manatee, Duval, and none of them have that language, the restrictive language, that used to be there that was stricken out.

So in closure to my introduction, given the timeframes that I discussed I would like at the conclusion of this discussion to know who those three members of the audit selection committee would be as I would need to gather -- I would need to set that up extremely quickly. So I would like to have the three members that would

serve on the audit selection committee. And then for scheduling reasons in case we have quorum and difficulty in scheduling, because, don't forget, we have to also schedule a board member, if the committee can select an alternate that we can also use.

So that is my introductory comments on this.

MS. FERTIG: Can I just make a motion of three people so we can move on and vote on it?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Please, if you can suggest three people.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Is that all right, Mr. Medvin?

MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry, Ms. Fertig?

MS. FERTIG: I was asking Mr. Medvin if it was okay if I could just nominate three people and an alternate.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Let's do it.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. So I'm gonna nominate Mr. Medvin, Mr. De Meo -- I'm not there, so I can't see who's in the room. Mr. Medvin, Mr. De Meo, Ms. Shaw and Dr. Lynch-Walsh as the alternate.

MR. JABOUIN: Any comments on --

MR. MAYERSOHN: I accept it.

MR. MEDVIN: Do you accept, Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: Yes, but I do have a comment. 1 2 MR. MEDVIN: Mr. De Meo? 3 MR. DE MEO: Yes, I accept. 4 MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Walsh, do you accept, as 5 alternate? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 6 I just want to -- as 7 alternate? Sure. 8 MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Thank you. 9 Ms. Shaw? 10 MS. SHAW: Phyllis Shaw, so in terms of the selection, we have the chair of the audit 11 12 selection committee, and there is now a designee 13 -- or a designee added to that, number one, and 14 if that person is not available the vice chair 15 would be the one who sits in that person's seat? MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, it looks like the current 16 17 vice chair -- so the person that has that first 18 seat is Mr. Mayersohn. 19 MS. SHAW: Correct. 20 MR. JABOUIN: And his default is actually on 21 the selection committee, which is Mr. Medvin. 22 MS. SHAW: Right. So that would be the

MS. FERTIG: Which would automatically, then

Changes to the policy?

suggestion and changes I would make.

MR. JABOUIN:

23

24

25

1

we would have an alternate take that position.

2

be the designee -- the designee can be the

Ms. Shaw, the alternate can

But you may need more than two.

Well, your chair would have an

MR. MAYERSOHN:

MS. SHAW:

3

4 alternate.

Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW:

and the vice chair.

5

6 7

not available the vice chair would sit. So it

So what I'm saying is, in case Mr. Mayersohn is

8

would be committee vice chair, chair or designee,

9

which would automatically mean Mr. Medvin. And

10

then in terms of the three positions, I would

11

recommend that we include either Mr. Sabin or Dr.

12

Lynch-Walsh either as an additional of the three

13

members and one be the -- the alternate.

14

MR. JABOUIN: So we'd have two alternates,

alternate or a designee. So if your chair is not

available it would be your vice chair versus your

then there are three members outside of the chair

vice chair sitting on the audit committee.

those two would fill the chair's position and

15

16

Τ (

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think that where people might -- I get where you're -- I get what you're

saying, but then that potentially, if the chair

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

is available, that leaves potentially Mr. Medvin not being on the auditor selection committee.

MS. FERTIG: And because the chair has the ability to appoint a designee, I feel like we are maybe more than covered.

MR. DE MEO: I agree.

MS. FERTIG: I know. I can see that we want to be able to move quickly on this so --

MR. JABOUIN: And just to mention to the committee, we still can run those meetings with quorum. This just gives me a buffer. Because scheduling meetings is extremely challenging, particularly involving a board member and so forth. So it gives me additional latitude. We still have quorum in case a member of the audit selection committee is not there for the audit selection meeting.

So, Ms. Shaw, I think we may be covered.

MS. SHAW: Okay. So I would like chief of the audit selection -- chair of the audit or designee added to the first sentence.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What?

MS. SHAW: Or a designee.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It does say or their designee.

Which version do you have?

MS. SHAW: I don't know.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I know there were two.

And, actually, I'm about to suggest another change. But not to that. So it says the first bullet -- so the second bullet, so it's the chair -- wait a minute. The chair of the audit selection committee, which that's a term we've got to discuss. So the chair of the school board by state statute is the chair of the auditor selection committee?

MR. JABOUIN: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The person that knows the least -- okay.

MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, yes, that is correct. The chair -- the statute calls for the governing board member to serve as the chair, so that's the first dot there.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm checking that right now.

MR. JABOUIN: Sure. We can proceed while you check that.

MS. SHAW: Never mind.

MR. MEDVIN: I have a comment about the school board. It's bothered me every time I've

read this. I mean, one of the major tenets of auditing is for an auditor is independence. And our external auditor is auditing the school board and the school system. And I'm just questioning, is this a true -- is that a possible black mark against independence that the school board member who is being audited is on the committee? And that's --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And worse, the chair.

MR. MEDVIN: Hmm?

DR. LYNCH WALSH: And worse the chair.

MR. MEDVIN: Yeah, I mean, what does the law say; have you found it?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I did; yes. Yes, so 218.391 2(b) does, in fact, say that one member of the auditor selection committee must be a member of the governing body of an entity specified in this paragraph who shall serve as the chair of the committee. I don't know why -- unless they're looking for accountability, I can't understand why you would put the person least familiar with audits as a construct as the chair of an auditor selection committee unless it's for accountability purposes. So they say they didn't know who they were selecting? I

1 don't know.

MR. MEDVIN: But, again, that question of independence is on my mind.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I agree with you completely. But this is what the statute says so we've got to deal with the statute as it is for now.

MR. JABOUIN: As we know -- you know, your concern, Mr. Medvin, is shared because there is -- the school board has an ethics policy that is not in line with that and that is something that -- that legal has deemed that the state law would be over --

MS. FERTIG: Can I just suggest in the interest of time that we let the attorney figure out that portion of it and that we move ahead with the motion to appoint these members so we're ready to go if the board adopts the policy?

And however they change the policy they're probably not going to change that we have three other audit committee members.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ JABOUIN: Has the committee voted on the --

MR. MEDVIN: No, we haven't voted.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I'm having trouble

hearing. So you've already voted on the three other audit committee members and an alternate?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: Can I hear a motion to name those?

MR. SABIN: So moved, Adam Sabin.

MS. SHAW: The motion was already done. All we need to do is take a vote right now. My apologies for interrupting.

MR. MEDVIN: So the motion is to accept --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Jabouin?

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, I'm going to have to cut off now. So I leave it in Mr. Medvin's hands. I'm sure he'll be keeping us on track.

MR. MEDVIN: All right. So the motion is to appoint Mr. De Meo, myself, and Ms. Shaw as members of the auditor selection committee and Dr. Walsh as the alternate.

All in favor please signify by saying aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Medvin?

MR. MEDVIN: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have a question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry to interrupt, can you identify who seconded that motion originally? We do have Ms. Fertig made it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I believe I did.

MS. SHAW: Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, I have a question about the policy itself as I'm going from statute to policy, because we -- it was such a labor of love to get the members of the selection committee in place and then additional language, but the purpose of this policy -- I like consistency between state statute and board policy. Because we jump into this thing called the annual financial audit selection committee, but this policy just says there shall be a financial audit of the board's financial records at the completion of each fiscal year. The audit shall be conducted by an independent certified public accountant. The state statute says each local governmental entity, district school board and so on and so forth, prior to entering into a written contract pursuant to subsection 7 except

as provided in subsection 8 shall use auditor selection procedures when selecting an auditor to conduct the annual financial audit required in state statute 218.391. The governing body of a county, municipality, district school board, blah-blah, shall establish an auditor selection committee. That should be the name of the committee, an auditor selection committee.

And then it gets into -- because it doesn't say in this that the school board shall establish an auditor selection committee. It's sort of like we're establishing who's on a committee that this policy doesn't dictate has to be established. It establishes that they have to do an annual audit, but not who's going to select the auditor. Yes, we have with the membership down here.

It's just based on my experience with this district I like to narrowly construe the wording on these things because it is everywhere, I've lost track of how many times I've underlined the phrase "auditor selection committee". That's what's being mentioned in the state statute. And we have an annual financial audit selection committee, which almost sounds like we're

selecting the actual -- the annual audit as opposed to the auditor. So -- and it's because of the selection of words, annual financial audit selection committee, as opposed to auditor selection committee. Not that I'm trying to throw it back again, but there should also be a sentence after this first sentence in the Policy 3100, the school board shall establish an auditor selection committee. It doesn't actually state that that's one of the purposes of this policy. It might have in the old version.

MR. MEDVIN: Well, if that's the case then we're out of our bounds.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Pardon?

MR. MEDVIN: The school board would be doing this according to what you are saying. The school board should be doing this committee.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, no. What I'm saying is -- well, we stand in the shoes of the school board. The auditor selection committee stands in the school board's shoes.

What I'm saying is, there's a sentence missing from here. There is, the audit shall be conducted by an independent certified public accountant but there's a sentence missing that

says, the school board shall establish an auditor selection committee per this state statute.

The state statute is very clear that they shall establish an auditor selection committee. This policy, whether -- it doesn't establish the committee. It doesn't dictate that the school board shall establish a committee. It just says that this other named committee shall consist of the following people. It's very -- it's messy.

MR. DE MEO: Mr. Chair, number 2 in the markup, I think we could cure that, I think Dr. Walsh is onto something. The annual financial audit selection committee will be established with the purpose of engaging a certified public accountant and shall consist of the following persons. I think if we added language like that we accomplish both clarification and the identity of the committee.

Does that make any sense?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, would you substitute auditor selection committee?

MR. DE MEO: No, that's the term right out of the statute, so I would --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. The auditor selection committee is the term right out of the

1 statute. You're saying --

MR. MEDVIN: Financial audit section, you're saying to --

MR. DE MEO: Number 2 I would say, the annual financial audit selection committee shall be established for the purpose of engaging. So I would add the words, the purpose of engaging -- and shall be established and then for the purpose of engaging. That way you establish the committee and you identify its purpose.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And that -- that helps, but it doesn't --

MS. SHAW: Let me throw in one thing. So I think we should take the words out of the statute, the auditor selection committee, and continue from that stance versus in here we can include as outlined by Florida Statute 391, et cetera, et cetera, and then list all the persons. Because you have to include the auditor selection committee, which is what --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Which is the name of the committee and the purpose. This annual financial audit selection committee is the made-up phrase, some -- you know, I hadn't really paid attention to it before because we had bigger fish to fry

last time. But as I'm sitting here comparing it to the state statute, it's not clear that that is the same committee that the statute refers to, and it should be.

MR. DE MEO: Well, I think the phrase that funnels into, in accordance with Florida Statute 391 makes it very clear that you're incorporating by reference that committee and the statute.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But why not just be as clear as day and say the auditor selection committee instead of the annual financial audit selection committee? Because it's not even saying annual financial auditor selection committee. I realize I'm more blunt and less diplomatic, but I'm literally looking at the state statute here.

This is about making it clear.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I think that's a good change, Mr. Chair. So you can say the auditor selection committee --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MR. DE MEO: -- shall be established for the purpose of engaging a certified public accountant who shall -- which shall consist of the following persons in accordance with FS 218.391. I think

that says it all.

MS. SHAW: Could you change it to the chair of the auditor selection committee?

MR. DE MEO: Right. Right. I think that's the key word is auditor; yeah.

MR. JABOUIN: We can make an assertion in place, everywhere it's called the annual financial selection committee will now be called the auditor selection committee. And that first sentence and the paragraph 2 will state the auditor selection committee shall be established for the purpose of engaging the certified public accountant.

MR. DE MEO: And shall consist.

MR. JABOUIN: And shall consist of the following persons in accordance with Florida Statute 218.391.

MR. DE MEO: While we're on the subject, item 4 of the marked up version, we kicked around and we got a little clumsy with that phrase, without a renewal -- without a renewed approval.

As I read that, the renewed part, Mr. Chair, throws another dimension or dynamic to me. I don't know what it means. I think all -- it's not a renewed approval. You have an approval the

first time, you have a brand new approval maybe the second time. Second time might be for a year or two years or five years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think if we get rid of that word "renewed" we have what we need.

MR. MEDVIN: Well, I think the policy has to be very clear about a successive auditor related to five years. I was on this committee probably ten years ago and we had multiple meetings scheduled where several different firms were presenting and making presentations. One of the firms was the current auditor and somebody at the last minute pointed out somewhere in the old school board policy that they could not succeed themselves and we had to, basically, ask them to leave at that time, which was rather awkward and they were probably best suited for the job and they had quite a presentation prepared. So I think that the policy has to be very clear that the committee can appoint the same auditor to succeed themselves. And if that's an issue, then we've got to be aware of it.

MR. DE MEO: So it reads more than five consecutive years without approval. I don't think you need to say a renewed -- I just think

you need to say without approval. In other words, the auditor selection committee must approve.

MR. MEDVIN: But the question, can the auditor succeed themselves, which has been contrary to policy for years, I think really has to be resolved.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I think we found last meeting that they could serve more than five years.

MR. JABOUIN: If I could please ask -- sorry to interrupt. We do have in the meeting, she'll announce herself, is the Interim Superintendent, Dr. Vickie L. Cartwright, has entered the meeting.

And, Dr. Cartwright, if you'd like to say a few words?

SUPERINTENDENT CARTWRIGHT: I greatly appreciate that. Thank you. And my apologies for interrupting. I just wanted to take a moment just to come down and at least introduce myself to all of you and say that I greatly appreciate the work that you are doing right now.

I plan on coming in and dropping in and being able to participate alongside you as we go

forward, not at every meeting, but as much as possible when my calendar will allow.

I'm sure all of you are fully aware of the decision that's been made this week and a lot of the impact that it's had, and so I greatly appreciate your understanding as to why this morning I'm not able to join you for the meeting for the full time.

But I did want to -- I did want to come down and just, again, express my gratitude and to explain -- again, my gratitude and explanation of why I'm not able to attend the entire meeting. So thank you very much.

MR. MEDVIN: Please don't be a stranger to our meetings.

SUPERINTENDENT CARTWRIGHT: Thank you.

MS. DAHL: You need to be here as much as possible.

SUPERINTENDENT CARTWRIGHT: Absolutely. And, again, I wanted -- I made it a point to come down just to --

MS. DAHL: No, I understand that. I'm Rebecca Dahl, part of the audit committee.

SUPERINTENDENT CARTWRIGHT: Nice to meet you.

MS. DAHL: Nice to meet you, too.

MR. JABOUIN: For the purpose of Dr.

Cartwright, would the committee members care to identify themselves so she can match your names to your faces?

MS. SHAW: Phyllis Shaw.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Nathalie Lynch-Walsh.

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Barnes?

MR. BARNES: Moses Barnes.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Dahl you already have.

I'm Andrew Medvin.

MR. SABIN: Hi, nice to meet you. My name is Adam Sabin.

MR. DE MEO: And I'm Anthony De Meo, unless that says something different (indicating).

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. DE MEO: So I'd like to continue. You've raised a good point. I think we discussed this last time, independence is important. Public companies, as we know, rotate their partners after five years and they can't participate in the audit for a couple of years. But even public companies have auditors that serve 10, 15 20 years.

Is that advisable? A lot of people question whether that is advisable. But that is a rule

right now. In terms of government auditors, there's a very limited number of firms that can deliver locally to Broward County. And that is a consideration.

And other considerations that should be considered in the decision is, you know, independence is important, but when you have a change in chief financial officer, a lot of change in administration, sometimes it's good to retain the current auditor at least on an extension basis.

So, to summarize, I don't think we've found anything in the statutes or school board policy or state policy that prohibits a term of more than five years. But I do agree in an ideal world it would be nice to change auditors every three or four or five years. I don't know that that is practical.

MR. SABIN: Mr. Chair, I just have a question, slash, comment. I know that public companies in order to retain the same auditor they'll just rotate audit partners. And I believe at the last meeting we did have a brief discussion about that. Is that something that we could add to the language of this new policy?

That way if there's a firm that we like but we want to maintain some sort of independence we just have them rotate partners?

MR. MEDVIN: Well, I think practicality is that this committee should probably recommend that we maintain the current auditor. I think they've done a great job, and also because of the circumstances they -- they know what's been going on and they have a very good knowledge of that. And that has always been my personal understanding after what happened 10 years ago, that the five years was a policy that the school board kind of cast, themselves. I don't know if that policy has actually been modified.

Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: So, first of all, I work for a local government and the contract that was just approved for audit was seven years. That's number one. So I would like to end this statement at school board. Because the school board and us may at some point determine that a contract -- remember, it's a contract, that a contract may go beyond a three-year five-year, well, I don't think seven years. However, I think it's common practice that they rotate their

managers of the audit. It's common practice in the industry. I don't think it's something that we need to actually put in there. We're kind of wordsmithing this and we have quite a bit of agenda to do, but if we need to clean this up, just cut it at school board. Because the contract may determine, the RFP or RFQ may determine the length of years, the length of time that the contract goes for.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So we usually agree, but I actually agree right now because I have too much -- if every word counts in a school board policy and we put it in here for a reason, I do agree with Mr. De Meo about removing renewed. I have my markup copy from our first go-around where we were saying without review and approval and it got turned into renewed approval. I'm fine with either, A, taking out renewed and just leaving approval or without review and approval. Either one would work for me.

But I don't think we can leave it up to the school board and rely upon whoever's in charge of the contract. I think it needs to come before the auditor selection committee at least, you

know, at that five-year mark. Or else we end up right where we are now pushed with our backs against the wall.

And the idea was that at year four it triggers a review. Because if it's a five-year contract you don't want to wait until we're out of time to address the issue.

MS. DAHL: Like we are now.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So I agree with taking the word "reviewed" out, but I can't agree with stopping at school board, just because it removes the control from the audit committee and from the auditor selection committee.

MS. FERTIG: So can I just ask, can we -- do we have a motion on the floor? Because if not I'm going to put it on to remove the word "renewed" and put a period after annual financial audit selection committee.

MS. SHAW: I'll second that for discussion. Phyllis Shaw.

MR. JABOUIN: Take out the word "renewed".

I missed the select part, please?

Take out the word "renewed"?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's it, basically.

MR. DE MEO: I think you have to take out "a"

and "renewed".

MS. FERTIG: Without an approval by the annual audit selection committee, period.

MR. JABOUIN: But with the new name. Without approval of the auditor selection committee.

I just wanted to mention to the committee that any contract will need to go to the board. So the board will have to approve such a contract.

MS. SHAW: So we don't need to put it in there.

MR. JABOUIN: And by statute, this committee that's being put together is the one that does the selection. So we've -- I think we naturally would accomplish what you're looking for, but I think we can proceed with those changes.

MR. DE MEO: And to amend the motion, but I want to address, first, Mr. Sabin's comment.

That's a very good idea to require or discuss the partner rotation. However, given the limited number of players in this field, that might be something left to the auditor selection committee and the terms of the request for proposal or the actual engagement terms to say, are you willing to rotate your partner and so on, if they have

that kind of capability. Because there are firms that do a lot of this type of work, not in South Florida, not too many. You go up to other parts of the country and you can get half a dozen.

Then with the changes that we've kicked around here I'll make a motion to --

MS. SHAW: There is a motion on the table.

MR. DE MEO: Sorry?

MS. SHAW: There's a motion on the table.

MR. DE MEO: Oh, there is a motion. Excuse me. All right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And the motion, can we repeat the motion?

MS. FERTIG: Actually, I'm wondering if we can legally take out the school board because they have the final say on approving the contract, so --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, no one's taking it out. No, we're just taking out the words "a renewed". That's all that's coming out of number 4.

MS. FERTIG: Oh, okay. Because when I first said this I said school board because I thought you had said something about the school board, but I just think we take out, make it without an

approval by the annual financial blah-blah and the school board, period. That was the motion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's been moved and seconded, we're discussing it.

MS. SHAW: Right. I have just one more comment. First of all, the school board automatically whether they're included or not included, it's going to go to them regardless. We don't need to take it out because they actually -- that happens.

Number two, I don't think we need to put in here that we need to rotate partners, because that can be something that's included in the RFP RFQ document. You don't need to put it into the policy. I think this is good as amended. It's sufficient.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So can we vote?

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Any other comments?

MS. FERTIG: Ms. Shaw, I'm having trouble hearing you. Would it make people feel better if it says recommended approval by the annual audit selection committee and approval by the school board?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I don't think anyone's

uncomfortable with it.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. All right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's just removing --

MS. FERTIG: You're coming through real clear, so -- okay. I don't know why that is. It may just be the microphone.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Let's take a vote.

All in favor please signify by saying aye?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

MR. JABOUIN: So I just wanted to mention to the audit committee members that we will make those changes, which I'll summarize. Every place where it says auditor financial selection committee will now read auditor selection committee. The beginning of paragraph 2 will say that the auditor selection committee shall be established for the purpose of engaging the certified public accountant and shall consist of the following persons in accordance with Florida Statute 218.391.

And then the other thing to mention to the committee is there is a school board workshop on

August 24th where the school board will have the ultimate discussion and say on what gets done in Policy 3100.

MR. DE MEO: You left out the word "independent".

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Independent; yeah.

MR. DE MEO: That's important.

MR. JABOUIN: Certified independent public accountant.

Thank you, Mr. De Meo.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It says it now, so it's just a matter of not taking it out.

MR. DE MEO: Right.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. So that summarizes it.

Can we check to see if HCT is here?

MS. DAHL: Mr. Medvin, I have a question.

Through the Chair, I'm confused. I thought we took out HCT. We didn't? I didn't write it down correctly?

MR. MEDVIN: No.

MS. DAHL: Okay. Thank you. I'm confused.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think it's the Behavioral

Threat Assessment that's not going to make it.

MS. DAHL: Okay. Thank you.

If we can kindly ask the new MR. JABOUIN: 1 2 individuals to identify themselves? 3 MR. MEDVIN: Could you all please identify yourselves for the record?

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Harvey?

MR. HARVEY: Good day. Good afternoon.

Roderick Harvey with HCT.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: And you, sir?

DR. HICKS: Good afternoon. Dr. Hicks with HCT.

MS. COKER: Good morning. Mary Coker, Director of Procurement & Warehousing Services.

> MR. MEDVIN: Thank you all for being here.

MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Max Rosario?

MR. ROSARIO: Yes. Good afternoon. morning, this is Max Rosario.

> MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

So with respect to Agenda Item Number 17, the IT Technology Devices Report, this report covers Bid 16059-E, which were primarily for the purchase of the Lenovo laptops and CDI tablets. This agenda item covers this report and district staff is here to respond to any questions. has been presented to the committee on January

28th, March 11th, April 22nd at a special virtual meeting, and June 17th, and now August 12th.

During the last audit committee meeting where this was discussed at length on April 22nd there were some comments that the committee had that I wish to update them on.

The first comment was regarding, if you could please go to page 8 of 36, and in that particular situation the comment as far as the clarification of management, please take a look at the paragraph that says, interview with BCPS Network Integration Department. The second sentence was changed with the word "management" removed. So it used to have the word "management" and then the second sentence no longer has that anymore.

The next change involves the role of the TAC chair. If you can go to page 8 of 36 and the paragraph that says, interview of former TAC chair, it now says BCPS hired the consulting firm, not the TAC chair. If you see the new paragraph that says that.

And then also with respect to the fourth comment from that meeting, the committee wanted to know why the recommendation of the award was posted twice. Basically this is because there

was an error, a mathematical error, that was done on the first posting. And the second posting had the correct mathematics in it. And HCT has described that situation in now the third dot in that page.

HCT was asked to review the school board meeting minutes from February 9th of 2016. Mr. Harvey has confirmed that he has reviewed them. I also reviewed them as well. And I did note that the bid did pass by a 5-to-4 vote and there were many comments with respect to that meeting.

Regarding the chronological order of the events, Mr. Harvey was able to add that. If you go to page 36, you will see the chronology of the different events with respect to that bid.

Regarding the specific date that the bid was posted, it does now say the advertisement was on November 10th of 2015, if you were to go to page 13 of 36.

And then if you were to -- if you were to go to page 14 of 36, there is some new language with respect to the bid conference documents. The new language at the bottom indicates that PWS staff did manage to locate the list of attendees of the mandatory bid meeting, which was a list of all

participants with those vendors mentioned in the audit and not having the time to stamp the documents of receipt to have been present as well. So they did comment that this does not replace the bid opening list of attendees.

And then with respect to, if you were to go to page 19, we do have the comment from HCT as far as the -- that the bid -- the technology devices that were selected was based on the specifications. So if you go to the column on page 18 that indicates all the different specifications, what HCT did was compare the specifications that were documented versus the specifications in the bid. In my standpoint, it's not really more opinion driven, it's really looking at the specs and comparing them.

Also I wanted to go over the situation with the decision on the agreed upon procedures versus the audit product on that end. The starting point for selecting the bid was the previous audit of the Recordex interactive board purchases. The committee meeting on May 14th of 2020 and viewed by the board on June 9th, that was an agreed upon procedure report that was also reviewed. And so the same product was what we

proceeded with. What we wanted to make sure was that the comments from the audit committee and the school board members were included in the scope of the work. And there were some comments with respect to the quality of the Lenovo products and we wanted to make sure that Mr. Harvey addressed that. And he engaged Dr. Hicks to be a part of the team in order to be able to opine on the quality of the product.

Mr. Harvey has checked with his team and his consultants and his attorneys and he's fine with the language that's there. He can elaborate on that himself. But, ultimately, we as -- based on the comments from the audit committee and the board there were certain things I wanted to ensure were looked at. For example, the EDCO involvement was also a very key piece that needed to be a part of the scope and I wanted to make sure that those directions were provided to Mr. Harvey and his team.

So those are the point that I wish to discuss with the committee. We do have Mr. Harvey, Mr. Hicks and Ms. Coker and Mr. Rosario to be able to answer any questions from the committee.

It is also important to note that I do wish

the committee would proceed with the report for transmission as our desire is to look at other bids, more recent bids, to be able to evaluate the controls that have been represented by management were done subsequent to this. We want to be able to test those and see how they're working adequately at a more recent time.

So that concludes my introduction, Mr. Chair.

MR. MEDVIN: Thank you.

Mr. Harvey, do you want to comment?

MR. HARVEY: No, we're here to answer any questions and hopefully to delve in where we last left off and provide any other comments regarding the report.

MR. MEDVIN: Anybody on the committee have any questions of Mr. Harvey?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Nathalie, do you have any.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have voiced many concerns about this engagement. And I have actually provided a handout on SSAE 18 versus 19, because my concerns have to do with the type of engagement and the fact that opinions and conclusions are being provided as part of it.

Under SSAE Number 18 all intended users of

the practitioners report including, if applicable, the engaging party and the responsible party are required to accept responsibility for the sufficiency of procedures, which suggests either us in the board's shoes should have agreed to the sufficiency of the procedures or the board, itself. But that's a whole other issue.

I could add an hour to this meeting but I'm just going to turn all of my concerns over to the state. This — there has been a deliberate effort to downplay, and not by HCT, to downplay the other bid because this started out as a piggyback. There's been bid rigging, suspicious piggybacks that led up to this. The TAC chair, and TAC was involved with a subsequent bid, not this one, and I guess we'll have to get a statement from the TAC chair, because it still says that they were involved in this one. I've spoken to the TAC chair and she made it clear that they were not consulted on this particular one, but on the one subsequent.

So, I'm actually less concerned about what's happened since Mr. Hunter hasn't been here and Mr. Dunn has been here than I am with uncovering

the fact that this district purchased all of this technology which HCT has correctly identified that the specs are, basically that we bought trash, for lack of a better term, and paid a price for it.

At our last meeting we were looking at the specs. We would need someone to forensically look at the specs because there are -- what they said they're buying and what we actually bought may be two different things. And there are -- it's too convoluted. We would be in here for eight hours.

So I have a folder on it. I have voiced my -- my concerns. This is -- this appears to be, we're still going with this AUP engagement, which I don't disagree with their findings about the quality of the Lenovos, but that's not what we were supposed to be getting. And then in the RFP, in the responses, what we bought and what we think we're buying and whether the district specs made any sense.

So there are a lot of moving parts to this and I'm exhausted because there's definitely -- this report hasn't changed. I am concerned as to whether we're considered -- under the accounting

rules whether we're considered an intended user.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'll be voting no on transmitting this because I have too many concerns. And so I don't want to belabor the point, but there -- I actually left my Lenovo folder at home, which I could pull up, but there is multiple state offices that this could be turned over to. is no doubt that we asked -- our original motion was to audit everything Tony Hunter touched, Recordex, these are Lenovos, I'm hearing about other things. So basically anything he touched there was probably some sort of bid rigging going on. And yet this has been whitewashed to disquise that and focus, yes, on the specs of the computers but without any accountability for the person that put us into this -- into this situation.

This was -- I think it was \$69 million that Lenovo got. There is -- I believe the sales rep for Lenovo at the time ended up at the same company with Tony Hunter under -- there is an EDCO, but it's not EDCO, per se, it's the same players. So the sales rep --

MR. JABOUIN: Please stay focused on this report.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: During the time of this bid, this is directly related to the report, the person that worked for Lenovo ended up working for David Allen.

So, again, I don't wish to add an hour to this. I'm sorry you guys are dragged into this. But I'm just turning everything over.

I disagree with the type of engagement this is. I don't believe it conforms to accounting procedures. And it is meant to whitewash what happened here.

Just like on the Recordex, the most important thing, which was the stacking of the bids, did not make it into your report. And then once this audit committee objected with its board members, all of a sudden that got added in.

So this may very well be past the statute of limitations because it started so long ago, but that doesn't mean that bid rigging and bad specs, that we didn't spend a lot of money for things that were not worth it.

And I don't believe that this is where that's going to come out, so I'll just turn it over to the state and we let the chips fall where they may. Thank you.

MS. FERTIG: Can I -- can I ask a question,
Mr. Medvin, stacking on to a couple comments that
have been made?

MR. MEDVIN: Please do.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. So I'm on page 14, and I'm sorry I'm having to attend by phone because these two audits today are so critically important, but is Ms. Coker in the room?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I am having a really difficult time understanding this paragraph.

Now, I have reviewed the transcripts where there wasn't a shred of evidence that there was — that, you know, there was a meeting or anything else. There's no recording, there's no this, there's no that. Okay. But now says in this it says, in reaching a history of the file the documents used — okay. PWS current staff did manage to locate the list of attendees of the mandatory bid conference which included the list of all participants with those vendors mentioned is where I am. I apologize for reading it to you, but it says, please list this — please note this list does not replace the opening bid list of attendees.

Is there documentation of a bid date? You say you have a bid date. Is there any documentation of that?

MS. COKER: Good afternoon. Thank you for your question. This is Mary Coker.

So what we tried explaining on the response, if you may recall, originally when we did provide a response, we were under the impression that the document or the list that had the names of all the vendors was the actual bid opening list. It was not. It was a mandatory bid conference which is prior to the bid opening.

So what we were trying to explain in this response is that we erroneously said that we had the bid opening list, which we did not. We found a bid conference list. And what we tried explaining is that, even though we did not locate the bid opening list, which I think is evident and everyone is aware that it wasn't and there was no recording, et cetera, we believe, based on the fact that we have this list of the pre-bid conference, of those specific vendors that attended that pre-bid conference, led us to believe that they responded and provided their packets by 2 p.m. of that date. Another --

MS. FERTIG: You believe that, but you don't have total documentation -- you don't have any documentation of it. It is your thought based on the fact that you had a mandatory pre-bid conference?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. COKER: Correct. Correct. And in addition to that I also want to state, because it is important, and, again, it's not an excuse, I wasn't even present at that time, but it is important to note that -- that, basically, if -if indeed those vendors may not have been present, easily the -- after posting of the bid tabulation, publicly, the vendors that were present and may have seen the results favorable or not favorable easily could have protested. That is part of our process. And we've had these types of protests in the past. Luckily, we've been able to demonstrate the bid opening because we've had it. In this case, this was years ago and we didn't have that.

So, again, it leads me to believe that because we did not have a protest, as well, that the mandatory bid conference suggests that we had all those bidders present.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. So this says, there

indeed was a bid opening. Also not having a protest from another vendor validates the bids were received timely. But we know from your report that not all the bids were received timely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I -- I remain concerned and I've talked about this at two other meetings, so I'm not going to belabor it today. I remain concerned that there is absolutely no proof that there was any kind of a bid meeting, with no -- with no records, no reporting, no minutes, no list of attendees, nothing on a low bid, on a bid that was such a low bid. I know I just didn't say that correctly, but I remain very concerned about this Ms. Coker, and I want everyone to know we all understand you were not here, you have put a lot of protocols in place, but it is very concerning to me that there is no documentation. think that needs to be called out to the board as strongly as possible. Because they, at that meeting adopting this Lenovo recommendation from staff, they definitely were, I believe, trying to ask questions to get to some of these issues and I think that would have been pertinent to their decision making.

So I feel it's fair to let them know -- know this in no uncertain terms, absolutely, no proof that there ever was a meeting on these bid openings. And that it still stands today after all the research you've done; is that correct?

MS. COKER: Correct.

MS. FERTIG: Okay.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Walsh?

MS. FERTIG: I don't see that clearly written out in here, but I think it should be.

Thank you, Mr. Medvin.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Dr. Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I just wanted to add to Mary's concerns. Oh, wait, let me say which Mary, Mary Fertig's concerns.

So, yes, if I remember correctly, the Lenovo bid was awarded on the same day that Mary Coker was hired by the district. So no way could she have seen any of this. And I'm uncomfortable with her having to keep providing this response. Because like Ms. Fertig, there is no proof. And the fact that there wasn't a bid protest is absolutely meaningless. Because if you knew the history of all of these things you would know that Dell just gave up because of the way the

other bids that were not part of this engagement would make it very clear why there was no bid protest. Because they did protest an earlier one, but by the time this one came up the writing was on the wall that no matter what they did Lenovo was getting this award. So that tells us nothing, the fact there wasn't a bid protest.

And I have zero confidence in the bid opening being done correctly, the same as Ms. Fertig.

But I am very uncomfortable that someone that wasn't there, wasn't responsible, is being made to try to legitimize what did transpire and having to put on here that there was indeed a bid opening that validates -- the wording in here is too strong, and that shouldn't be put on Ms.

Coker to put such strong words in here, there indeed was a bid opening. Also not having a bid protest also validates. I object to indeed and validates, because she's putting herself on the line for something she had nothing to do with.

And, unfortunately, none of the people that were in charge, which would have been her boss, her former boss, Maurice Woods, James Williams, who is the -- one of the constant factors in all of these things. We may remember he was on

Recordex, he was on Lenovo, he -- I think his new job is driving a golf cart somewhere. The -- none of the people, the former superintendent, so all of the people that have provided testimony in the Tony Hunter case, none of them are here. The only person left is Ms. Coker, who wasn't even in charge of this fiasco and had nothing to do with this.

So I'm just stating this for the record, that I object to her being put in this position to try to legitimize something that can't be legitimized. Which it's very clear that shenanigans ensued during this bid opening so that Lenovo would get it.

And to Mary Fertig's point, how can you say that, you know, this one came in late, this one came in early, when you can't even prove that there was a bid opening?

So that's all I want to say and on that. Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: Mr. Harvey, I read through this a few times. It became my bed pillow. There's nothing in here that mentioned that Ms. Coker was not present at the time of this particular

1

project, the bidding of this project.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HARVEY: Yes, that's correct, we did not mention that she was not here on staff at that time.

Do you believe that is something MS. SHAW: that should be included?

MR. HARVEY: I don't think that that's a factor that should be included in our report. We seek to respond directly to each agreed upon procedure.

> MS. SHAW: Thank you.

MS. FERTIG: As a matter of fact, Ms. Shaw, thank you for bringing that up, because I don't recall reading in here that the prior Director of Procurement & Warehousing had left prior to this bid being advertised. Isn't that correct? mean, I know Ms. Coker's there, I know we've brought this up before. Isn't that correct, there was, in fact, an interim period of 21 days where the previous director left and Ms. Coker came and that's when this bid was done?

MR. HARVEY: I'm not aware. I'm not sure of the personnel that was on staff at that time. Ι know the former procurement person was here, but

> United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

MS. FERTIG: I had gone back and checked on that because it was said at a previous meeting. But I'm blanking out on her name, that she had put the bid out, but I believe she had resigned previous to it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SHAW: I'm not finished. I'm sorry.

I really want to get this off my dinner -- my dining table or wherever else I have this. want the transmittal, but I also want a cover letter to the school board outlining some of the issues we're having, including this last paragraph. Putting this in here doesn't cure the issue of whether or not those people would have put in a bid protest. You know, I did two bids last year and this -- this to me, we need something -- we need to get rid of this, number But we need something to the school board one. from the audit committee with the issues that I know yesterday they voted with we're having. this Lenovo mess, but still we cannot keep this with us. We need to move this forward, but I can't in good conscience vote for it with the last paragraph, the last sentence on page 14, because that is blatantly incorrect. we're going to send it forward we need to do so

with a cover letter from us outlining the issues that we're having with this particular report.

And it's nothing on the auditors, it's just -- and I'm not even concerned about the agreed upon procedures, you know, whether it is SSAE 18 or 19. I just have issues with what's in here and what we're sending. And the mere fact that Ms. Coker is underlined for this information and she's providing information that she's not able to validate, that is something that I'm having issues with.

MR. JABOUIN: If I can mention two things that I want to bring to the committee's attention? If you go to page 7 there is some wording that it appears that the director was not involved in this bid, and that does refer to Mary Coker. This would be on page 7. If you look at the first, second, third, fourth paragraph, it says that.

The other thing I wanted to bring up, Ms.

Shaw, is, with respect to the bid that was done, we could look at that because we do have a different control environment. Because I was talking to my colleague earlier, and so all the controls that have been represented in these

meetings that have changed should have been done in the most recent ITB. So, I mean, you know, we could bake that into something that we look at and then that will provide some more recent -- a more recent transaction and the more recent controls. That would be something that I think would be a worthy approach.

MS. SHAW: Yeah, but we also need to go back -- we also need to go back to the motion because I think the motion, and I believe I made the motion, was not just to cover this one particular -- this particular RFP or RFQ, it was supposed to be any and all that were done by this particular director.

So we need to kind of go back to that. And, yes, I agree we probably need to look at a broader scope and look at others. Because if we're to put processes in place and policies, then I would hope that those policies and processes are being followed, number one.

Number two, page 7 doesn't give me a warm fuzzy feeling, because we have had previous directors. Which one is this referring to?

I mean, her name is not listed. And I don't think we need to fight the battle for her, I

1 think she can do that for herself.

But it's just the information that we're presenting, we want to make sure the information we're presenting is correct as much as it can be. Because at the end of day people's jobs are on the line. There is a seventh board member. There's a new interim superintendent here. And maybe at 2 a.m. when she's reading this information, like I am, she's not going to be thinking, oh, wait, who are we speaking about? So, you know, we've got to make sure that the information we're presenting is -- is correct.

MR. DE MEO: Through the Chair?

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. De Meo?

MR. DE MEO: Could I -- Mr. Harvey, the gentleman next to you is?

DR. HICKS: Dr. Hicks.

MR. DE MEO: Dr. Hicks?

DR. HICKS: Yes, sir.

MR. DE MEO: What was your involvement with the agreed upon procedures?

DR. HICKS: I was given the data set to measure number of incidents, items that were under warranty, to examine the technology's level or perceived quality, if you will, at the time of

the purchase and whether or not it adhered to the bid.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. So --

DR. HICKS: It was a little bit different language, but in summation, yes.

MR. DE MEO: What I'm looking for, I didn't mean to be mysterious, is, did the firm or does the firm express a conclusion or opinion about the quality of the items bought?

DR. HICKS: In my writing, if you look at the documentation, I indicate that for the dollars that they had at hand they got the best that they could within budget.

MR. DE MEO: Okay.

DR. HICKS: However, at the same time, I did recommend or suggest, I don't know if it's a solid recommendation, other than Lenovo.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. Did the objectives which appear on page 6, number 5, examine transaction structure based on SMART Bond Technology Funding, what does that mean exactly? What does examine the structure?

Are you comparing the structure of the transaction with the bidding requirements or --

MR. HARVEY: What we sought to do with

Objective 5 was to look at the SMART Bond monies and see how it was applied to this bid, how it was spent or not. And so we used that objective to that end.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. In summary, did you -- do you believe that you guys complied with the professional standards and that all you did was perform procedures and reported your findings? You didn't express any conclusions; you didn't perform an examination or review; you don't come to an opinion on any of these; do you?

MR. HARVEY: Yes. So thank you for that question. Yes, we believe that we performed our procedures in accordance with the AICA guidelines, which based on levels of audit which you clearly understand are levels that test function. We did not audit. We did not review. We did not express an opinion. We followed what was given or agreed upon and we performed, and our report reflects our examinations and our reporting.

MR. DE MEO: And who did you discuss and who approved these procedures with regard to the Broward County Public Schools?

MR. HARVEY: The procedures would have been

discussed with the chief auditor.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. Did you -- do you even express an opinion on the quality of the computers that the school board received?

MR. HARVEY: One of the objectives was to give, to review based on the specs and give an opinion or a thought or suggestion -- not an opinion, let me use another word, a suggestion on a recommendation on the quality of what was purchased. And as Mr. Hicks has said, and I probably should ask him to give his qualifications, but in our report we did discuss based on what we thought the district bought, was it in accordance with the specs and was it of a decent quality? That is --

DR. DE MEO: Okay. So you are not technology experts; is that correct?

DR. HICKS: I wouldn't say that. I just received my doctorate in cyber security from St. Thomas University. I've also served almost 30 years plus as a C-level executive at large not-for-profits here in South Florida, the largest of which I currently work during the daytime. This is my consulting, if you will. And having said and purchased quite a bit of

equipment I would say I have a good handle on what I think is a good laptop versus something that's not. I didn't mean to cut you off.

MR. DE MEO: No, I'm sorry. I'm trying to digest this information.

DR. HICKS: Yes, sir.

MR. DE MEO: First of all, this is something that occurred five years ago. I agree with all of my fellow committee members and their concerns. I share deeply their concerns. I understand their concerns.

At some point we need to apply this to the future so that our bidding process is, you know, understood, well communicated, in the sunshine, and followed. And I would like to -- and I'd like to get out of this report what we should go forward on.

If there are still things we should be focusing on an auditing with regard to this transaction from five years ago, notwithstanding a possible criminal investigation with the state, I'd like to find that out, too.

But from my understanding you guys weren't hired as experts in technology to determine whether or not Lenovo or any other product was --

MR. HARVEY: That's correct. We're not hired as technology experts, we're hired as CPAs.

MR. DE MEO: You were trying to find out if they followed the bid process --

MR. HARVEY: That's correct.

MR. DE MEO: -- and if they didn't you -- you had a finding.

MR. HARVEY: We report it; yes, sir.

MR. DE MEO: Research if EDCO education had any involvement. Were there procedures that you were asked to perform to determine EDCO was involved?

MR. HARVEY: Yes. And to that end, Objective 3, we reviewed documentation. We had a list of names that we were looking for to see if they were involved, signed off in any way regarding this bid. As reported in Objective 3 we did not find any. That does not mean that different companies, but based on what we had in our research, we did not see any of those folks that were synonymous with the Recordex transaction over in this transaction.

MR. DE MEO: Did you express to our chief auditor or anyone else at the school board your concerns, whether written or orally, about

possible violations of the law and the bidding process to achieve a desired outcome by the bidders? Did you discuss that with anybody?

MR. HARVEY: No, we did not discuss that.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. And, lastly, do you believe that the Lenovo purchase would comply -- did comply with the bid specs? Not was it the best, but did it comply with the bid specs?

MR. HARVEY: Mr. Hicks?

DR. HICKS: Yes, at a very adequate level, in my opinion.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. Look, I retired from BDO, we had 10,000 people in the United States, 70,000 around the world, we use Lenovo. I hate Lenovo. Okay?

DR. HICKS: Yeah, I have Dell.

MR. DE MEO: But we have them. Intuit,
15,000 employees, they use Lenovo; okay? It used
to be the ThinkPad or whatever the heck it was.

As a committee we need to know, though, if there were any violations that you know of that aren't in your report that you may have discussed, we need to know whether or not you're expressing an opinion as experts in technology, I want to be clear about that. And I'm told here

that you are not experts in technology or you were hired to present and opine on the quality of these items, only as it relates to if it met the bid specifications.

DR. HICKS: That's correct.

MR. DE MEO: Having discussed all that, and now, Mr. Chair, that I understand a little better, I think we should move on. I think we should have a motion and I think some of my colleagues have raised some very good points, and I think the best way to move, I'm going to suggest, is that we list the three or four items we wish to have further investigated, we list the items that we want to have in this cover letter, and let's move on.

I mean, we need to be focused on this process so the next time we spend \$81 million there aren't hours and hours and days and weeks of investigation and grand jury indictments and so on. That's how I feel.

MS. FERTIG: I have a motion, Mr. Medvin, when you're ready.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Dr. Walsh, you have a comment?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. So thank you, Mr. De

1 Meo, I support everything you just said.

I'm looking at Objective 4, and if we're looking at concerns, yes, they were hired to determine if the purchases met those requirements. So it's sort of a yes or a no. Because the thing with AUP is that they're supposed to perform specific procedures on financial or non-financial subject matter on assertion and report the findings without providing an opinion or a conclusion. Findings are the factual results of the procedure performed. It's yes/no and you can verify.

There's a lot of things in this report that are not yes or no, that are, in fact, opinions and conclusions that I don't necessarily disagree with but, that was not the point of this. And then there are things that are not in here that are very important especially in light of the performance of the Lenovos.

The question that they were asked to answer is to determine if these purchases met those requirements. But the thing they weren't hired to answer is whether those requirements were reasonable, which I believe that you know that they weren't, as the performance has suggested.

And that is one of the biggest concerns is that we had a complete wast of in excess of, I think it was more like 60 million that actually got used with the Lenovos.

So if I were looking for further investigation, it would be into whether those requirements were reasonable.

I believe that Mr. Dunn, the new chief information officer has addressed some of those, but we still have to identify so that we understand why there is a grand jury indictment related to the person that was in charge of technology back then so controls are put in place so this doesn't happen again. So that's one related to Objective 4.

When you say research of EDCO Education, that's not a yes or no. There wasn't something specific. They're saying they didn't find any names. I can tell you that the sales manager from Lenovo ended up at EDCO.

The other concern was in our motion that we passed, and I think that there was a date attached to it, and I don't have the motion in front of me, I don't know if anyone does. But if I'm not mistaken, the timeframe, I don't know

that they were provided with everything that fell into that timeframe. For example, there was a rejection, it was the 2016 for the bond program, I'm not remembering the exact language of the motion, but in January of 2015 they rejected all of the proposals from R214-041E, which is the one, I believe, that had the bid protest.

So it's sort of like, if they had been provided with everything that came before this, then they would have backed into the bid protest then all the shenanigans with the piggyback and everything else, which speaks to criminal activity in addition to criminal activity being done to acquire technology devices with insufficient requirements.

And I believe Ms. Fertig is ready with a motion.

MS. FERTIG: I'm ready to make a motion.

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Jabouin?

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. Dr. Hicks, I just wanted to back up to a statement that you said earlier. You do mention on page 24 that we noted that BCPS purchased the best quality product for the budget allocation during 2016 through 2018. But during your conversation you qualified it. I

wasn't aware of that qualification until now. You said something besides Lenovo and that was never part of our discussion or the report.

If you could elaborate on that?

DR. HICKS: For the timeframe Apple devices were I believe being the platform purchased in the Dade County School District, if you will, and at that time because of the robust nature of the Apple device I would have spent more money, but more money was not on the table for the decision to be had to purchase an Apple device.

MR. JABOUIN: All right. Thank you for that clarification.

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Barnes?

MR. BARNES: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Oftentimes in these meetings there is a lot of discussion that goes on and once everyone else has gotten their opportunity to ask questions or make observations we tend to, let's call for a motion to transmit. I don't think it should work that way.

Since I've been on this audit committee I've had a difficult time and I'm still having a difficult time focusing on what we're really supposed to be doing as a committee. And if I am

correct, I believe our responsibility is to take the reports that are presented to us in writing, examine them and address our comments to the findings or recommendation. But I often find here and see that we go off in a different direction because we are trying to get answers to questions that are not a part of the assigned objectives.

As I look at the executive summary today, the audit company had the responsibility of addressing four items. And, unfortunately, nowhere in those four items, based on what I see, were they directed to talk about personnel and what they did. It's obvious there was something going on, but as I see it, that is not what we are charged to do. We're not charged to speculate on where someone went to work or where they didn't go. Our job is to address what is before us.

And as I went through it, I found them to meet the level of acceptance based on what the chief auditor charged them to do.

But, obviously, what was set out did not meet the threshold of what we -- or what some audit committee members may have wanted to have in the

1 report.

So I think going forward we should be given an opportunity to say these are the things that need to be addressed.

And that's all I have to say about that. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Dahl?

MS. DAHL: I totally agree with what Mr.

Barnes is saying, because when the chief auditor

makes -- does the questions, he doesn't

understand a lot of times the background and the

voices that are here because we have a lot of

people here that know more of the intricacies of

this particular audit and other audits and we are

not given the opportunity to give you questions

that we think should be asked.

And I also agree with Dr. Lynch-Walsh that this -- this audit was done the way I guess it was supposed to have been, but it didn't -- it didn't answer the questions that needed to be asked. And so I'm very concerned about transmitting this. I guess we have to, but I think it's time for us to take a vote on it, in my humble opinion.

MS. FERTIG: I have a motion ready that I'm

hoping will address a lot of these concerns.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Ms. Fertig?

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I'm going to try to -I'm going to put this out there with a clear
understanding that we can change some words.

Based on the results of the HCT Lenovo audit and the discovery that there is no record of a bid opening meeting awarding an \$82 million low bid, we recommend transmittal of this report with a recommendation that the auditor proceed with further audits of the transactions occurring under Mr. Hunter. We can change that to the former CIO.

MR. HARVEY: Can I please -- what I would like to suggest as a friendly amendment is change what she said, HCT audit, to agreed upon procedures, because --

MS. FERTIG: Oh, yes, I'm so sorry. Yes.

MR. HARVEY: That's all for me.

MS. SHAW: I'll second it for discussion.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Ms. Fertig, are you suggesting that we transmit this with a recommendation to expand the procedures for HCT to do?

MS. FERTIG: I'm suggesting -- I am suggesting in this, and I'm happy to amend this however, that we call out what I think HCT did undercover, which is there's no bid meeting, and call that out to the board members. When our previous motions that came through on the Recordex asked that -- asked for all -- to review all major purchases occurring under the prior director, we -- the board agreed to start with Lenovo. I think what HCT has done with this audit has shown that we need to move on to some of the other major purchases, that we cannot stop here.

And while I've sat on this -- as any of you who have worked with me on this audit committee know, I always believe that one of our primary responsibilities is to identify weaknesses that we can correct in the future. I think there are times when something occurs in the past that it has to be called out and there has to be accountability. I -- I think this is one of those times.

So my recommendation is we transmit it to the board, we call out in it the fact that there are problems and they need to continue on looking at

this. And whether they reach a point where they're able to recover something or not, I don't know. But I think we need to continue on.

So that's -- that's my position.

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Coker?

MS. COKER: Yes, thank you for an opportunity.

So I just have a comment related to the motion where it states that we should request additional audits for additional -- or other IT related bids under the previous CIO.

I just want to make sure that we're also capturing two things here. Because this audit was not only on the fact that the purchasing agent did not follow the procedures and that there were basically no procedures or formal procedures, but I think most importantly the goal here is not only that Procurement & Warehousing Services didn't do their job, but the concern was whether or not the specifications created or brought forth by the IT department were truly what we needed.

So when you're discussing bringing forward more audits, I agree, but you're not only going to look at my process, which I'm confident we're

doing correctly, but more so looking at the validity of the specifications or scope of work when they're provided to us.

Because at the end of the day I can be given a specification to buy something and my job is to buy what I'm being asked to buy, whether I agree or not agree. So my processes would be in place. But the fact that you're buying things that you may not need or -- which is where this whole, where I believe everyone else is alluding to, whether or not, you know, the products we purchase were indeed what we needed or were good or whatever.

So I just wanted to make the point that it's not just my procedures, but mostly the specifications that come along with the solicitation and obviously the following of the procedures from my department.

Thank you.

MS. FERTIG: And I think that's an excellent point. I'm trying to write something broad enough here that it would cover it. Because I think we've now had this discussion at many meetings on this particular issue of technology. And I feel like we need to move it ahead to what

we saw probably in the first meeting, which is, we need to look at more transactions. And where possible to correct something, we correct it.

And where other action needs to be taken, you know, that's something that the board is going to have to decide. But my goal as I'm sitting here was writing something that would be broad enough to cover all of this. And happy to wordsmith if that has not occurred.

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. De Meo?

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, Mr. Chair, I'd like to address what Ms. Fertig said and Ms. Coker said.

First, I think the motion should be revised to say, not the Lenovo audit, but the agreed upon procedures performed by HCT on Bid Number, whatever it is 16 -- to be specific, 16 whatever it is.

And then instead of, there were no records, that there were insufficient or records -- there were records that -- there were not the required records. In other words, I think that's a little too broad. So I think we should narrow that down to say there weren't the required records according to the policy.

And then, lastly, as a focus to continue this

investigation, Ms. Coker talked about the specifications. There's nothing we can do about the specifications that were used five years ago. But there is something we can do in the future. And that is Best Practices, have an IT person maybe outside, spend the money, review the bids for the specifications. And I don't think we need to address that in this motion.

And then, lastly, the audit of the Hunter transactions, I don't believe -- this is no disrespect to the fine job these gentlemen, this firm did, I don't believe we are in a position, as good a position as FDLE is to investigate potentially a criminal matter.

I mean, it's in their hands. Do we want to
-- do we want to go over that and become
investigators of that and, you know, go over the
same ground? I don't know.

Ms. Fertig, is that something you want to do?

MS. FERTIG: I don't want to -- I don't want
to do that. That's not the intent, I don't
believe.

But when we first did Recordex and then I brought up Lenovo or Ms. Shaw, we expended that to other major purchases. Ms. Shaw, if I'm

misstating that say so, but we went to the board and they picked the major one, Lenovo. There are some other major ones that I think we want to know what happened.

So I'm not suggesting that in terms of a criminal, I just think the board needs to know where their problems are. And I think HCT has done a good job so far of pointing us in several directions that things could be done differently.

I don't know that we can belabor this one thing anymore but I think there is concern with other elements of technology. And a huge amount of our budget is spent on it. And it's -- particularly right now it's critical to the education of our children.

So I -- I just think that we just say to the board, hey, you did this audit, you found out more, move ahead with a couple of the other major audits -- other major purchases.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So just want to clarify 16-059E is not the only Lenovo bid. There were others and it started with -- if I'm not mistaken, it may have even started with a post award board memo, then went to a piggyback, then

went to a bid protest, they put it out to bid, it got cancelled. So there's -- I have all of this on file. So I'm not sure about the statute of limitations as far as FDLE. It always looks better for a district when the district initiates an audit of something. And I don't think this should be agreed upon procedures again, we need to discuss ratcheting that up.

But to Mr. Barnes' point, we -- the audit committee should have a say so in the level of engagement, whether it's an audit or an attestation engagement, what questions, what is to be looked at. Because we're the ones that know what's the history of this. And I certainly know more than I ever wanted to know about this.

So there are other Lenovo purchases. The specs being reasonable is an area to look at. I am also, from having looked at invoicing, not confident at all that what was in the bid was actually purchased across the board. That's another area I think needs to be looked at. And there were procedures in place. I'm staring at the invitation to bid for 16-059. Due date, December 3rd, 2015. Bid due at 2:00 p.m. eastern standard time. Bid must be submitted to

Procurement & Warehousing and received on or before 2:00 p.m. on the due date. And then there's another place in here where it tells you where to submit it.

It's not that they did not have procedures back in 2015. It wasn't their first rodeo. This place has been around 100 years.

So they have procedures, but they were being circumvented at every turn. And, yes, there was a gap in time in between the prior procurement director, Ruby Crenshaw and Mary Coker who started in, was that March or February?

MS. COKER: February.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: February. Crenshaw was out of here, officially, I think in December, but she wasn't seen or heard from sometime after September of 2015 after one particular board meeting. So I'm not sure that she wasn't on vacation for most of the last quarter of 2015. But in any event -- and she was not -- procurement was not her strong suit. There were a lot of issues, a lot of rebids that had to happen under her. So even if she were here, that would not have guaranteed procedures being followed.

MS. FERTIG: Can I -- Nathalie, can I suggest a few words so we can maybe -- I'm worried we're going to run out of time. So I apologize for breaking in, but if I edit these words, would this be better at the end, on certain transactions occurring under the former CIO to include -- to include a review of specifications, procedures and invoicing?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Specifications and purchases.

MR. JABOUIN: I do want to say a few things. I will actually need that repeated.

A couple comments. I think the issue here is the process for the specs. It is not practical to go back and look at these bids, the original piggyback bid from 2013, because the individuals that were performing those particular procedures are not here to ask any questions.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We don't need them.

MR. JABOUIN: There are people in law enforcement that are looking into those type of things. So, to me, current bids and current practices is what should be done given what may or may not come out as a result of what the law enforcement individuals do and what the auditor

general does in their audit. So I do want to mention that it probably is best to have someone or take a look at the process where the specs are determined and that can be done going forward.

But going back, we don't have the bandwidth, if you recall the list of different projects that the former CIO had touched. And keep in mind how long it took to do this work and how long it would take to look at some of the other ones as well. So it is one where we need to look at more current bids and current practices and make modifications to practices that are going on today.

DR. WANZA: Excuse me. I'm sorry, Dr. Lynch-Walsh, I don't mean to interrupt, but I'm going to have to pass superintendent designee to Mr. Gohl because I have principal interviews at 1:00. And had I known I would have tried to do something differently, but I do have to pass it to Mr. Gohl. And I will be here, as I hear Behavioral Threat Assessment is going to make it to the next meeting, I will definitely be to the next meeting. But thank you and wish us well on Wednesday.

MR. GOHL: Best of luck, Dr. Wanza.

So, Mr. Jabouin, just as a point of order, we do have a significant number of people here for the Behavioral Threat Assessment.

DR. WANZA: Should we let them know?

MR. GOHL: Should we let them know that we are being bumped? That is the committee chair's, the vice chair's --

MR. MEDVIN: I think we're going to have to.

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, Mr. Gohl do you recommend that maybe -- some sort of statement from those individuals or --

MR. GOHL: Well, Dr. Wanza's gonna let them know, but we'll reconvene.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because we still have MSL.

MR. JABOUIN: We have MSL. That's very important.

DR. WANZA: So it's going to go to the September 30th meeting? It's being rescheduled and we'll notify them of the dates.

MR. GOHL: Thank you, Dr. Wanza. Thank you.

MR. JABOUIN: We have to go to MSL. That's very important.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. My little comment, if I may, is that this mess occurred years ago. And as Mr. Jabouin said, there's law enforcement

people looking into it. Dr. Walsh, I think you have information that is probably very pertinent to what they're doing, but I think we have to go forward. I think it's more important that we get past this. If we want to forward on a letter with some of our suggestions, that's fine. And if we're going to do any more audits or procedures it should be based on what's going on now. That this mess of inappropriate methodology, shall we call it, is gone and things are going correctly now. I think that's much more important. And I think we should consider that in our vote.

Dr. Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I agree with what Mary said. There are times when something that happened in the past is so egregious that you do need to go back and look. And, yes, these things happened a while ago, but understand that that CIO was hired twice. It's like he came back just to figure out new ways to waste taxpayer funds.

And then the former superintendent's indictment for perjury is happening now, as is the Hunter -- I think Hunter might actually be in court today.

1 So these things may have happened years ago, 2 the Recordex happened years ago, but it speaks to 3 the current environment of the district. is a grand jury report pending. There's Cognia 4 5 reaccreditation that's going about to descend upon this place. So it is not in the past. 6 7 Because there was also a deliberate whitewashing 8 and attempt to downplay. We passed a motion that had that motion been honored we wouldn't just be 9 10 looking at this one, we would've been -- the bid 11 protest, the piggybacking and all of that would 12 have come out while the former superintendent was 13 still here. But this has been delayed and the 14 scope was narrowed to this particular bid which 15 had issues in it but not the issues of the bid protest. So all of that might have created a 16 17 different situation where the way that the former 18 superintendent left might have been different. 19 The perjury charge directly tied to the idea of 20 piggybacking because he was prepped and lied 21 about being prepped. 22

MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry, Dr. Lynch-Walsh, please stay on the agenda item. This is very inappropriate.

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I am on the agenda item.

MS. FERTIG: Let me just say, I agree that certainly this is a five-year-old bid, but the expenditures I would point out to you continued into 2019. So the decision to make it may have been a 2016 decision, however the purchases carried forward. There are other tech knowledge issues that were not decided in 2016 that may only be two years old because they were decided in 2019. Maybe we pick one of those. But those are still under the same CIO.

I'm just trying to put in here what we have identified as -- and I may have not have picked them all up which is why I'm trying to have an all-inclusive motion that talks about what we have noticed as the problems. We've noticed the problems with procedures and the process. We've noticed problems with specifications essentially, invoicing and purchasing.

If we ask the board to look at those things specifically -- we're not saying fix something that happened in 2016. They could just as easily pick a bid that went through in 2019. But it's very hard to be prospective and go forward and pick next year's bid to do an audit on. So -- and our other option is to simply transmit this,

which I don't think is fair to the board. I think we've had numerous meetings and we give the benefit of what we've discussed and what we found.

And, again, I'm happy to wordsmith this in any way, but I think we have to call out those areas that we have found a problem with and encourage them to see if there are any other bids that have those same issues. It may be that in one of these things they are able to recover funds. And if they can do that, that's what needs to be done.

MR. DE MEO: So perhaps we transmit this report without requesting any further audit procedures and have a separate motion to investigate whatever it is the committee thinks is important. And also we incorporate, and I think that's a good idea what Ms. Shaw talked about, is maybe a cover letter; is that permissible? That says, here are the issues we found with this that have not been investigated.

MS. FERTIG: The only problem with that is we'd have to vote on the cover letter as an audit committee. And my understanding from the chief auditor was that he wanted to move this forward.

And, quite frankly, I've noticed times when things that are not included in the motion don't get brought up to the board and we're relying upon whoever's read our committee minutes or transcript. So, hence, a motion that includes everything is likely to be transmitted with everything in it.

MS. SHAW: So can I amend the motion then to include -- take out the portion, auditor approved, but to say -- but to include a list of items that we had issues with. So included in the motion, we'll motion to transmit and the audit committee has these issues and kind of list those issues as part of the audit -- as part of the motion since the cover letter is not going to suffice?

But I hate to call -- you know, call the question on this because we really need to vote because I also have to go.

MR. HARVEY: As a request, a respectful request, we would ask to review any cover letter that is attached to our report for our reading before submission to the board.

MS. SHAW: It wouldn't be a cover letter, it would just be included in the motion that these

items that we're discussing and having issues with are included as part of the motion.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I think we have the language for a motion if we exclude the audit of the Hunter transactions, transmit the report and then let's have another motion about what it is.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I'll exclude -- I'll take those words out. I have this written out so let me just see what we would remove.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, what Mr. De Meo, I think, is suggesting is to transmit -- well, that gets a little tricky too. If we transmit it without the motion attached to it, it may not get transmitted.

MS. FERTIG: It won't get seen.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It won't get seen, Mr. De Meo, I think is the problem.

I agree with both of you, but to Mary's point, if we don't attach the motion with our concerns to this report it will not get seen without all of us calling up all of our board members so that they call it out. That's just the way it's been happening.

MR. JABOUIN: Just to let you know, the process is that all motions by all committees and

all that, those are -- staff has to respond to them, but they don't have to act on them. So there will be a response to the motion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Our concern isn't the motion, our concern is the motion going before the board.

MR. JABOUIN: It would go to the -- the board is copied, the cabinet is copied and so forth on all motions.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, no, no, no.

MS. FERTIG: But if we just say motion to transmit they're not going to know these concerns. So you want me to take out --

MR. JABOUIN: They will know.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, they won't.

MS. FERTIG: -- proceed with future audits on the CIO and put in audits of future purchases include -- I mean, I don't know. I think they need to look at a recent audit and include specifications, procedures, invoicing and purchasing.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I think we could do that.

I think we can say the language that we had on
the Bid 16-095, whatever it is, didn't have the
required documentation supporting the transaction

and that the audit committee recommends that we investigate more recent transactions by the CIO and the -- we want to include the --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Specifications and purchases.

MR. DE MEO: -- specifications --

MS. FERTIG: Specifications, procedures, invoicing and purchasing.

MR. DE MEO: Okay.

MS. FERTIG: Those are the four things that were mentioned. But, I mean, I don't see how that's different, because all we're saying is proceed with further audits and the chief auditor has the ability to pick a 2019 issue.

MS. SHAW: It's more outlined this way.

MS. FERTIG: Okay.

MR. JABOUIN: I actually will need the complete wording.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, Mary would have to reread it. But I just want to point something out. As we keep trying to say that these things are in the past, this was a SMART Bond purchase, which is a 30-year bond. So unless a lot of tap dancing happened where they've retired or, you know, paid back the first part of the bond, which

the former chief financial officer was doing -because they recognized that normally, no, you
would not use a 30-year bond to purchase items
that don't last three years. That's just not how
buying assets works. But it was done. There is
multiple documentation, people on tape, things in
writing, that the bond dollars were used for
technology. T is for technology in SMART. And
those bond dollars were used for the purchase of
these devices. And taxpayers will be paying, and
some of it hasn't hit our taxes yet, will be
paying for the next 30 years for these purchases.

So it is relevant, if this district ever wants to regain taxpayer trust, to acknowledge what happened and then show what's being done to prevent it from happening again, instead of whitewashing, which is what's been happening.

And, again, because of the timeframe, I'm not sure that FDLE can look at this anymore, well, maybe not from a criminal standpoint, but it's not too late for the district to look at it.

So, Mary's, I don't want it just to say that we're looking at 2019.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I'm going to give this one last try here.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because we're going to lose our group quorum? Okay.

MS. FERTIG: Sorry, Nathalie, but --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's all right.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Based on the results -- I really want to keep the first part of this in here, I hope you all like it. Based on the results of the HCT Lenovo agreed upon procedures and the blah-blah number --

MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please.

MS. FERTIG: -- and the discovery that there is no record of a bid opening meeting to award an 18 -- \$82 million low bid, we recommended that the auditors proceed --

MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please.

MS. FERTIG: -- with further audits of a more recent -- on more recent transactions analyzing in particular specifications, procedures, invoices and --

MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please.

MS. FERTIG: -- purchasing.

MS. DAHL: And I don't think you should be typing this.

MR. JABOUIN: I have to.

MS. DAHL: You don't have to.

1 MR. MEDVIN: Can you send an email, Ms.
2 Fertig, to -3 MS. FERTIG: Can I send an email?
4 MR. MEDVIN: -- the chief auditor so he can

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have the language?

MR. JABOUIN: I do need to get the language, please.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Don't you have people that type for you?

MR. JABOUIN: So, Dr. Lynch-Walsh, the conversations go all over. We do need to have this, please.

MR. GOHL: Chief Auditor, I did hear the committee member offered to send the text as she could wordsmith it and email it to you.

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, I will accept that.

And, Ms. Fertig, I don't know if you got the last part where it said, audit committee recommends we audit more recent transactions by the former CIO that includes the specifications and purchases and invoices.

MS. FERTIG: Yes.

MR. JABOUIN: We are running out of time.

MS. FERTIG: I don't -- are you good or you need me to --

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

MR. JABOUIN: No, we do need you to send the first part, please.

MS. SHAW: The only thing I would change is that just in case there are too many activities that the auditor, HCT, are not the only one. I would rather it says the internal audits -- the chief auditor will proceed with hiring auditors or whoever to do this. Because they may not be able to do all of the activities. So I don't want to lock into HCT just doing it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, and I don't want to lock in more recent, necessarily just more recent transactions, because we're going to miss all the good ones. Since Ms. Coker has been here I'm sure we're not going to find what we used to find.

MR. DE MEO: I think we're never going to settle this. I think we should just say, the audit committee will investigate. Don't mention HCT or anybody else.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MR. DE MEO: I don't think we should say which transactions. We should say, former CIO. We should say, not all of the required records. Because if we as a committee say there were no

records and there were records regarding that bid
we look foolish.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MR. DE MEO: So I think we pretty much have it.

Did you get the email?

MS. FERTIG: You're doing, required records,

Mr. De Meo?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. DE MEO: Sorry?

MS. FERTIG: You're saying, required records; right?

MR. DE MEO: Yes.

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Fertig, are you going to email this to me?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I am. I'm sorry, I'm typing as we're talking and everybody's talking and I'm adding it in.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. I agree with Mr. De Meo. Go back to what you were trying to do, which is keep it broad. Former CIO, not all the required records.

MS. SHAW: And take out the auditor.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Don't mention auditors.

Don't narrow the timeframe. And we get it

25 basically because we're going to be providing

1 input.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DE MEO: And to investigate the adequacy of the specifications used in bid 16 blah-blah-blah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And purchases -- sorry, adequacy of the specs.

MR. DE MEO: Used for that specific bid so that we don't go crazy.

Is there a way for you to formulate that after?

MR. JABOUIN: We will try to do that. What happens is, even when you listen to the audio, the conversation goes a lot of different places.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah.

MR. JABOUIN: So I will try to patch it up as best I can between what Ms. Fertig sends and what I've tried to write down and the different changes on that end.

MR. MEDVIN: Do we vote on it without a --

MR. JABOUIN: Please do.

MS. FERTIG: I think I have the first part.

Do you want the next part?

MR. JABOUIN: The next part. What I last wrote down was the audit committee recommends that we audit recent transactions by the former

CIO that include specifications and purchases and invoicing. That's the last I wrote.

MS. SHAW: Take out recent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, take out recent.

MS. FERTIG: I'm sending -- I'm sending the first part to you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And investigate the adequacy of the specifications of Bid Number 16-059E.

MR. DE MEO: Why don't you say selected transactions involving former CIO? Because, you know --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's not going to be all of them; right.

MR. DE MEO: Well, I don't think we should investigate all of them. I think we're --

MS. FERTIG: I think -- can't the chief auditor pick the next one or two --

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, selected.

MS. FERTIG: -- that are significant?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We must be involved in picking them.

MR. JABOUIN: You're advisory, Dr. Walsh.

MS. FERTIG: Because that's what's happened so far. He picked Recordex and he picked Lenovo.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But only -- but, Mary, the problem is, again, 16-059E was picked and the chief auditor would have known or should have known about all of the other bids.

MR. JABOUIN: We do know -- we have the list and this is the first one that was selected.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, you selected this.

MR. JABOUIN: Correct. It was the largest one and that's why it was selected.

Now, are we going to move on, Dr. Walsh?

Here's what Ms. Fertig sent. Based on the result of the HCT agreed upon procedures Lenovo purchase, there's a number for the bid number, the 16-059E audit, and the discovery that there -- that there --

MS. FERTIG: That not -- take out there.

That not all of the required --

MR. JABOUIN: That not all of the requirements -- required records of bid opening -- of bid opening meeting to award --

MS. FERTIG: Of the bid opening meeting. I'm fixing this.

MR. JABOUIN: No problem. And the 82 million low bid technology purchase we transmit to the board, and that's where it picks up --

MS. FERTIG: We transmit this to the board with the recommendation that.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. With the recommendation that we audit select transactions of the former CIO that includes the specifications and purchases and invoicing.

MR. DE MEO: And the adequacy of the bid specifications. It should be selected, not select.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. I think I've got it. We have run out of time.

MS. FERTIG: I'll fix a couple of those words.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay.

MS. FERTIG: I think we have with the gist.

I think we can --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: Can we vote on this, please?

MR. JABOUIN: Is that with the whole motion?

MR. MEDVIN: Yes.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Motion to transmit with Ms. Fertig's language coupled with what I just added.

MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

1 MR. BARNES: Yes, nay.

MS. FERTIG: I'm an aye, too.

MR. MEDVIN: One opposed, everyone else is yes. It carries.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: When you have someone that votes against something with the school board they make them explain their vote.

MR. JABOUIN: That's not a requirement.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It is for the school board apparently. Any time they dissent they have to explain why they're voting no.

MR. JABOUIN: I haven't seen that.

MR. GOHL: So, Mr. Jabouin, just for a point of clarity, when the school board takes a vote on the hiring of a person, if the issue is not related to the compliance on the processes by which the person, then they are required to provide a justification. But for a general issue it is not.

Dr. Lynch-Walsh, is that a fair representation of the instance in which board member justification needs to be applied?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm trying to remember the item that former general counsel held Lori

Alhadeff's feet to the fire on and I don't think they were hiring anyone.

MR. GOHL: It was an HR issue. The HR issues do have a different threshold, but I'll cite as an example this week's vote on facial coverings, justification is not required for a no vote.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, they also got away from it after that happened.

MR. BARNES: I could have explained in the time with all this talking that you're doing.

MR. GOHL: HR no votes do have a different threshold.

MR. BARNES: I could have explained why I said no, by the time -- I voted no because, I'm not opposed to Mrs. Fertig's motion, I'm just opposed to attaching it to the report because I believe the report covers what they were charged to do. And I think we should let the board know our concerns but not attaching it to this report.

MR. GOHL: Thank you, Mr. Barnes. And the committee is certainly free to include your explanation. I'm just worried about precedent setting for all board member committees.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We're not trying to change it, but, see what -- this is the second time now,

Mr. Barnes, that because you don't, you don't express that during the discussion --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BARNES: I did express it.

MR. JABOUIN: -- we never no why you're voting no.

MR. BARNES: I did express it.

MR. MEDVIN: Can we move on, please?

MR. BARNES: I expressed it. Maybe I didn't do it the same way.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, not on the motion.

MS. FERTIG: I'm going to have to leave soon.

Are we -- are we going through another -- I'm sorry. I just have a 1:00.

MR. JABOUIN: We need to get MSL done. I don't believe a motion is needed for that, but we do need to have them to cover their audit plan.

MR. MEDVIN: Gentlemen, thank you very much.

MS. DAHL: Thank you. It was nice to see you again. Thank you, Mary.

MR. MEDVIN: Let's get MSL in here.

MR. JABOUIN: We have like two minutes to get this done.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay.

MR. JABOUIN: Just so the committee knows, we do need to get out of here by 1:30 at the latest

and MSL is out here, we're going to bring them in.

MS. DAHL: What? I thought we weren't going to do MSL today.

MR. JABOUIN: We have to.

MR. MEDVIN: We have no choice.

MR. JABOUIN: We're not going to do RSM today.

MS. DAHL: All right.

MR. JABOUIN: Do we have Dan O'Keefe on the line?

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, I'm here. I've been listening throughout your entire meeting and I'm sorry I can't be here in person but I have got one more day of quarantine I have to do before I can get back out in the real world.

MR. JABOUIN: So the next agenda item is the required communication and the audit plan by MSL. There is some documents in your package, that if you could refer to it as we ask either Mr. Castaneda and Mr. O'Keefe, if you could kindly just introduce yourself before you start speaking and then go to the documents, please.

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, this is Dan O'Keefe I'm the engagement shareholder on the audit and I'm

going to let Eddy do the presentation just from a practicality standpoint since he's live there.

But I can answer any questions along the way or either one of us can.

MR. CASTANEDA: Great. My name is Eddy

Castaneda. I'm the audit manager on the school

board's annual audit.

This document that was presented to you is our required planning communications. Our professional standards require us to communicate to you and to the governing body certain requirements, certain communications, such as overall upcoming new accounting standards that may impact the district as well as our general audit plan.

Those areas we typically generally look at in terms of our -- the internal controls over financial reporting and accounting. We look at some IT controls as they relate to the accounting software and the financial reporting. Other areas such as cash investments, debt, are significant areas as part of our annual audit procedures.

Part of this package as well, we're happy to report and include our 2020 peer review. We

received a pass -- our firm has received a pass grade. There's only three grades given. It's pass, pass with deficiencies or fail. And we have received pass.

Some -- two areas that I do want to hit on that are significant to the district are two GASB standards, GASB 84, which relates to fiduciary activities. The past year ourselves as well as the finance department have had constant, been in constant communication on how to implement this GASB as it will affect significant areas of financial reporting in the 2021 annual financial report.

Another one that is going to be implemented for next year which is the GASB statement on leases. That's going to change how leases are reported in the annual financial report and how the district has to account for those leases. The very top level, that operating leases are gone and everything's going to be treated as a capital lease going forward. That's just the bird's-eye view of it.

And then our timing of the audit is we're currently in our interim stage which started in June. We're still waiting on a few items to be

given to us so we can complete that work. Our intentions in the past few years have been to come out in October for that month through mid-November, issue our reports by mid-November, by that -- by that audit committee council meeting in November with plans for that to be given to the board in their December meeting.

Now one other thing, this is an auditor general year as well. Every three years the district gets independently audited by the Florida Auditor General, which this is that year. So they'll be -- but typically they don't issue their audits until the following year, early 20 -- this would be early 2022.

Other than that I'll -- if the committee members have any questions or comments or concerns?

MR. DE MEO: Mr. Chair, just a couple questions.

MR. MEDVIN: Sure.

MR. DE MEO: In your initial assessment of risks did you find any significant risks? Did you identify anything as a significant risk?

Not significant items, significant risk, elevated risk, high risk.

MR. CASTANEDA: Obviously internal controls over financial statement preparation is a significant risk of ours as well as internal controls over just generally -- your general accounting and reporting areas.

MR. DE MEO: So you'll be performing additional procedures then to satisfy yourselves about those risks?

MR. CASTANEDA: Correct.

MR. DE MEO: In those areas?

MR. CASTANEDA: Yes, sir.

MR. DE MEO: And has that been a material or significant weakness in the past?

MR. CASTANEDA: Not that we have found; no significant deficiencies or material weakness.

MR. DE MEO: But this year you've flagged it as a significant risk, is that because our chief financial officer, there's been a change in that area?

MR. CASTANEDA: It's always been a significant risk. That's kind of -- since we've done procedures. But to your point about the chief financial officer, we will do additional procedures to adequately document -- you know, there's always a change, a loss of knowledge when

a high level official leaves their position. So we just want to make sure that policies and procedures are being followed in the absence of those type of employees leaving.

MR. DE MEO: I noticed that you've identified information technology systems as a significant audit area.

MR. CASTANEDA: Mm-hmm.

MR. DE MEO: Does that include cyber security?

MR. CASTANEDA: It includes a general -- our general -- we do a general IT assessment. We are only, by our standards, have to take a look at the IT controls as it relates to the financial reporting, not general cyber security risk.

Although we do take a level, when we do those IT assessments, to do a general cyber security general IT threat assessment and give any potential recommendations and comments to the IT department directly.

MR. DE MEO: And what about bid procedures, how do they -- do you cover those?

MR. CASTANEDA: We do a sampling of bids that were issued in the fiscal year to see what compliance -- not compliance with every --

everything in the policy, just generally -- a general rule of thumb is that the evaluation was done properly, that the bid was advertised fairly, and just general compliance with the policy.

MR. DE MEO: Do you rely on any third party auditor reports for the IT area?

MR. CASTANEDA: Not for the IT area; no.

MR. DE MEO: For any of the software or anything?

MR. CASTANEDA: Nothing like -- well, we do get some SOC reports, but I don't believe that they're involved -- SOC 1 being the general controls audits, but not as they pertain to IT. I think everything for that is housed -- again, the IT software as it relates to the financial reporting modules, not any other modules throughout the district.

MR. DE MEO: And you test all the SOCs and review them and make sure that --

MR. CASTANEDA: The ones that are applicable to our audit. There could be other SOC reports that don't have anything to do with the financial -- the annual financial report. So the SOC reports that we typically review as part of our

procedures are any third parties that the district holds any significant investments with, any -- the SOC 1 reports of your general risks such as like health insurance and things like that that have significant balances in their financial report.

MR. DE MEO: Do you seek the use of independent firms for the valuation of securities?

MR. CASTANEDA: Yes, I know the district does have a third party that does those valuations and we do a general review of their controls and their SOC 1 reporting.

MR. DE MEO: And that complies with, what is it 820, AU 820? I forget what it is. The methodology, you look at the methodology and the valuation?

MR. CASTANEDA: The methodology; correct.

That it's being done in one of the approved valuations that GASB has approved for.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, I see capital assets accounting. I'm glad to see that there.

Yesterday -- investment policies and procedures,

debt. So yesterday the board had a discussion, because what's coming to a head, the bond was 800 million, the roofing and HVAC estimates were purposely -- HVAC I'm less sure about, but roofing for certain were purposely understated, which is why they had to keep going back for funding increases and why COPs were issued. The COPs that were issued last year to the tune of \$250 million were not issued for specific projects, which is generally what one issues COPs for. They were issued to cover the deficit in the reserves because the 800 million was never enough to do the scope of work that was supposed to be 800 million.

And yesterday one of the board members made a comment that they knew that it only covered about a third of the need. The problem is that it's not like we did a third of the projects with fidelity. Essentially the district is doing a third of the work that's needed on most of the facilities. By that I mean, yesterday was an example, Markham Elementary, they were going to just slap a roof on and put a new HVAC in when the thing should be demolished and rebuilt. That's going to cost all-in about 29 million and

require 29 million more in funding.

So now COPs and how much COPs they can issue without hitting the ceiling becomes a real conversation and we can't get a straight answer out of them as to how much more they can issue before they hit the ceiling.

Luckily there's a financial advisory committee meeting on the 27th of August, which I now have to attend. So I'm happy to see debt on here.

And then related to that, since 2014, budgetary compliance and accountability, last year the former chief financial officer was finally going to acknowledge in the budget the difference between the 2014 estimates for the projects in the SMART program and the current estimate that Atkins was providing. And the same board member actually that mentioned that 800 was not enough, and that would be Levinson, she stopped the chief financial officer from doing that.

I think they're finally now putting into the budget, but we have to confirm that tonight at the task force meeting, they're finally putting into the SMART reserves, although it will be in

the future, the difference between the old estimates and what it's likely to cost based on Atkins' most recent information.

So I'm happy to see this in here, but I hope that that will get looked at to confirm that what's in the budget is actually sufficient for the scope of work at Atkins' most recent estimates.

I mean, a budget is just based on what you actually know to be true at that point in time, but that hasn't been the case in the DEFP since 20 -- since the prices started going up and the deficiencies were realized.

So that's all I wanted to point out. I was happy to see those there.

Oh, and how do we contact you guys?

MR. CASTANEDA: On the first page of this letter you have Dan O'Keefe's number, I believe. And I can give you my card when I leave here.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Perfect. Thank you.

MR. CASTANEDA: All of you can reach out to me directly.

MR. MEDVIN: Any other questions?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: I don't believe there is

	Page 135
1	anything to vote on.
2	MR. JABOUIN: There is nothing to vote on. I
3	did send out I did send out MSL's documents to
4	the board members for their knowledge, but there
5	is nothing to transmit with this.
6	So that concludes the agenda items.
7	MR. MEDVIN: So is there a motion to adjourn?
8	MS. SHAW: Motion to adjourn. Phyllis Shaw.
9	MR. MEDVIN: Second?
10	MS. DAHL: Second.
11	MR. MEDVIN: Second by Rebecca Dahl.
12	All in favor say aye.
13	COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
14	MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. MEDVIN: Thank you all. It's been a long
17	meeting.
18	(Meeting was concluded at 1:32 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD 3 4 I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary 5 Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did 7 stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and 8 that the transcript is a true and complete record of 9 my stenographic notes thereof. 10 Dated this 23rd day of August 2021, Fort 11 Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. 12 13 14 TIMOTHY R. BASS Court Reporter 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

A	added 30:13 32:21	agent 94:15	41:22 42:11,13 43:7	107:7 125:16,21,22
	40:16 66:16 120:22	aggressive 26:1	51:17 52:3 54:1,22	126:5,11 128:5,10
a.m 1:14 78:8	adding 116:17	ago 14:20 44:9 49:11	125:7,22 126:12,17	Arlotta 2:10 6:4,4
ability 32:4 111:14 able 6:22 7:10 9:9	addition 9:11 69:7	66:18 69:19 82:8,20	130:24	arrived 21:5
	88:13	97:3 103:24 104:19	answer 7:10 61:24	asked 12:25 59:6
14:4 15:4 16:3	additional 17:6 31:12	105:1,2	62:11 86:20,23	65:8 83:11 86:20
22:21 25:14 32:8	32:14 37:13 94:10	agree 8:7,8 9:8 11:1	91:20 125:3 133:4	91:16,21 93:7,7
45:25 46:7,12 59:13	94:10 128:7,23	32:6 35:4 48:15	answers 90:6	95:6
61:8,23 62:3,6	address 15:4 26:19	50:11,12,15 51:9,10	Anthony 2:4 4:23	asking 29:15
69:18 76:9 94:2	51:7 52:18 90:3,18	77:16 82:8 91:8,17	47:13	assertion 43:6 86:9
107:10 115:9	92:1 96:12 97:8	94:24 95:6,7 104:15	anybody 8:4 62:15	assessment 6:21 7:2
absence 12:6 129:3	addressed 26:8,18	106:1 109:18	84:3 115:20	56:24 102:21 103:3
absolutely 46:19 70:9	61:7 87:9 91:4	116:18	anymore 58:15 98:11	127:21 129:12,18
71:2,23	addresses 26:20	agreed 60:18,24 63:6	112:19	assessments 129:17
Academic 2:19 21:10	addressing 90:11	74:9 76:4 78:21	anyone's 54:25	assets 112:5 131:23
accept 29:24,25 30:3	adequacy 117:2,6	80:19 92:16 93:9	apologies 36:8 45:19	assigned 90:7
30:4 36:9 63:3	118:8 120:7	96:14 99:7 113:8	apologize 19:8 67:22	Atkins 133:17
114:16	adequate 84:10	119:12	101:3	Atkins' 134:3,7
acceptable 7:14 9:25	adequately 62:7	ahead 14:4 15:1,9	apparently 121:11	attach 109:19
16:15	128:24	35:16 95:25 98:18	appear 79:19	attached 87:23
acceptance 90:21	adhered 79:1	AICA 80:14	appears 64:14 76:15	108:22 109:13
accomplish 40:17	adjourn 135:7,8	Alhadeff's 122:1	Apple 89:5,9,11	attaching 122:16,19
52:15	administration 1:10	Ali 2:9 6:2	applicable 63:2	attempt 105:8
account 126:18	48:9	all-in 132:25	130:21	attempt 103.8 attend 10:14,16
accountability 2:16	Administrative 11:5	all-inclusive 106:14	applied 80:2 121:23	12:12,15 46:12 67:6
2:17 5:21 34:20,24	14:7	Allegiance 4:13,14	applied 80.2 121.23 apply 82:12	133:9
65:15 93:21 133:12	adopt 10:20	Allen 66:4	appoint 32:4 35:17	ATTENDANCE 2:1
accountant 37:22	adopting 70:21	allocation 88:24	36:16 44:20	attended 68:23
39:25 40:15 42:23	adopting 70.21 adoption 9:1 25:15	allow 14:15 15:3	appreciate 18:10	attended 68.23 attendees 59:24 60:5
43:13 55:21 56:9	adopts 35:18	25:18,21 27:13 46:2	45:19,22 46:6	67:19,25 70:11
accounting 24:25	advertised 74:16	allowing 14:16	approach 77:7	attention 41:24 76:14
64:25 66:9 125:13	130:3	alluding 95:10	appropriate 23:3	attestation 99:12
125:18,19 128:5	advertisement 59:17	alongside 45:25	appropriate 25.5 approval 6:15 21:16	attorney 35:15
131:24	advisable 47:24,25	alternate 29:5,17,22	21:23 25:15,25	attorneys 16:2 61:11
achieve 84:2	Advisors 3:3,4	30:5,7 31:1,2,4,13	27:15 43:21,25,25	AU 131:15
acknowledge 11:25	advisory 11:6,19	31:17 36:2,18	44:1,24 45:1 50:17	audio 117:12
12:5 112:14 133:14	118:23 133:7	alternates 31:14	50:18,20,20 52:2,5	audit 1:5 4:5,18 7:6
Acknowledgement	affect 126:11	amend 52:17 93:2	54:1,22,23	10:9 11:15 12:1,3,8
11:6	afternoon 57:6,9,16	108:8	approve 18:15 21:24	12:16,18,21,23,24
acquire 88:14	68:4	amended 54:16	45:3 52:8	13:2,10,12,16,24
act 110:2	agenda 6:14,15,17	amendment 92:15	approved 12:10 22:6	15:3 18:8 19:7
Acting 2:19 21:13	7:10,20 8:13 9:1	amount 98:12	49:18 80:23 108:10	20:19 21:7 23:5
action 96:4	10:17,20 11:4 12:9	analyzing 113:17	131:19,20	24:22,23 25:4,22
activities 115:4,9	14:25 15:2,8,22,24	Andrew 2:2 5:7 19:14	approves 25:13	27:3,5,6,12,16 28:2
126:8	15:25 16:8,11,18,20	20:3,9 47:10	approves 23.13 approving 18:14	28:3,10,22 29:1
activity 88:13,13	16:23 17:4,5 18:16	Ann 2:9 5:25 12:2	53:16	30:11 31:19 32:15
actual 39:1 52:24	18:21,23 19:10	announce 45:13	April 58:1,4	32:16,20,20 33:7
68:10	21:16 24:20 25:9	announcement 11:15	Arcese 2:9 6:2,2	35:21 36:2 37:17,19
Adam 2:5 5:9 22:2	50:5 57:19,23	annual 11:18 24:23	area 99:17,21 128:19	37:20 38:3,15,24
36:5 47:12	105:23,25 124:17	37:17 38:3,15,24	129:7 130:7,8	39:1,3,23 40:13
add 41:7 48:25 59:13	135:6	39:1,3 40:12 41:4	areas 16:12 17:23	41:2,5,23 42:11
63:9 66:5 71:13	155.0	37.1,3 40.12 41.4	arcas 10.12 17.23	+1.2,3,23 42.11
	l		l	I

46:23 47:21 48:22 49:18 50:1 51:12,18 52:3 54:22 55:14 58:3 60:2,19,21 61:2,14 65:9 66:15 75:18 80:15,17 89:22 90:10,24 91:14,18 92:6,16 93:11,15 94:13 96:14 97:9 98:17 99:6,9,11 102:1 106:24 107:14,23 108:13,14 109:4 110:19 111:1 114:18,19 115:19 117:24,25 119:14 120:4 123:16 124:18,25 125:6,7 125:15,22 126:23 127:5 129:7 130:22 **audited** 34:7 127:10 **auditing** 34:2,3 82:19 **auditor** 2:7,8,11,11 4:9 6:1,3,5,7 11:5 21:9 27:24 32:2 33:10 34:2,3,16,23 36:17 38:1,2,6,8,11 38:16,22 39:2,4,8 39:20 40:1,4,21,24 41:15,19 42:10,13 42:19 43:3,5,9,11 44:7,12,20 45:2,5 48:10,21 49:6 50:25 51:13 52:5,22 55:16 55:17,19 81:1 83:24 90:22 91:9 92:10 101:25 107:25 108:9 111:13 114:4 114:13 115:5.7 116:22 118:18 119:3 127:8,11 130:7 auditor's 13:11 14:6 **auditors** 47:22 48:1 48:16 76:3 113:14 115:7 116:23 audits 2:9,9,10,10 27:19 34:22 67:7 91:14 92:11 94:10 94:24 98:19 104:7 110:16,17 111:13

113:16 115:6 127:13 130:14 August 1:13 4:4 25:7 25:10,15 56:1 58:2 133:8 136:10 **AUP** 64:15 86:6 authorized 136:6 automatically 30:25 31:9 54:8 available 30:14 31:7 31:18 32:1 **Avenue** 1:11,23 award 58:24 72:6 98:25 113:12 119:20 awarded 71:17 awarding 92:8 aware 10:15 16:10 44:22 46:3 68:19 74:22 89:1 awkward 44:16 aye 11:1,2 19:21,22 20:4,5 22:4,5 23:13 23:14 36:19,20 55:8 55:9 120:24 121:2 135:12,13

B
B 2:12 26:12
back 7:22 11:13 12:4
14:21 22:15 23:1
39:6 75:1 77:8,9,15
87:13 88:21 100:6
101:15 102:5
104:18,20 111:25
116:19 124:16
132:6
backed 88:10
background 91:11
backs 51:2

balances 131:5 bandwidth 102:5 Barnes 2:3 4:19,20 47:7,8,8 89:14,15 91:9 121:1 122:9,13 122:20 123:1,3,6,8

bad 66:19

bake 77:3

Barnes' 99:9 **based** 38:18 60:9 61:13 68:20 69:3

79:20 80:15 81:6,13 83:19 90:12,21 92:6 104:8 113:5,7 119:11 134:2,9 **basically** 44:15 51:24 58:25 64:3 65:11 69:10 94:16 116:25 **basis** 48:11 **Bass** 1:22,23 3:4 5:23 5:24,24 21:20,20 136:4.14 **battle** 77:25 BCPS 58:11,19 88:23 **BDO** 84:12 **BECON** 17:18,22 **bed** 73:23 beginning 55:18 **Behavioral** 6:21 7:1

56:23 102:21 103:3 **Bekaert** 27:25 **belabor** 65:4 70:8 98:10 **believe** 9:11 16:2 37:5

48:23 65:19 66:9,22 68:20,24 69:1,21 70:22 74:5 75:4 77:10 80:6,13 84:6 86:24 87:8 88:7,16 89:6 90:1 93:16 95:10 97:10,12,22 122:17 123:15 130:12 134:18,25

benefit 107:3
Benefits 2:17 6:10
best 7:10,11 13:5
17:18 44:17 79:12
84:8 85:11 88:23
97:5 102:2,25
117:16
better 23:25 24:2,17

54:21 64:4 85:8

99:5 101:5 **beyond** 49:23 **bid** 57:21 59:10,15,16 59:22,25 60:5,8,14 60:20 63:13,14,16 65:12 66:2,19 67:20 67:24 68:1 2 10 11

67:24 68:1,2,10,11 68:12,15,16,18 69:12 18 23 70:1 10

69:12,18,23 70:1,10 70:12,12,13 71:3,17 71:22 72:2,7,8,13
72:17,17 73:13,18
74:16,21 75:4,14
76:16,21 79:2 80:2
83:4,17 84:7,8 85:4
88:7,10 92:8,9 93:4
96:15 98:22 99:1,1
99:19,23,24,25
101:16 105:10,14
105:15 106:2,22,24
110:24 113:12,13
116:1 117:3,7 118:8
119:13,19,20,21,24
120:7 129:21 130:3

bidders 69:24 84:3 bidding 28:1 74:1 79:24 82:13 84:1 bids 62:3,3 66:13 70:2,4 72:1 75:14

94:11 97:6 101:15 101:22 102:11 107:8 119:4 129:23 **big** 6:19 7:19 8:1

bigger 41:25 biggest 87:1 bird's-eye 126:22 bit 50:4 79:4 81:25 black 34:5

blah-blah 38:6 54:1 113:9 blah-blah-blah

117:4 blanking 75:3 blatantly 75:24 blunt 42:14 board 1:3,10 4:6 8:17

11:6,18 13:15 17:4 23:12 24:23 25:5,13 25:15,16,25 26:14 27:4,17,18 29:4 32:13 33:9,17,25 34:3,6 35:10,18 37:15,23 38:5,10 39:8,15,17,20 40:1 40:7 44:14 48:13 49:13,20,21 50:6,13 50:23 51:11 52:7,8 53:15,23,24 54:2,7

54:24 55:25 56:1

61:15 63:7 66:15

59:6 60:21,23 61:3

70:19 75:10,17 78:6 81:4 83:24 93:5,9 93:24 96:5 98:1,6 98:17,25 99:20 100:17 106:19 107:1 108:3,23 109:21 110:6,7 119:25 120:1 121:7 121:10,15,22 122:18,23 127:7 132:1,15 133:18 135:4

board's 37:19 39:21 63:5 125:7 body 34:17 38:4 125:11

bond 79:20 80:1 88:3 111:22,23,25 112:3 112:7,9 132:2

boss 72:22,23 bothered 33:25 bottom 59:23 bought 64:3,9,19

79:9 81:13 bounds 39:13 brainstorm 13:1

brand 44:1 breaking 8:5 101:4 brief 48:23

bring 13:2 15:1 76:13 76:20 124:1

bringing 74:13 94:23 **broad** 95:21 96:7,22 116:20

broadcast 17:18,23 18:1,6

broader 77:17 **brought** 17:13 74:18 94:21 97:24 108:3

Broward 1:3 4:6 21:11 48:3 80:24 136:3,11 **budget** 79:13 88:24

98:13 133:14,23 134:6.9

budgetary 133:12 buffer 32:11 building 18:3 bullet 33:6,6

bullets 17:6 **bumped** 103:6

certified 24:25 37:21 **buv** 95:5,6,6 **column** 60:10 13:11 14:6 21:9,10 45:2 46:23 47:2 **buying** 64:9,20 95:8 39:24 40:14 42:23 21:13 28:9 32:19 combination 18:11 49:5 50:25 51:12,13 43:12 55:21 56:8 48:8 81:1 83:23 come 7:5.22 12:4.19 51:18 52:3,5,6,12 112:5 87:8 90:22 91:9 certify 136:6 17:24 27:17 45:21 52:22 54:23 55:9,14 \mathbf{C} cetera 41:18,18 68:20 107:24 111:13 46:9,20 50:24 66:23 55:17,18,19,25 **C-level** 81:21 **chair** 2:2,2 4:10,10,11 112:1 114:4.13 80:10 95:16 101:24 57:25 58:3,5,23 cabinet 110:8 7:12 13:2,15,16 115:7 118:17 119:3 105:12 127:3 60:22 61:2,14,22,24 **calendar** 6:25 46:2 16:14 18:24 19:3,4 128:17,23 133:13 **comes** 15:6 62:1,15 66:15 75:18 call 4:17 21:5 27:15 19:5,6,11,11,13,14 133:20 **coming** 45:24 53:20 82:9 84:20 89:22,25 89:19 93:3,5,24 children 98:15 19:21 20:2,4,9,10 55:4 132:2 90:25 93:15 99:10 104:10 107:6 20:18 21:8,9,12 **chips** 66:24 **comment** 7:15 14:14 103:6 107:16.24 108:17,17 109:22 26:15 27:4,5,6 **choice** 124:6 15:4,17 16:13,20 108:4,13 111:1 **called** 37:16 43:7,8 30:11,14,17 31:7,8 **choose** 20:14 18:18 23:17 24:16 114:14,18 115:19 70:19 93:20 31:8,16,17,18,19,21 chooses 25:16 30:1 33:24 48:20 115:25 117:24 **calling** 109:21 31:22,25 32:3,20 chosen 25:1 52:18 54:7 58:7,9 120:24 122:21 calls 26:13 33:16 33:7,7,9,10,16,17 chronological 59:12 58:23 60:4,7 62:10 123:24 127:5,15 cancelled 99:2 34:9,11,19,23 40:10 chronology 59:14 85:24 94:8 103:23 133:8 135:13 capability 53:1 **CIO** 92:13 94:11 42:19 43:2,22 48:19 132:16 committee's 13:10 capital 126:21 131:23 56:18 58:17,19,20 101:6 102:7 104:20 **comments** 14:8,11,18 76:13 capturing 94:13 62:8 63:15,18,20 106:10 110:17 15:9,19,25 16:4,5,9 committees 11:19 card 134:19 78:13 85:7 89:15 111:2 114:20 18:17 21:18,22 109:25 122:23 care 47:2 91:6 96:11 127:18 115:23 116:20 23:11 26:8.20 29:7 **common** 49:25 50:1 carried 106:6 **chair's** 31:20 103:6,7 118:1,11 120:5 29:23 54:19 58:5 communicate 10:12 carries 36:23 55:12 **challenges** 13:1 26:2 circumstances 49:8 59:11 61:2,4,14 125:10 121:4 27:20 28:7 circumvented 100:9 62:13 67:2 90:3 communicated 10:14 cart 73:2 challenging 32:12 82:14 cite 122:4 101:13 127:16 Cartwright 2:15 **change** 26:7 28:2 clarification 40:17 129:19 communication 10:13 13:20 45:14 33:5 35:19,20 42:19 58:9 89:13 **committee** 1:5 2:1 4:5 124:18 126:10 45:16,18 46:16,19 clarify 98:21 communications 8:16 43:2 48:8,9,16 4:18 7:6 10:9 11:2,7 46:24 47:2 58:16 92:5,12,15 **clarity** 121:15 11:16 12:1,3,8,16 125:9.12 case 23:24 29:2 31:6 115:3 122:24 **clean** 50:5 12:18,21,23,24 13:3 **companies** 47:19,22 32:15 39:12 69:19 126:16 128:18,25 **clear** 40:3 42:2,7,10 13:4,8,12,16,24 48:21 83:19 73:5 115:4 134:11 **changed** 58:13 64:24 42:17 44:7,19 55:5 15:3 18:8,21,24,25 company 65:21 90:10 cash 125:21 63:20 72:2 73:12 19:2,7,8,12,22 20:5 **compare** 60:12 77:1 cast 49:13 **changes** 9:1 10:21 84:25 92:4 20:20 21:3,7 22:5 comparing 42:1 Castaneda 3:4 30:23,24 52:16 53:5 cleared 6:25 23:1,14 24:7,22 60:16 79:23 124:21 125:5,6 clearly 71:9 80:16 55:15 117:18 25:4,22 26:15 27:3 **complete** 9:10 11:23 128:1,9,11,14,20 **Clerk** 2:12 **charge** 50:23 72:22 27:5,7,12,16 28:3,3 87:2 111:18 127:1 129:8,11,23 130:8 73:7 87:12 105:19 closure 28:19 28:5,10,22 29:1,5 136:8 130:11,21 131:10 **charged** 90:16,16,22 **clumsy** 43:20 30:12,21 31:8,19 **completed** 9:5 11:8 131:18 134:17,21 122:17 **Cognia** 105:4 32:2,10,16 33:8,11 completely 35:5 **CDI** 57:22 **check** 28:12 33:22 Coker 2:22 57:11,11 completion 37:20 34:7,16,19,23 35:21 **ceiling** 133:3,6 compliance 121:17 56:16 61:23 67:8 68:4,5 35:22 36:2,17,20 **CENTER** 1:10 **checked** 28:14 61:10 129:25,25 130:4 69:6 70:15 71:6,17 37:13,17 38:7,8,8 **certain** 12:13 61:15 72:16 73:6,24 74:20 38:11,12,22,25 39:4 133:12 75:1 101:5 125:11,12 complied 80:6 **checking** 22:8,10 76:8,17 94:5,6 39:5,9,17,20 40:2,4 132:5 33:19 96:12 97:1 100:11 40:6,7,8,13,18,21 complies 131:14 **certainly** 99:14 106:2 **Cherry** 27:25 100:13 115:14 40:25 41:5,10,15,20 comply 84:6,7,8 122:21 **chief** 2:7,8,16,19,19 Coker's 74:17 41:22,23 42:3,8,11 **computers** 65:15 81:4 CERTIFICATE 2:20,21 4:8 5:21,25 colleague 76:24 42:12,14,20 43:3,8 concern 35:9 87:21 136:1 6:2,5,7,11 11:5 **colleagues** 5:18 85:10 43:9,11 44:8,20 94:19 98:11 110:4,5

r
compound 62.22
concerned 63:23
64:24 70:6,8,14
76:4 91:21
concerning 70:17
concerns 62:19,22
63:10 64:14 65:3
71:14,15 82:10,10
82:11 83:25 86:3
87:1 92:1 109:20
110:13 122:19
127:17
conclude 8:19 14:6
concluded 135:18
concludes 62:8 135:6
conclusion 28:21 79:8
86:10
conclusions 62:24
80:9 86:15
conduct 38:3
conducted 37:21
39:24
conference 59:22
67:20 68:11,16,22
68:23 69:5,23
confidence 20:20
21:3 72:8
confident 94:25 99:19
confirm 133:23 134:5
confirmation 22:16
confirmed 59:8
conforms 66:9
confused 56:18,22
congratulate 20:8
congratulations
<u> </u>
20:25
connect 12:4
conscience 75:22
consecutive 44:24
consider 16:1 18:9
28:11 104:12
consideration 28:7
48:4
considerations 48:5
considered 48:6
64:25 65:1
consist 40:8,15 42:24
43:14,15 55:21
consistency 37:15
constant 72:24 126:9
126:10
constraints 7:8 10:1

construct 34:22
construe 38:19
Consultant 3:2
consultants 12:14
22:11 61:11
consulted 63:21
consulting 58:19
81:24
contact 14:2 134:16
contention 18:4
continue 19:5,6 41:16
47:16 93:25 94:3
96:25
continued 106:3
continuing 19:3
contract 25:24 28:1
37:25 49:17,22,22
49:23 50:7,9,24
51:6 52:7,9 53:17
contrary 45:6
control 51:12 76:23
controls 62:4 76:25
77:6 87:13 125:17
125:19 128:1,4
129:14 130:14
131:12
convene 25:21
conversation 88:25
117:13 133:4
conversations 114:11
convoluted 64:11
Conway 2:9 5:25,25
copied 110:8,8
COPs 132:7,8,10
133:2,2
copy 50:16
correct 9:18 30:19
33:12,16 59:3 69:6
69:6 71:5,6 74:2,16
74:18 78:4,12 81:17
83:1,5 85:5 90:1
93:18 96:3,3 119:8
128:9 131:18
correctly 56:20 64:2
70:14 71:16 72:9
95:1 104:11
cost 132:25 134:2
council 127:5
counsel 121:25

country 53:4

counts 50:13

county 1:3 4:6 21:11 38:5 48:3 80:24 89:7 136:3,11 couple 47:21 67:2 98:18 101:13 120:12 127:18 coupled 120:21 court 1:22 3:4 5:24 104:25 136:4,14 cover 75:9 76:1 77:11 85:14 95:22 96:8 107:19,23 108:15 108:21,24 123:16 129:22 132:11 covered 32:5,18 132:16 coverings 122:5 covers 57:20,23 122:17 CPA 3:2 CPAs 3:3,4 83:2 crazy 117:8 created 94:20 105:16 Crenshaw 100:11,14 critical 7:21 98:14 critical 7:21 98:14 critically 67:7 cure 40:11 75:12 current 14:2 16:11 19:3 26:5 27:24 30:16 44:12 48:10 49:6 67:18 101:22 101:22 102:11,11 105:3 133:16 currently 18:16 25:1 28:6 81:23 126:24 cut 36:13 50:6 82:3 cyber 81:19 129:9,15
129:17
D
Dade 28:14 89:7
Dahl 2:3 4:21,22 8:8
23.16 18 10 26.21

D
Dade 28:14 89:7
Dahl 2:3 4:21,22 8:8
23:16,18,19 26:21
26:25 46:17,22,23
46:25 47:9 51:8
56:17,22,25 91:7,8
113:22,25 123:18
124:3,9 135:10,11

Dan 3:3 21:9 124:10 124:24 134:18 **dancing** 111:24 **DANIEL** 2:19 data 78:22 date 14:11 59:16 68:1 68:2,25 87:22 99:23 100:2 **Dated** 136:10 dates 103:19 **David** 66:4 day 12:20 42:10 57:6 71:17 78:5 95:4 124:15 136:10 days 74:19 85:18 daytime 81:24 **De** 2:4 4:23,24 29:20 29:21 30:2,3 32:6 36:16 40:10,22 41:4 42:5,18,22 43:4,14 43:18 44:23 45:8 47:13,13,16 50:15 51:25 52:17 53:8,10 56:4,7,10,13 78:13 78:14,15,18,20 79:3 79:6,14,18 80:5,22 81:2,16 82:4,7 83:3 83:6,9,23 84:5,12 84:17 85:6,25 96:10 96:11 107:13 109:3 109:10,16 110:22 111:6,9 115:17,22 116:4,8,9,12,18 117:2,7,14 118:10 118:15,19 120:7 127:18,21 128:6,10 128:12,16 129:5,9 129:21 130:6,9,19 131:7,14,21 **deal** 35:6 debt 125:21 132:1 133:9 December 99:24 100:15 127:7 **decent** 81:15 decide 7:13 96:6

decided 106:7,8

106:4.5

dedicate 12:20

decision 46:4 48:6

60:18 70:25 89:10

deemed 35:12 **deeply** 82:10 **default** 30:20 deficiencies 126:3 128:15 134:13 **deficit** 132:11 **definitely** 64:23 70:22 102:22 **DEFP** 134:11 **delayed** 105:13 **deliberate** 13:5 63:11 105:7 deliver 48:3 **Dell** 71:25 84:16 **delve** 62:12 demolished 132:24 demonstrate 69:18 **depart** 20:15 department 13:25 24:1 58:12 94:21 95:18 126:9 129:20 departments 23:21 24:3 descend 105:5 described 59:4 designate 20:14 **designee** 2:18 30:12 30:13 31:3,3,8,17 32:4,21,23,25 102:16 desire 62:2 desired 84:2 **determine** 8:11 49:21 50:7,8 82:24 83:11 86:4,21 **determined** 9:6 22:9 102:4 development 25:14 25:24 device 89:9,11 devices 57:20 60:9 88:14 89:5 112:10 dictate 38:13 40:6 difference 133:15 134:1 **different** 7:5 44:10 47:14 59:15 60:11 64:10 76:23 79:4 83:18 90:5 102:6 105:17,18 111:12

117:13,17 122:4,11

differently 98:9 102:19 difficult 67:11 89:23 89:24 difficulty 29:3 digest 82:5 **Dildra** 2:17 6:9 dimension 43:23 **dining** 75:8 **dinner** 75:7 diplomatic 42:15 directed 90:13 direction 90:6 **directions** 61:19 98:9 directly 66:2 74:9 105:19 129:20 134:22 **director** 2:17,21,22 6:10 57:12 74:14,20 76:15 77:14 93:9 100:11 directors 77:23 **disagree** 64:16 66:8 86:15 **Disch** 4:25 11:12 disclosure 16:3 discovery 92:7 113:11 119:14 **discuss** 7:4 8:3 16:1 33:9 52:19 61:21 80:22 81:12 84:3.4 99:8 **discussed** 24:21,24 25:11 26:11 27:12 28:20 47:17 58:4 81:1 84:23 85:6 107:3 **discussing** 54:5 94:23 109:1 **discussion** 9:9 17:9 28:21 48:24 51:19 56:2 89:3,17 92:21 95:23 123:2 132:1 discussions 14:20 disguise 65:14 disrespect 97:11 **dissent** 121:11 **district** 2:14 4:9 12:1 12:12,21 27:13 28:2 28:8 37:23 38:5,19 57:23 64:1,20 71:18

81:13 89:7 99:5,5 105:3 112:13,21 125:14 126:6,18 127:10 130:18 131:2,10 132:19 district's 11:17 24:25 **districts** 27:20,22 28:12 doctorate 81:19 **document** 26:9 54:15 68:9 125:8 128:24 documentation 68:1 68:3 69:2,3 70:18 79:11 83:14 110:25 112:6 documented 60:13 documents 59:22 60:3 67:18 124:19 124:23 135:3 doing 22:7 23:20 24:3 24:5 26:24 39:15,17 45:23 89:25 95:1 104:3 112:1 115:10 116:7 122:10 132:19 133:20 dollars 79:11 112:7,9 dot 16:7,8,10 26:16 26:17,20 27:1 33:18 59:4 dots 26:19 **doubt** 65:8 downplay 63:12,12 105:8 **dozen** 53:4 **Dr** 2:5,15,16,17,19 3:2 5:3,4,19,20 6:8 6:9,9,18,19 7:3,14 7:18 9:21,24 10:3,6 10:7,11,13,24,25 13:15,16,20 15:12 17:1,2,8,24 18:14 18:18 21:19 22:23 23:8,19,25 29:22 30:4,6 31:11,23 32:22,24 33:3,13,15 33:19 34:9,11,14 35:4 36:18,24 37:1 37:5,6,8,9 39:14,18 40:11,20,24 41:11 41:21 42:9,21 45:14

45:16 47:1,6 50:10

50:11 51:9,24 53:12 53:18 54:4,18,25 55:3 56:6,11,23 57:9,9 61:7 62:19 66:1 67:9 71:8,12 71:13 78:17,17,18 78:19,22 79:4,10,15 81:16,18 82:6 84:10 84:16 85:5,23,25 88:20 89:5 91:17 98:20.21 100:14 101:9,19 102:14,14 102:25 103:4,12,14 103:17,20 104:1,14 104:15 105:22,25 109:10,16 110:4,10 110:15 111:4.19 113:1,4 114:8,10 115:11,21 116:3,18 116:23 117:5 118:4 118:7,13,21,23 119:1,7,10 121:6,10 121:21,24 122:7,24 123:10 131:22,23 134:20 dragged 66:6 driven 60:15 driving 73:2 dropping 45:24 due 99:23,24 100:2

 \mathbf{E} earlier 72:3 76:24 88:22 early 73:17 127:13,14 easily 69:12,15 106:21 eastern 99:24 **EDCO** 61:16 65:22 65:22 83:9,11 87:16 87:20 Eddy 3:4 125:1.5 edit 101:4 **education** 83:9 87:16 98:15 **effort** 63:12 egregious 104:17 eight 64:12

Dunn 2:21 63:25 87:8

Duval 28:16

dynamic 43:23

either 15:21 16:2,5 17:24 18:1,11 31:11 31:12 50:19,21 63:5 124:20 125:4 **elaborate** 61:12 89:4 **elected** 4:11 19:4 election 19:11 Elementary 132:22 elements 98:12 elevated 127:25 **email** 11:10.13 114:1 114:3,15 116:6,14 employees 84:18 129:4 **Employment** 2:18 6:10 encourage 107:8 ended 65:20 66:3 87:20 enforcement 101:21 101:25 103:25 engaged 61:7 engagement 52:24 62:20,23 64:15 66:8 72:1 99:11,12 124:25 **engaging** 40:14 41:6 41:7,9 42:23 43:12 55:20 63:2 **ensued** 73:13 **ensure** 61:16 **entered** 45:14 entering 37:24 **entire** 9:22 46:12 124:13 entity 34:17 37:23 environment 76:23 105:3 equipment 82:1 **ERIC** 2:11 erroneously 68:14 error 59:1,1 **especially** 6:24 86:18 essence 26:10 essentially 106:17 132:19 establish 38:6,10 39:8 40:1,4,5,7 41:9 established 11:19 38:14 40:13 41:6.8

42:22 43:11 55:20

establishes 38:14 establishing 38:12 **estimate** 133:17 estimates 132:3 133:15 134:2.8 et 41:17,18 68:20 **ethics** 35:10 evaluate 8:10,22 62:3 evaluation 130:2 event 100:20 events 59:13.15 everybody 23:9 everybody's 116:16 everything's 126:20 evidence 67:13 **evident** 68:18 **exact** 88:4 exactly 17:9 79:21 examination 80:10 examinations 80:20 examine 78:24 79:19 79:21 90:3 **example** 61:16 88:2 122:5 132:22 excellent 21:21 95:20 exceptions 9:20 22:24 excess 87:2 **exclude** 109:4,7 excuse 53:10 69:8 102:14 excused 4:25 **executive** 2:12 81:21 90:9 exhausted 64:23 **expand** 92:24 expedite 20:24 expended 97:24 expenditures 106:3 experience 38:18 experts 81:17 82:24 83:2 84:24 85:1 **explain** 46:11 68:13 121:8,12 **explained** 122:9,13 **explaining** 68:6,17 explanation 46:11 122:22 **express** 46:10 79:8 80:9,18 81:3 83:23 123:2,3,6 expressed 123:8

expressing 84:24 85:21 88:16,18 fire 122:1 **following** 4:1 40:9,15 133:1 extension 48:11 91:25 92:2,3,18,22 **firm** 25:1.23 27:15 42:24 43:16 55:22 funds 2:9 22:23 23:5 95:17 127:13 external 34:3 93:1 95:20 96:12 28:6 49:1 58:20 104:21 107:11 extremely 28:24 97:19,20 101:1 79:7,8 97:12 126:1 **foolish** 116:2 funnels 42:6 firms 27:23 28:4 **force** 133:24 32:12 106:1 107:22 109:7 **further** 85:13 87:5 109:15 110:11.16 44:10.12 48:2 53:1 foregoing 136:7 92:11 107:14 F 111:7,10,16 112:24 131:8 forensically 64:7 111:13 113:16 **faces** 47:4 113:3,5,11,16,21 first 4:5 7:25 10:9 forget 29:3 131:15 **future** 8:12 13:4 **facial** 122:5 114:2,3,17,22,24 14:22 16:8 20:17 Form 11:7 82:13 93:18 97:4 facilities 132:21 **formal** 94:16 116:7,10,13,15 26:17 27:1 30:17 110:16,17 134:1 fact 15:18 28:8 34:15 117:16,21 118:5,17 32:21 33:5.18 39:7 formally 12:5 fuzzy 77:22 62:23 64:1 68:21 118:20,24 119:11 43:9 44:1 49:16 **former** 12:24 58:18 G 69:4 71:22 72:7 119:16,21 120:1,12 50:16 52:18 53:22 72:23 73:3 74:24 74:12,19 76:7 86:14 **gap** 100:10 120:15 121:2 54:7 58:7 59:2 92:13 101:6 102:7 **GASB** 126:6,7,11,15 93:24 94:14 95:8 123:11 76:18 82:7 96:1,13 104:22 105:12,17 **factor** 74:8 131:20 Fertig's 71:15 73:15 97:23 100:6 111:25 112:1 114:20 factors 72:24 **gather** 28:23 120:21 122:15 113:6 115:2 117:21 115:23 116:20 **factual** 86:11 gavel 20:13,22 **fiasco** 73:7 118:6 119:6 134:17 117:25 118:11 **fail** 126:3 **general** 102:1 121:19 **fidelity** 132:19 **fiscal** 4:7 11:8 37:20 120:4 121:25 fair 71:1 107:1 121:25 125:14 fiduciary 126:7 129:24 133:13 121:21 127:9,11 128:4 **field** 52:21 **fish** 41:25 **forms** 11:9 **fairly** 130:4 129:11,12,12,15,17 **fight** 77:25 **five** 7:21 27:2,8 44:3 formulate 117:9 **fall** 66:24 129:18 130:2.4.13 **figure** 35:15 104:21 44:8,23 45:9 47:20 Fort 1:11,24 136:10 familiar 34:22 **file** 67:17 99:3 48:15,17 49:12 82:8 forth 32:14 37:24 131:3,12 far 58:9 60:8 98:8 generally 125:16 94:21 110:8 **fill** 31:20 82:20 97:3 99:4 118:25 128:4 130:1 132:10 **final** 53:16 **five-year** 49:23 51:1 **forward** 13:6 20:21 favor 19:20 20:3 22:4 gentleman 78:16 **finally** 133:14,22,24 51:5 20:24 46:1 75:21,25 23:13 36:19 55:8 gentlemen 97:11 **finance** 126:9 five-year-old 106:2 82:17 91:2 94:23 123:17 120:23 135:12 102:4 104:4,5 106:6 **financial** 6:11 24:23 **fix** 106:20 120:12 getting 64:18 72:6 **favorable** 69:14,15 28:9 37:17,19,19 **fixing** 119:22 106:23 107:25 **FDLE** 97:13 99:4 **gist** 120:15 38:3,24 39:3 40:12 **FL** 1:24 126:21 112:19 **give** 77:21 81:6,6,11 **flagged** 128:16 41:2,5,22 42:11,13 found 34:13 45:8 fear 23:22 91:15 107:2 112:24 43:8 48:8 51:17 **FLEMING** 2:19 48:12 68:15 90:20 February 59:7 129:18 134:19 54:1 55:16 86:8 21:12 98:17 107:4,7,21 100:12,13,14 112:1 125:18,20 **Flemming** 21:13 given 28:7,19 52:20 128:14 feel 8:4 32:4 54:21 78:22 80:19 91:2,15 126:12,12,17 128:2 flexibility 27:14 **four** 48:17 51:4 85:12 71:1 85:20 95:25 95:4 101:23 126:2 128:18,23 129:14 **floor** 19:16,19 51:15 90:11,12 111:10 **feeling** 77:22 127:1,7 130:16,23,24 131:6 Florida 1:11 4:6 **fourth** 58:22 76:18 **feels** 8:4 gives 28:4 32:11,14 133:7.13.20 15:16 25:2 41:17 fragmented 8:5 feet 122:1 glad 131:24 **frankly** 108:1 **find** 14:22 28:14 42:6 43:16 53:3 fell 88:1 **go** 13:6 14:3,25 15:9 82:22 83:3,18 87:18 55:22 81:22 127:11 **FRED** 3:2 **fellow** 82:9 free 122:21 25:6 26:9 35:18 90:4 115:15.16 136:2,5,11 **Fertig** 2:4 5:1,2 7:15 45:25 49:23 52:7 focus 65:14 96:25 127:22 **friendly** 92:15 7:17,18 11:12 29:8 53:3 54:9 58:8,17 **finding** 83:7 **focused** 65:24 85:16 **friends** 23:23 29:14.15.19 30:25 59:14.18.20 60:6.10 **findings** 64:16 80:8 focusing 82:19 89:24 **front** 87:24 32:3,7 35:14,25 60:17 76:14 77:8,9 86:9,10 90:4 **folder** 64:13 65:5 fry 41:25 37:4 51:14 52:2 77:15 82:16 90:5,18 FS 42:25 fine 14:16 16:17 folks 83:20 53:14,22 54:20 55:2 97:16,17 101:15 50:19 61:11 97:11 follow 10:5 94:15 **full** 46:8 55:4 67:1,5,10 69:1 103:17,21 104:3,18 104:6 **followed** 77:20 80:18 **fully** 46:3 69:25 71:7,9,21 106:23 108:19 finish 14:1 15:14 82:15 83:4 100:25 **function** 80:17 72:9 74:12 75:1 110:7 114:11 finished 75:6 129:3 **funding** 79:20 132:7

116:19 117:8	grand 85:19 87:11	HCT 3:2,2 6:20 8:18	HR 122:3,3,11	incorporating 42:7
124:23	105:4	22:12,16 23:2 56:16	huge 98:12	incorrect 75:24
go-around 50:16	grateful 20:18	56:19 57:7,10 59:3	humble 91:24	increases 132:7
goal 94:17 96:6	gratitude 46:10,11	59:6 60:7,12 63:12	humbled 20:18	independence 34:2,6
goes 50:9 89:17	great 23:20 24:3 49:7	64:2 92:6,16,24	Hunter 63:24 65:9,21	35:3 47:18 48:7
117:13	125:5	93:3,10 96:15 98:7	73:5 92:12 97:9	49:2
Gohl 2:19 21:7,10	greatly 45:18,22 46:5	113:8 115:5,10,20	104:24,24 109:5	independent 37:21
102:17,20,25 103:5	ground 97:18	119:12	HVAC 132:3,4,23	39:24 56:5,6,8
103:9,12,20 114:13	group 21:12 113:2	he'll 36:14		131:8
121:14 122:3,11,20	guaranteed 100:24	head 132:2	I	independently 127:10
going 7:4,25 14:14,24	guess 63:17 91:18,22	health 131:4	idea 51:4 52:19	indicate 79:11
22:17 28:1 35:20	GUESTS 3:1	hear 15:3 19:24 36:3	105:19 107:18	indicated 19:25 20:11
36:12 37:10 38:15	guide 12:10	102:20 114:13	ideal 48:15	indicates 59:23 60:11
49:8 51:16 54:9	guidelines 80:15	heard 20:7 100:16	identified 64:2	indicating 47:14
56:24 63:10 64:15	guys 66:6 80:6 82:23	hearing 6:24 10:19	106:12 129:5	indictment 87:11
65:12 66:23 70:7	134:16	23:23 36:1 54:21	identifies 14:24	104:23
75:25 78:9 85:11		65:10	identify 21:6 37:3	indictments 85:19
90:15 91:2 92:3,4	Н	heck 84:19	41:10 47:3 57:2,3	individuals 19:24
94:24 96:5 101:3	H 2:21	held 8:2 121:25	87:10 93:17 127:23	21:4 57:2 101:16,25
102:4,5,12,16,21	Hagen 4:25	helps 41:11	identity 40:17	103:11
103:8,17 104:7,8,11	half 53:4	hey 98:17	III 2:11,11	industry 50:2
105:5 108:15 110:5	hand 79:12	Hi 47:11	imagine 9:20	information 2:21
110:12 112:24	handle 82:1	Hicks 3:2 57:9,9 61:7	impact 26:3 46:5	76:8,9 78:2,3,9,12
113:1 115:13,15,17	handout 62:21	61:23 78:17,17,18	125:14	82:5 87:9 104:2
116:13,25 118:13	hands 36:14 97:15	78:19,22 79:4,10,15	impacted 25:2 26:4	129:6 134:3
119:10 123:11,12	happen 87:14 100:23	81:10,18 82:6 84:9	26:12	initial 127:21
124:1,3,7 125:1	happened 49:11	84:10,16 85:5 88:20	implement 126:10	initiates 99:5
126:14,16,20,21	63:24 66:11 98:4	89:5	implemented 126:14	input 17:16 117:1
132:6,22,25 133:14	104:17,19 105:1,2	high 127:25 129:1	important 8:14 13:7	instance 121:22
134:12	106:21 111:24	hired 58:19 71:18	47:18 48:7 56:7	insufficient 88:15
golf 73:2	112:15 118:24	82:24 83:1,2 85:2	61:25 66:12 67:8	96:19
gonna 29:19 103:12	122:8	86:3,22 104:20	69:8,10 86:18	insurance 131:4
good 4:3 5:20 6:9	happening 104:23	hiring 115:7 121:16	103:16,22 104:4,12	Integration 2:21
19:1 21:7,12 23:10	109:23 112:16,17	122:2	107:17	58:12
42:18 47:17 48:9	happens 54:11	history 67:17 71:24	importantly 94:17	intended 62:25 65:1
49:9 52:19 54:16	117:12	99:14	impression 68:8	intent 97:21
57:6,6,9,11,16,16	happy 93:2 96:8	hit 112:11 126:5	inappropriate 104:9	intentions 127:2
68:4 75:22 82:1,2	107:5 125:24 133:9	133:6	105:24	interactive 60:21
85:10 95:12 97:13	134:4,15	hitting 133:3	incidents 78:23	interest 35:15
98:8 107:18 114:24	hard 106:23	Hmm 34:10	include 31:11 41:17	interim 2:15 10:8,12
115:14	HARPALANI 2:10	holds 131:2	41:19 101:7,7 108:9	13:20 28:9 45:13
Gorokhovsky 2:18	Harvey 3:2 57:5,6,7	home 65:5	108:10 110:18,19	74:19 78:7 126:24
gotten 89:18	59:8,13 61:7,10,20	honored 105:9	111:3 118:1 122:21	internal 2:9 22:23
governing 26:14	61:22 62:10,11,16	hope 77:19 113:7	125:25 129:9	23:4 115:6 125:17
33:17 34:17 38:4	73:22 74:2,7,22	134:4	included 54:8,9,14	128:1,3
125:11	78:15 79:25 80:12	hopefully 25:19 26:2	61:3 67:20 74:6,8	interrupt 37:2 45:12
government 48:1	80:25 81:5 83:1,5,8	27:18 62:12	108:2,11,25 109:2	102:15
49:17	83:13 84:4,9 92:14	hoping 92:1	includes 108:5 114:20	interrupting 36:8
governmental 37:23	92:20 108:20	hour 63:9 66:5	120:5 129:11	45:20
grade 126:2	hate 15:23 84:14	hours 64:12 85:18,18	including 63:1 75:11	interview 58:11,18
grades 126:2	108:17	housed 130:15	incorporate 107:17	interviews 102:17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

intricacies 91:13	9:3,9,16,19 10:4,5	James 72:23	96:5 97:17,18 98:4	led 63:15 68:23
introduce 45:21	11:4 14:15,17,25	January 57:25 88:5	98:6,10 99:14,15,15	left 8:10 9:6 11:9,19
124:22	15:2,20,22 16:4,8,8	JENNIFER 2:10	103:4,5,13 108:17	52:22 56:4 62:13
introduction 28:19	16:11,20 17:5,11,12	Jermaine 2:19 21:13	109:24 110:12,14	65:5 73:6 74:15,20
62:8	18:17,21,23 19:10	job 21:21 23:20 24:3	110:18 111:25	105:18
introductory 29:7	21:16 22:7,9,21	24:17 44:17 49:7	114:17 118:12	legal 35:12
Intuit 84:17	24:11,20 25:9 43:18	73:2 90:18 94:19	119:5 122:18	legally 53:15
Inventory 2:9	57:19,23 105:23,25	95:5 97:11 98:8	128:24 131:10	legitimize 72:12
investigate 97:13	121:25 124:17	jobs 78:5	134:10	73:11
107:16 111:2	items 9:4,6 11:5	join 46:7	knowledge 17:19	legitimized 73:12
115:19 117:2 118:7	15:25 24:10 78:23	Joris 2:8 4:8	49:9 106:6 128:25	length 12:25 50:8,8
118:16	79:9 85:3,12,14	July 25:6,9	135:4	58:4
investigated 85:13	90:11,12 108:11	jump 37:16	known 102:18 119:3	Lenovo 57:22 61:5
107:21	109:1 112:3 126:25	June 13:11 21:17	119:4	65:5,19,20 66:3
investigation 82:21	127:24 135:6	24:21,24 25:4,11	knows 33:13 123:24	70:21 71:16 72:6
85:19 87:6 97:1	127.24 133.0	58:2 60:23 126:25	KIIUWS 33.13 123.24	73:1,14 75:20 79:17
investigators 97:17	J	jury 85:19 87:11	$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$	82:25 84:6,14,14,18
investigators 77.17 investment 131:25	Jabouin 2:8 4:3,8,16	105:4	L 2:15 13:20 45:14	87:20 89:2 92:6
investments 125:21	4:21,23,25 5:3,5,7,9	justification 121:19	labor 37:11	93:10 96:14 97:24
131:2	5:11,13,23 6:8,13	121:23 122:6	lack 64:4	98:2,22 99:16 113:8
invitation 99:23	7:3,17 8:9 9:15,19	121.23 122.0	landscape 27:19	118:25 119:12
INVITED 3:1	9:25 10:4,11,22,25	K	language 27:11 28:12	Lenovos 64:17 65:10
invoices 113:19	11:3 14:10,19 15:15	KC 1:10	28:16,17 37:13	86:19 87:4
114:21	16:7,21 17:1,7,22	keep 8:5 71:20 75:20	40:16 48:25 59:21	let's 23:11 29:18 55:7
invoicing 99:18 101:8	18:9,16,20 19:9,18	102:7 111:21 113:6	59:23 61:12 79:5	85:15 89:19 109:6
106:18 110:20	19:23 20:6 22:8,19	116:20 132:6	88:4 109:4 110:23	123:20
111:8 118:2 120:6	22:25 23:6,18 24:1	keeping 36:14	114:5,6 120:21	letter 75:10 76:1
involved 63:16,19	24:6,10,16 27:1	key 43:5 61:17	laptop 82:2	85:14 104:5 107:19
76:16 83:12,16	29:10,14,23 30:16	kicked 43:19 53:5	laptops 57:22	107:23 108:15,21
118:21 130:13	30:20,24 31:14 32:9	kind 16:3 49:13 50:3	large 81:21 136:5	108:24 134:18
involvement 61:17	33:12,15,21 35:8,22	53:1 70:9 77:15	largest 81:23 119:8	level 78:24 84:10
78:20 83:10	36:10,11 37:2,7	108:13 128:21	lastly 84:5 96:25 97:9	90:21 99:10 126:19
involves 58:16	43:6,15 45:11 47:1	kindly 57:1 124:21	late 73:16 112:21	129:1,16
involving 32:13	47:15 51:21 52:4,12	kinds 23:23	latest 123:25	levels 80:15,16
118:11	55:13 56:8,14 57:1	knew 71:23 132:16	latitude 32:14	Levinson 133:19
issue 14:13 44:21	57:5,14,18 65:24	know 7:20 8:3 11:22	Lauderdale 1:11,24	lied 105:20
51:7 63:8 75:13	76:12 88:19,20	15:8 17:17 18:7	136:11	light 86:18
95:24 101:13	89:12 94:5 101:11	26:2 27:19 28:21	law 34:12 35:12 84:1	limitations 66:18
111:14 121:16,19	101:20 103:1,9,15	32:7 33:2,3 34:19	101:20,24 103:25	99:4
122:3 127:4,12	103:21,25 105:22	34:25 35:1,8,8	lead 27:7	limited 48:2 52:20
133:2,5	109:24 110:7,14	41:24 43:24 47:19	leads 69:21	line 13:11 35:11
issued 129:24 132:7,8	111:17 113:10,15	48:6,17,20 49:8,13	lease 126:21	72:20 78:6 124:11
132:9,11	113:20,24 114:6,10	51:1 55:5 58:24	leases 126:16,16,18	link 11:20
issues 6:23 70:23	114:16,23 115:1	67:14 70:3,13,15	126:19	list 41:18 59:24,25
75:11,18 76:1,6,11	116:13 117:11,15	71:1,1,24 73:16	leave 5:14,15 6:25	60:5 67:19,20,23,24
100:22 105:15,15	117:20,23 118:23	74:17,17,24 75:14	16:21 36:13 44:16	67:24 68:9,10,15,16
106:7 107:9,20	119:5,8,18,23 120:3	75:19 76:5 77:2	50:22 123:11	68:18,21 70:11
108:11,13,14 109:1	120:10,14,18,20	78:11 79:16 82:13	134:19	83:14 85:12,13
122:3 132:10	121:9,13,14 123:4	84:20,21,23 86:24	leaves 32:1 129:1	102:6 108:10,13
ITB 77:2	123:14,21,24 124:5	87:24,25 91:13	leaving 12:19 50:20	119:5
item 6:14 8:15 9:2,2,3	124:7,10,17 135:2	93:16 94:3 95:11	129:4	listed 77:24
			l	l

listen 117:12 listening 124:13 literally 42:15 **little** 43:20 79:4 85:7 96:21 103:23 109:12 live 125:2 local 37:23 49:17 locally 48:3 locate 59:24 67:19 68:17 **lock** 115:10,12 long 66:18 102:8,8 135:16 longer 23:24 58:15 **look** 20:21 58:10 62:2 64:8 76:17,22 77:3 77:16,17 79:10 80:1 84:12 90:9 94:25 96:2 99:17 101:15 102:3,9,10 104:18 106:19 110:19 112:19,21 116:2 125:16,18 129:13 131:16 looked 23:10 61:16 99:13,18,21 134:5 **looking** 15:19 23:5 34:20 42:15 52:15 60:16 64:6 79:6 83:15 86:2,3 87:5 93:25 95:1 101:21 104:1 105:10 112:23 looks 30:16 99:4 **Lori** 121:25 lose 113:1 loss 128:25 lost 7:24 38:21 **lot** 9:11 46:4 47:24 48:8 53:2 64:22 66:20 70:16 86:13 89:16 91:11,12 92:1 100:22,22 111:23 117:13 **love** 37:12 low 70:12,13 92:8 113:13 119:24 luck 102:25 **Luckily** 69:17 133:7 **LUGO** 2:11

LYNCH 34:11 Lynch-Walsh 2:5 5:3 5:4 6:18,19 7:3,14 10:6,7,11,24,25 15:12 17:1,2,8,24 18:14,18 21:19 22:23 23:8 29:22 30:6 31:12,23 32:22 32:24 33:3,13,15,19 34:9,14 35:4 36:24 37:1,5,6,9 39:14,18 40:20,24 41:11,21 42:9,21 47:6,6 50:11 51:9,24 53:12 53:18 54:4,18,25 55:3 56:6,11,23 62:19 66:1 67:9 71:13 85:25 91:17 98:21 100:14 101:9 101:19 102:15 103:14 104:15 105:22,25 109:10 109:16 110:4,10,15 111:4,19 113:1,4 114:8,10 115:11,21 116:3,18,23 117:5 118:4,7,13,21 119:1 119:7 121:6,10,21 121:24 122:7,24 123:10 131:23 134:20 Lynch-Walsh's 7:18

\mathbf{M} made-up 41:23 **maintain** 49:2,6 **major** 34:1 93:8,12 97:25 98:2,3,18,19 making 18:10 23:25 24:2 42:17 44:11 70:25 manage 59:24 67:19 management 58:10 58:13,14 62:5 manager 2:9,9,10,10 3:4 87:19 125:6 managers 50:1 **Manatee** 28:15 mandatory 59:25 67:20 68:11 69:4,23 March 58:1 100:12

Marquardt 2:12 6:6 6:6 14:9 Martin-Ogburn 2:17 6:9,10 Mary 2:4,22 5:1 57:11 68:5 71:15,15 71:17 73:15 76:16 100:11 104:16 111:19 119:1 123:19 **Mary's** 71:14 109:18 112:22 **match** 47:3 material 128:12,15 mathematical 59:1 mathematics 59:3 matter 13:17.18.22 56:12 72:5 74:12 86:8 97:14 matters 7:5 14:7 **Maurice** 72:23 Max 57:14,17 **MAXIMO** 2:21 **Maversohn** 2:2 5:5,6 5:15 11:11 14:21 19:5,13,20 20:1,8 20:11,16,17 24:13 24:15 29:12,24 30:18 31:2,6 36:10 36:11,12 mean 17:25 31:9 34:1 34:12 66:19 74:17 77:2,24 79:7,21 82:3 83:18 85:16 97:15 102:15 110:18 111:11 132:21 134:9 meaning 18:2 meaningless 71:23 means 43:24 meant 66:10 **measure** 78:23 **Medvin** 2:2 5:7,8 19:5,14 20:3,9,14 20:23,25 21:2,15,22 22:1,3,6,13 23:9,15

Marion 28:15

mark 34:5 51:1

Markham 132:22

markup 40:11 50:16

marked 43:19

24:9,17 29:13,15,18 29:20,21,25 30:2,4 30:8.21 31:9 32:1 33:24 34:10,12 35:2 35:9,24 36:3,9,15 36:21,23,24,25 37:8 39:12,15 41:2 44:6 45:4 46:14 47:7,9 47:10 49:4 50:10 54:19 55:7,10,12 56:17,21 57:3,8,13 62:9,15,18 67:2,4 71:8,11,12 73:21 78:14 85:21,23 88:19 89:14 91:7 92:2,22 96:10 98:20 103:8,23 114:1,4 117:19 120:17,19 120:23,25 121:3 123:7,17,20,23 124:6 127:20 131:22 134:23,25 135:7,9,11,14,16 **Medvin's** 36:13 meet 46:24,25 47:11 90:21,23 meeting 1:5 4:5,10,12 5:14,15 6:23 7:12 7:23 8:2,12,20 9:23 10:9,12,15,16,20 11:11,16 12:15,25 13:3,4,12,14,19 17:14,15,20 18:5,8 20:13,15,23 21:17 25:5,7 26:21 27:12 32:17 45:9,12,15 46:1,7,12 48:23 58:2,3,23 59:7,11 59:25 60:22 63:9 64:6 67:14 70:10,21 71:3 75:2 92:8 93:4 96:1 100:18 102:22 102:23 103:18 113:12 119:20,21 124:13 127:6,7 133:8,24 135:17,18 **meetings** 7:7 8:6 12:13 24:22 32:10 32:12 44:9 46:15 70:7 77:1 89:16 95:24 107:2

member 26:14 27:4 29:4 32:13,15 33:17 34:6.15.17 78:6 114:14 121:23 122:23 133:18 members 2:1 4:18 7:6 11:1,2,7,10,23 12:18,24,24 13:4,8 13:24 15:3 19:3,22 20:5 22:5 23:2,3,14 27:2,7 28:22,25 31:13,21 35:17,21 36:2,17,20 37:12 47:2 55:9,14 61:3 66:15 82:9 90:25 93:5 109:22 120:24 127:16 132:15 135:4,13 members' 12:16 membership 38:16 memo 98:25 mention 24:7,19 32:9 52:6 55:13,24 74:3 76:12 88:22 102:2 115:19 116:23 mentioned 17:13 38:23 60:1 67:21 73:24 111:11 133:18 Meo 2:4 4:23,24 29:20,21 30:2,3 32:6 36:16 40:10,22 41:4 42:5,18,22 43:4,14,18 44:23 45:8 47:13,13,16 50:15 51:25 52:17 53:8,10 56:4,7,10 56:13 78:13,14,15 78:18,20 79:3,6,14 79:18 80:5,22 81:2 81:16 82:4,7 83:3,6 83:9,23 84:5,12,17 85:6 86:1 96:10,11 107:13 109:3,10,17 110:22 111:6,9 115:17,22 116:4,8,9 116:12,19 117:2,7 117:14 118:10,15 118:19 120:7 127:18,21 128:6,10 128:12,16 129:5,9

129:21 130:6,9,19 131:7.14.21 mere 15:18 76:7 **Meredith** 2:10 6:4 mess 75:20 103:24 104:9 messy 40:9 met 13:16,19 19:2 85:3 86:4,21 methodology 104:10 131:16,16,18 **Michele** 2:12 6:6 14:4 microphone 55:6 mid-November 127:4 127:4 middle 7:12 12:20 million 65:18 85:17 87:3 92:8 113:13 119:23 132:3,9,12 132:14,25 133:1 mind 33:23 35:3 102:7 **minute** 33:7 44:13 minutes 7:21 21:17 21:18,19,24 22:6 59:7 70:11 108:4 123:21 missed 26:23 51:22 missing 39:23,25 misspoke 17:17 misstating 98:1 mistaken 87:25 98:24 **Mm-hmm** 129:8 modifications 102:12 modified 49:14 modules 130:17,17 moment 22:17 45:20 moments 9:7 **Monday** 13:21 money 66:20 89:9,10 97:6 **monies** 80:1 month 7:22 127:3 morning 4:3 5:20 6:9 19:1 21:7,12 46:7 57:11,17 Moses 2:3 4:19 47:8 motion 13:10,12 21:23,24 22:14 23:4 23:7,12 29:8 35:17 36:3,6,9,15,23 37:3

51:15 52:17 53:6,7 53:9,10,12,13 54:3 55:12 65:8 77:9,10 77:11 85:9,21 87:21 87:23 88:5,17,18 89:20 91:25 94:9 96:13 97:8 105:8,9 106:14 107:15 108:2,5,8,12,12,15 108:25 109:2,4,6,13 109:19 110:3,5,5,11 120:18,20 122:15 123:10,15 135:7,8 motions 93:6 109:25 110:9 move 9:2,2 10:4 19:10 20:24 22:9,15 22:21 23:1 29:9 32:8 35:16 75:21 85:8,11,15 93:11 95:25 98:18 107:25 119:10 123:7 moved 10:22 36:5 54:4 moving 64:22 **MSL** 3:3,4 8:16 22:18 25:1 27:24 103:14 103:15,21 123:14 123:20 124:1,4,18 MSL's 135:3 **multiple** 8:6 44:9 65:6 112:6

N name 4:8 21:9,13 36:3 38:7 41:21 47:11 52:4 75:3 77:24 125:5 **named** 40:8 names 47:3 68:9 83:15 87:19 narrow 96:22 116:24 narrowed 105:14 narrowly 38:19 **Nathalie** 2:5 5:3 47:6 62:18 101:1 113:3 naturally 16:14 52:14 nature 89:8

municipality 38:5

Murray's 12:2

mysterious 79:7

nav 121:1 nays 19:24 20:7 necessarily 86:15 115:12 necessary 9:4 need 8:19 9:12,13 14:17 16:2 17:5 22:18 28:23,24 31:5 36:7 44:5,25 45:1 46:17 50:3,5 52:7 52:10 54:10.12.13 54:15 64:7 75:15,16 75:17,21,25 77:8,9 77:15,16,25 82:12 84:20,23 85:16 91:4 93:11,25 94:3 95:9 95:25 96:2 97:8 99:7 101:12,19 102:10 104:18 108:18 110:19 111:17 114:6,11,25 115:1 123:14,16,25 132:17 **needed** 61:17 91:20 94:22 95:12 123:15 132:20 needs 5:14,15 11:7 50:24 70:19 96:4 98:6 99:21 107:12 121:23 negotiation 25:24 **Network** 2:21 58:11 never 33:23 89:3 115:17 123:4 132:12 new 10:8 13:2 26:17 44:1 48:25 52:4 57:1 58:20 59:21,22 73:1 78:7 87:8

nice 46:24,25 47:11 nominate 29:16,19 **Nominating** 18:21,24 **nominations** 19:16,18 not-for-profits 81:22

Notary 136:4 **note** 5:13 17:3 18:10 19:18 20:6 59:9 61:25 67:23 69:10 **noted** 88:22 notes 136:9 **notice** 19:23 **noticed** 106:15,15,17 108:1 129:5 **notify** 103:19 notwithstanding 82:20 November 59:18 127:6 **number** 6:14 8:15 9:2 9:2,3,3,3,16,17,19 10:4,5 11:5 15:17 15:18 16:11 18:17 18:21,23 19:10 22:9 22:15,21 23:1 24:11 24:21 26:11 27:6,8 27:11 30:13 40:10 41:4 48:2 49:19 52:21 53:20 54:12 57:19 62:25 75:16 77:20,21 78:23 79:19 96:15 103:2 113:9 118:8 119:13 119:13 134:18 numbers 22:20 27:7 numerous 107:2 0 124:12,21,24,24

O'Keefe 3:3 124:10 **O'Keefe's** 134:18 **object** 72:18 73:10 objected 66:15 objective 80:1,3 83:13,17 86:2 87:15 **objectives** 79:18 81:5 90:8 observations 89:19 **observe** 17:20 observing 17:15 **obvious** 90:14 **obviously** 20:24 27:8 90:23 95:17 128:1 occurred 82:8 96:9 103:24 occurring 92:11 93:8

101:6 occurs 93:19 **October** 127:3 offered 114:14 **office** 2:7,16,20 5:25 6:2,4,6 10:14 officer 2:16,19,20,20 2:21 5:22 6:12 21:10,14 28:9 48:8 87:9 112:1 128:18 128:23 133:13,20 offices 65:7 official 129:1 officially 100:15 Oftentimes 89:16 Ogburn 6:8 **oh** 9:24 15:15 16:21 53:10,22 71:14 78:10 92:18 134:16 okay 10:3,3 16:23 17:1,2,7 21:22 22:3 23:9 29:16,18,19 30:8 32:19 33:14 35:25 47:15 50:11 53:22 54:19 55:2,5 55:7 56:22,25 67:5 67:10,16,18 69:25 71:7,12 79:3,14,18 80:5 81:2,16 84:5 84:12,15,18 85:23 92:2,3,22 103:23 104:15 109:7 111:9 111:16 112:24 113:2,5 120:3,10,14 120:20 123:23 131:21 134:20 **old** 39:11 44:13 106:8 134:1 **Oleg** 2:18 **once** 6:23 7:1 14:23 66:14 89:17 one's 53:18 ones 8:1,21 98:3 99:13 102:9 115:14 130:21 **opening** 60:5 67:24 68:10,12,15,18 69:18 70:1 72:8,14 72:17 73:13,18 92:8 113:12 119:20,20 119:21

104:21 125:13

48:16 123:18

nominated 19:12

18:25 19:2,8,12

non-financial 86:8

normally 112:2

132:23

88:11 98:25 101:16 paragraph 26:11,17 openings 71:4 29:16 31:23 40:9 35:18,19 37:10,11 operating 126:19 26:18 27:11 34:18 47:24 54:21 72:21 piggybacking 105:11 37:14,16,18 38:13 **Operational** 2:10 43:10 55:18 58:11 73:3,4 75:13 84:13 105:20 39:7,10 40:5 44:6 91:13 101:20 103:2 piggybacks 63:15 **Operations** 2:20,20 58:18,21 67:11 44:14,19 45:6 48:13 21:14 75:12,23 76:18 104:1 112:6 114:8 **pillow** 73:23 48:14,25 49:12,14 **people's** 78:5 opine 61:9 85:2 paragraphs 26:12 **place** 37:13 43:7 50:14 54:16 56:3 **Pardon** 39:14 **opinion** 60:15 79:8 perceived 78:25 55:15 70:17 77:18 96:24 130:1,5 80:11,18 81:3,7,8 **parking** 13:23 14:2,3 **Perfect** 134:20 87:13 95:7 99:22 **Polk** 28:15 84:11,24 86:10 part 6:20 7:12,13 **perform** 80:8,10 100:3,7 105:6 **portion** 10:15 35:16 placeholder 15:7 91:24 14:14 43:22 46:23 83:11 86:7 108:9 **opinions** 62:23 86:14 51:22 61:8.18 62:24 performance 2:16.17 16:14 **position** 31:1,20 **opportunity** 15:11,21 69:16 72:1 89:3 5:21 86:19,25 **places** 117:13 73:10 94:4 97:12,13 16:19 17:10,19 28:5 90:7 108:14,14 **performed** 80:13,19 **plan** 25:3 45:24 129:1 89:18 91:3,15 94:7 109:2 111:25 113:6 86:12 96:15 123:16 124:18 positions 31:10 **opposed** 23:15 36:21 114:18 115:2 performing 101:17 125:15 **possible** 34:5 46:2,18 planning 125:9 39:2,4 55:10 120:25 128:6 70:20 82:21 84:1 117:21,22,23 118:6 121:3 122:15,16 125:22,24 130:25 **period** 18:2 51:17 **plans** 127:6 96:3 135:14 platform 89:6 participants 60:1 52:3 54:2 74:19 post 98:24 **perjury** 104:23 players 52:21 65:23 **posted** 58:25 59:17 opposing 23:16 67:21 **option** 106:25 participate 45:25 105:19 **please** 5:13,18 7:17 **posting** 59:2,2 69:12 47:20 permissible 107:20 orally 83:25 10:23 11:13,21 potential 129:19 person 30:14,17 **Orange** 28:14 18:23 19:21 20:4 potentially 13:2 particular 13:19 order 12:4 48:21 16:18 17:12 58:8 33:13 34:21 65:16 21:5 29:10 36:19 31:25 32:1 97:14 59:12 61:8 103:1 63:21 73:25 76:2 66:3 73:6 74:24 45:11 46:14 51:22 practical 48:18 101:14 original 25:3 65:8 77:12,12,14 91:14 87:12 97:5 121:16 55:8 57:3 58:8,10 101:15 95:24 100:17 121:18 124:14 65:24 67:4,23,23 practicality 49:4 **originally** 37:3 68:7 101:17 105:14 **person's** 30:15 92:14 105:23 125:2 Osceola 28:15 113:18 personal 49:10 113:10.15.20 114:7 practice 49:25 50:1 **practices** 97:5 101:23 **Osgood** 13:15,17 particularly 32:13 personnel 74:23 114:12 115:2 outcome 84:2 98:14 90:13 117:20 120:17 102:11.12 **outlined** 41:17 111:15 parties 131:1 persons 40:16 41:18 123:7 124:23 practitioners 63:1 **pre-bid** 68:21,23 69:4 **outlining** 75:10 76:1 partner 52:20,25 42:25 43:16 55:22 **Pledge** 4:13,14 outside 12:18 17:23 partners 47:19 48:22 **pertain** 130:14 **plus** 81:21 precedent 122:22 18:1 31:21 97:6 49:3 54:13 **pertinent** 70:24 104:2 **point** 7:4,19 10:7 preparation 128:2 outsider 22:19 parts 53:3 64:22 **PHILLIP** 2:21 13:21 14:18 15:7 prepared 44:18 overall 125:13 party 63:2,3 130:6 **phone** 24:14 57:14 18:3,10 27:9,10 **prepped** 105:20,21 presence 22:20 131:11 67:6 46:20 47:17 49:21 P Pasco 28:15 **phrase** 38:22 41:23 60:20 61:21 65:4 **present** 18:25 19:25 **p.m** 1:14 68:25 99:24 pass 14:3 20:22 59:10 42:5 43:20 73:15 82:12 86:16 25:3,5,25 27:21 100:2 135:18 **Phyllis** 2:6 5:11 18:24 102:16,19 126:1,1,3 94:1 95:14,21 99:9 60:3 69:9,12,14,24 pack 11:20 126:3.4 21:25 30:10 47:5 103:1 106:3 109:19 73:25 85:2 package 26:5 124:19 passed 87:22 105:8 51:20 135:8 111:20 121:14 presentation 44:18 125:24 passes 13:23 14:2 physically 11:11 128:22 134:10,14 125:1 packets 68:25 patch 18:4 117:15 pick 106:9,22,24 **pointed** 44:13 presentations 44:11 page 58:8,17 59:5,14 **path** 13:6 pointing 98:8 **presented** 15:2 57:25 111:14 118:18 59:18,21 60:7,11 **pause** 22:17 **picked** 98:2 106:12 **points** 8:10 85:10 90:2 125:8 67:5 75:23 76:14,17 paying 112:10,12 118:25,25 119:2 **policies** 77:18,19 **presenting** 44:11 78:3 77:21 79:19 88:22 peer 125:25 **picking** 118:22 129:2 131:25 78:4,12 134:17 **pending** 13:24 105:4 picks 119:25 policy 8:15 24:23,24 presume 23:9 paid 41:24 64:4 **people** 6:24 18:1,5 **piece** 15:5 61:17 25:1,4,6,9,13 26:4,6 **pretty** 116:4 111:25 24:4 26:16 29:9,11 piggyback 63:14 26:6,7 30:24 35:10 **prevent** 112:16

. 14 10 60 20
previous 14:19 60:20
74:20 75:2,5 77:22
93:6 94:11
price 64:5
prices 134:12
primarily 57:21
primary 93:16
principal 23:22
102:17
principals 24:1,2
prior 15:25 17:15
37:24 68:12 74:14
74:15 93:8 100:10
Pro 4:10
probably 8:21 9:8,12
35:20 44:8,17 49:5
65:12 77:16 81:11
96:1 102:2 104:2
problem 107:7,22
109:17 119:2,23
132:17
problems 93:25 98:7
106:15,16,17
procedure 60:24
74:10 86:11
procedures 38:2
60:18 63:4,7 66:10
· ·
76:5 78:21 80:8,14
80:23,25 83:10 86:7
92:17,24 94:15,16
94:17 95:15,18
96:15 99:7,22 100:5
100:8,24 101:8,17
104:8 106:16
107:15 110:20
111:7 113:8,18
119:12 125:23
128:7,22,24 129:3
129:21 131:1,25
proceed 6:14 7:9 8:15
9:21 18:12,20 19:19
24:11 25:12,17,23
26:10 27:18 33:21
52:16 62:1 92:10
110:16 111:13
113:14 115:7
proceeded 61:1
proceedings 4:1
136:7
process 7:24 13:13,25
15:20 23:25 25:18

26:22 69:16 82:13
83:4 84:2 85:16
94:25 101:14 102:3
106:16 109:25
processes 77:18,20
95:7 121:17
procurement 2:22
57:12 74:15,24 94:18 100:1,10,21
product 60:19,25
61:9 82:25 88:23
products 61:6 95:11
professional 80:7
125:10
program 11:18 88:3
133:16
prohibited 17:15
prohibits 48:14
project 74:1,1
projects 102:6
132:10,18 133:16
proof 70:9 71:2,21
properly 130:3
Property 2:9 proposal 25:12 52:23
proposals 88:6
proposed 26:6
prospective 106:23
protest 69:22 70:2
71:22 72:3,3,7,18
75:14 88:7,10 99:1
105:11,16
protested 69:15
protests 69:17
protocols 70:17
prove 73:17
provide 12:11,14
62:13 68:7 77:4 121:19
provided 38:1 61:19
62:21,24 68:24 73:4
88:1,9 95:3
providing 17:16
71:20 76:9 86:10
116:25 133:17
pubic 17:10
public 14:8,10,13,15
14:16,18,23,23 15:4
15:9,17,18,19,24
16:4,5,9,9,13,20
17.4 14 18.6 6 17

17:4,14 18:6,6,17

21:11 24:25 37:22
39:24 40:14 42:23
43:12 47:18,21
48:20 55:21 56:8
80:24 136:5
publicly 69:13
pull 65:6
purchase 57:22 79:1 84:6 89:11 95:12
111:22 112:3,9
119:13,24
purchased 64:1 81:10
81:25 88:23 89:6
99:20
purchases 60:22 86:4
86:21 93:8,12 97:25
98:19 99:16 101:10
106:5 110:17 111:5
112:12 114:21
117:5 118:1 120:6
purchasing 94:14 106:18 110:21
111:8 113:21
purpose 37:14 40:14
41:6,7,8,10,22
42:23 43:12 47:1
55:20
purposely 132:4,5
purposes 34:24 39:10
pursuant 37:25
pushed 9:16 51:2
put 15:9 16:7,13 27:13 34:21 50:3,14
51:16,17 52:10,13
54:12,15 65:16
70:16 72:13,15,16
73:10 75:4,14 77:18
87:13 92:4 99:1
106:11 110:17
132:23
putting 72:19 75:12
133:22,24
PWS 59:23 67:18
0
qualification 89:1
qualifications 81:12
qualified 88:25

Q qualification 89:1 qualifications 81:12 qualified 88:25 quality 61:5,9 64:17 78:25 79:9 81:3,9 81:15 85:2 88:23

quarantine 124:15 **quarter** 100:19 question 14:12 15:12 35:2 37:1,9 45:4 47:24 48:20 56:17 67:1 68:5 80:13 86:20 108:18 questioning 34:4 **questions** 6:16 7:11 10:17 18:13 57:24 61:24 62:12,16 70:23 89:18 90:7 91:10,15,20 99:12 101:18 125:3 127:16,19 134:23 **quick** 7:15 quickly 22:8,10 28:24 32:8 quite 44:18 50:4 81:25 108:1 quorum 29:2 32:11 32:15 113:2 R

R 1:22 136:4,14 R214-041E 88:6 RADCLIFF 2:12 raised 47:17 85:10 ratcheting 99:8 **RAYSA** 2:11 reaccreditation 105:5 reach 94:1 134:21 reaching 26:21 67:17 read 34:1 43:22 55:17 73:22 108:4 reading 67:22 74:14 78:8 108:22 reads 44:23 ready 4:4 18:20 35:18 85:22 88:16 88:18 91:25 real 55:4 124:16 133:3 **realize** 12:17 42:14 realized 134:13 really 7:21,24,25 8:18 9:12 15:6 41:24 45:6 60:15,15 67:10 75:7 89:24 108:18 113:6 reason 50:14

reasonable 16:16 86:24 87:7 99:17 reasons 29:2 **Rebecca** 2:3 4:21 46:23 135:11 **rebids** 100:22 rebuilt 132:24 recall 68:7 74:14 102:6 receipt 60:3 receive 11:12,21 15:7 received 14:8 70:3,4 81:4,19 100:1 126:1 126:1,4 recited 4:15 **recognize** 5:17 13:8 recognized 112:2 recommend 8:25 10:20 22:15,25 31:11 49:5 79:16 92:9 103:9 recommendation 19:7 58:24 70:21 79:17 81:9 90:4 92:10,24 93:23 120:2,3 recommendations 129:19 recommended 54:22 113:13 recommends 111:1 114:19 117:24 reconvene 103:13 **record** 21:6 57:4 73:9 92:7 113:12 136:8 Recordex 60:21 65:10 66:12 73:1 83:21 93:7 97:23 105:2 118:25 recording 67:15 68:20 **records** 37:19 70:10 96:18,19,20,21,23 115:24 116:1,1,7,10 116:21 119:19 recover 94:2 107:10 **redline** 26:7,9 refer 76:16 124:20 reference 42:8 referring 77:23

refers 42:3

reflects 80:20 regain 112:14 **regard** 80:23 82:19 regarding 13:21 21:18 58:7 59:12,16 62:13 83:16 116:1 regardless 54:9 rejected 88:5 rejection 88:3 **relate** 125:19 **related** 17:2 44:7 66:2 87:12,15 94:8 94:11 121:17 133:11 relates 85:3 126:7 129:14 130:16 relevant 112:13 rely 50:23 130:6 relying 108:3 remain 70:6,8,14 remaining 8:21 remember 23:22 49:22 71:16 72:25 121:24 remembering 88:4 remove 16:11 51:16 109:9 removed 58:13 **removes** 51:12 **removing** 50:15 55:3 **renewal** 43:21 renewed 43:21,22,25 44:4,25 50:15,18,19 51:17,21,23 52:1 53:20 **rep** 65:19,23 **repeat** 53:13 **repeated** 9:14 101:12 replace 60:5 67:24 report 6:20 8:18 18:22,25 22:7,12 23:10,10 57:20,20 57:23 60:24 62:1,14 63:1 64:24 65:25 66:2,14 70:4 74:8 76:2 80:20 81:12 82:16 83:8 84:22 86:9,13 89:3 91:1 92:9 105:4 107:14 108:22 109:5,20 122:16,17,19

125:25 126:13,17 130:24 131:6 136:7 **reported** 80:8 83:17 126:17 **Reporter** 1:22,22 3:4 5:24 136:4,14 **REPORTER'S** 136:1 **reporting** 1:23 13:11 70:11 80:21 125:18 125:20 126:12 128:5 129:15 130:17 131:13 reports 23:21 90:2 127:4 130:7,12,22 130:25 131:3 **represent** 9:22 10:1 representation 121:22 representative 12:3 represented 62:4 76:25 representing 6:11 represents 13:1 request 25:12 52:23 94:9 108:20,21 requested 27:16 requesting 107:14 **require** 15:16 52:19 125:10 133:1 **required** 8:16 12:11 38:3 63:3 96:20,23 110:25 115:24 116:7.10.21 119:17 119:19 121:18 122:6 124:18 125:9 requirement 121:9 requirements 79:24 86:5,22,23 87:7 88:15 119:19 125:12 reread 111:20 rescheduled 25:10 103:18 research 71:5 83:9,20 87:16 reserves 132:12 133:25 resigned 75:4 resolved 45:7

respect 6:16 7:3,6

8:13,18 11:4,17

12:8 13:10,23 24:20 57:19 58:22 59:11 59:15,22 60:6 61:5 76:21 respectful 12:17 108:20 **respond** 57:24 74:9 110:1 responded 68:24 response 10:18 19:17 36:22 55:11 62:17 68:6,8,14 71:20 110:3 134:24 135:15 responses 64:19 responsibilities 12:19 93:17 responsibility 11:7 63:4 90:1,10 responsible 63:3 72:11 restrictions 28:13 restrictive 28:17 result 101:24 119:12 results 69:14 86:11 92:6 113:5,8 retain 48:10,21 retired 84:12 111:24 review 50:17,20 51:5 59:6 80:10,17 81:6 93:7 97:6 101:7 108:21 125:25 130:20,25 131:12 reviewed 51:10 59:8 59:9 60:25 67:12 83:14 revised 96:13 RFP 25:18 50:7 54:14 64:19 77:12 **RFQ** 50:7 54:15 77:12 rid 44:4 75:16 **rigging** 63:14 65:12 66:19 **right** 11:4 15:10 16:7 21:21 29:12 30:22 33:19 36:7,15 40:22 40:24,25 42:21 43:4 43:4 45:23 48:1 50:12 51:2.9 53:11 54:6 55:2 56:13

89:12 98:14 113:4 115:21 116:3,11,18 118:14 124:9 risk 127:23,24,25,25 128:3,17,21 129:15 risks 127:22,22 128:8 131:3 Robert 2:2 5:5 19:13 19:20 20:1,8 robust 89:8 rodeo 100:6 **Roderick** 3:2 57:7 role 58:16 roll 4:17 21:5 roof 132:23 **roofing** 132:3,5 **room** 1:10 5:18 17:25 18:2 29:21 67:8 Rosalind 13:15 **Rosario** 2:21 57:15 57:16,17 61:23 rotate 47:19 48:22 49:3,25 52:25 54:13 rotation 52:20 RSM 27:24 124:7 **Rubv** 100:11 rule 25:14 47:25 130:2 **rules** 65:1 **run** 8:1 32:10 101:3 120:11 **running** 114:23 S

Sabin 2:5 5:9,10,14 22:2,2 31:11 36:5,5 47:11,12 48:19 Sabin's 52:18 sales 65:19,23 87:19 sampling 129:23 sat 93:14 **satisfy** 128:7 saw 7:1 96:1 saying 22:4 23:13 31:6,25 36:19 39:16 39:19,22 41:1,3 42:13 50:17 55:8 87:18 91:9 106:20 111:12 116:10 says 33:5 35:5 37:18 37:22 40:1,7 43:1

47:14 54:22 55:16 56:11 58:11,18,19 58:21 63:19 67:16 67:17,23 69:25 76:19 107:20 115:6 schedule 24:18 29:4 scheduled 44:10 **scheduling** 13:14,22 29:2,3 32:12 **school** 1:3 2:16,16 4:6 4:7,9 5:21 11:6,18 12:1,21 13:15 19:13 20:19 23:21 24:23 25:5,13 26:14 27:4 27:20 33:9,25 34:3 34:4,6 35:10 37:23 38:5,10 39:8,15,17 39:20,21 40:1,6 44:14 48:13 49:12 49:20,20 50:6,13,23 51:11 53:15,23,24 54:2,7,23 55:25 56:1 59:6 61:3 75:10,17 81:4 83:24 89:7 121:7,10,15 125:6 **Schools** 2:15 21:11 80:24 **scope** 61:4,18 77:17 95:2 105:14 132:13 134:7 se 1:11,23 65:22 **searches** 27:22.22 seat 30:15,18 seats 11:20 second 10:23,24 22:1 22:2 23:5,8 33:6 44:2,2 51:19 58:12 58:15 59:2 76:18 92:21 122:25 135:9 135:10.11 **seconded** 37:3 54:5 Secretary 2:12 section 41:2 securities 131:9 security 81:19 129:10 129:15,17 see 6:22 7:25 14:11

17:10 21:4 22:9,10

28:13 29:21 32:7

56:16 58:20 59:14

62:6 71:9 80:2
83:15,20 90:5,12,15
107:8 109:9 111:11
122:25 123:18
129:24 131:23,24
133:9 134:4,15
seeing 15:19
seek 14:22 74:9 131:7
seen 69:14 71:19
100:16 109:15,16
•
109:20 121:13
SEIFER 2:11
select 29:5 38:15
51:22 120:4,9
selected 25:23 60:9
118:10,19 119:6,7,9
120:8
selecting 34:25 38:2
39:1 60:20
selection 25:22 27:3
27:14 28:3,5,10,22
29:1 30:11,12,21
32:2,16,17,20 33:8
33:11 34:16,23
36:17 37:12,17 38:2
38:7,8,11,22,24
39:3,4,5,9,20 40:2,4
40:13,21,25 41:5,15
41:19,23 42:10,12
42:13,20 43:3,8,9
43:11 45:2 50:25
51:13,18 52:3,5,14
52:22 54:23 55:16
55:17,19
send 11:20 20:13
75:25 114:1,3,14
115:1 135:3,3
· ·
sending 76:7 118:5,5
sends 117:16
Senior 3:4
sense 40:19 64:21
sensitive 8:14
sent 119:11
sentence 32:21 39:7,7
39:22,25 43:10
58:12,15 75:23
separate 107:15
September 11:14,15
_ ·
11:23 25:16,19,20
100:17 103:18
serve 4:9 19:13,21
1

20:1,3,8,9,18 21:10
26:14 27:4 29:1
33:17 34:18 45:9
47:22
served 81:20
service 1:23 11:25
12:5,7
Services 2:18,23 6:11
57:12 94:19
serving 20:21
set 28:24 78:22 90:23
setting 13:18 122:23
settle 115:18
seven 49:18,24
•
seventh 78:6
share 82:10
shared 35:9
shareholder 3:3
124:25
Shaw 2:6 5:11,12 8:7
8:24,25 9:15,18
10:19,22 14:11,13
14:19 15:14,15,16
16:15,17,24,25
18:12,24 19:1,9
21:24,25 22:13,18
23:3,4,6 29:22,25
30:1,9,10,10,19,22
31:2,5,15,16 32:18
32:19,23 33:2,23
36:6,16 37:6 41:13
43:2 47:5,5 49:15
49:16 51:19,20
52:10 53:7,9 54:6
54:20 73:21,22 74:5
74:11,12 75:6 76:21
77:8 92:21 97:24,25
107:18 108:8,24
111:15 115:3
116:22 118:3 135:8
135:8
she'll 45:12
shenanigans 73:13
88:11
Shim 11:25 12:2
shoes 39:19,21 63:5
shortly 25:19
show 112:15
shown 93:11
shred 67:13
signature 11:9

signed 83:16
significant 27:2,9,10
103:2 118:20
125:22 126:6,11
127:22,23,24,24
128:3,13,15,17,21
129:6 131:2,5
signify 22:4 23:13
36:19 55:8
simply 106:25
sir 57:8 78:19 82:6
83:8 128:11
sit 31:7
sits 30:15
sitting 31:19 42:1
96:6
situation 58:9 59:4
60:17 65:17 105:17
slap 132:23
slash 48:20
slated 10:10
Slower 113:10,15,20
SMART 79:20 80:1
111:22 112:8
133:16,25
SOC 130:12,13,22,24
131:3,13
SOCs 130:19
software 125:20
130:9,16
solicitation 95:17
solid 79:17
somebody 44:12
soon 123:11
sorry 10:2 15:15 24:8
26:17 29:14 37:2 45:11 53:8 66:6
67:6 75:6 82:4 92:18 102:14
105:22 113:3 116:9
116:15 117:5
123:13 124:14
sort 38:11 49:2 65:12
86:5 88:8 103:10
sought 79:25
sounds 38:25
South 53:2 81:22
space 9:5
speak 14:15,17 15:21
15:24 16:4,6 17:4
17:11,11

speaker 14:23,24
15:1
speaking 14:25 16:18
78:10 124:22
speaks 88:12 105:2
Spec 2:12
special 7:23 8:2 58:1
specific 59:16 68:22
86:7 87:18 96:16
117:7 132:9
specifically 26:13
106:20
specification 95:5
specifications 60:10
60:12,13,14 85:4
94:20 95:2,16 97:2
97:3,7 101:7,9
106:17 110:20
111:4,6,7 113:18
114:20 117:3 118:1
118:8 120:5,8
specified 34:18
specs 60:16 64:3,7,8
64:20 65:14 66:19
81:6,14 84:7,8
99:17 101:14 102:3
117:6
speculate 90:17
spend 9:12 66:20
85:17 97:6
spent 9:11 80:3 89:9
98:13
spoken 12:23 63:20
spot 15:10
SSAE 62:21,25 76:5
St 81:19
stacking 66:13 67:2
staff 2:7,14 12:12
57:24 59:23 67:18
70:22 74:3,23 110:1
stage 126:24
stamp 60:2
stance 41:16
stand 39:19
standard 99:25
standards 80:7
125:10,13 126:7
129:13
standing 4:12
standpoint 8:14
60:14 112:20 125:2

-
stands 39:21 71:4
staring 99:22
start 4:12 5:18 8:12
8:22,23 9:8 25:18
93:9 124:22
started 63:13 66:18
98:23,24 100:12
126:24 134:12
starting 8:12 60:19
state 33:10 35:12
37:15,22 38:4,23
39:9 40:2,3 42:2,16
43:10 48:14 63:11
65:6 66:24 69:7
82:21 136:2,5
stated 18:11,12
statement 49:20
63:18 88:21 103:10
126:15 128:2
states 84:13 94:9
stating 73:9
statute 15:16 25:2
26:5,12 33:10,16
35:5,6 37:10,15,22
38:4,23 40:2,3,23
41:1,15,17 42:2,3,6
42:8,16 43:17 52:12
55:23 66:17 99:3
statutes 48:13
stay 65:24 105:23
stenographic 1:22
136:9
stenographically
136:7
Stephanie 11:25
stop 93:12
stopped 133:20
stopping 51:11
straight 133:4
stranger 46:14
Strategy 2:19,20
21:14
stricken 28:18
strong 72:15,16
100:21
strongly 70:20
0.0
structure 79:20,22,23
subject 16:22 43:18
86:8
subjects 13:3
submission 108:23

tells 72:6 100:3 **submit** 100:4 suspicious 63:14 22:13 31:23 32:18 122:4,12 throw 39:6 41:13 submitted 99:25 synonymous 83:21 **Tem** 4:10 40:11,11,16 41:14 **subsection** 37:25 38:1 **system** 34:4 ten 44:9 42:5.18.25 43:4.24 throws 43:23 **thumb** 130:2 subsequent 62:5 **systems** 129:6 tend 89:19 44:4,6,19,25,25 63:16,22 tenets 34:1 45:6,8 47:17 48:12 **Thursday** 1:13 4:4 T 49:4,6,24,25 50:2 substitute 40:20 term 33:8 40:22.25 tied 105:19 T 112:8 Tim 5:24 succeed 44:14,21 48:14 64:4 50:22,24 51:25 table 53:7.9 75:8 45:5 terms 30:10 31:10 52:14,16 53:25 time 7:22 8:3,10,14 89:10 successive 44:7 48:1 52:23,24 71:2 54:12,16,25 56:23 8:23,23 9:5,12,13 **tablets** 57:22 **sudden** 66:16 98:5 125:17 64:20 65:18 68:18 9:20 12:16 13:7 tabulation 69:13 **suffice** 108:16 test 62:6 80:16 70:19.24 71:10 73:1 20:22 24:12 26:10 **TAC** 58:16,18,20 sufficiency 63:4,6 130:19 74:7 77:6,10,25 33:25 35:15 42:1 63:15,16,18,20 **sufficient** 54:17 134:6 testimony 73:4 78:1 82:2 85:8,8,9 44:1,2,2,16 46:8 take 4:17 9:20 15:23 suggest 7:9 29:11 text 114:14 85:11 87:2,22 89:20 47:18 50:8 51:7 16:19 31:1 36:7 33:4 35:14 79:16 **thank** 4:16 5:13 6:13 91:2,16,23 93:3,10 60:2 62:7 65:20 85:12 92:15 101:1 41:14 45:20 51:21 93:18,21 94:3,17 11:3,24 12:6 13:8 66:1 67:11 69:9 51:23,25 53:15,25 suggested 86:25 14:10 16:23 17:7 95:20,23 96:13,21 72:4 73:25 74:4.23 54:10 55:7 58:10 **suggesting** 9:15 92:23 19:9 20:19 21:2,8 96:22 97:7 98:3,6,7 78:25 79:15 85:17 90:1 91:23 102:3,9 93:1,2 98:5 109:11 21:15,20,21 23:24 98:11,16 99:6,21 89:8,23,24 91:23 108:9 109:7 110:13 **suggestion** 30:23 81:7 24:4,6,10,20 26:21 100:15 101:13 99:25 100:10 101:3 116:22 118:3,4 81:8 26:23 30:8 37:7 103:8 104:1,3,4,11 114:23 120:11 119:16 129:13,16 121:11 122:10.14 suggestions 104:6 45:19 46:13.16 104:12,24 107:1,2,6 taken 24:8 96:4 **suggests** 63:5 69:23 47:15 56:10,14,22 107:18 109:3,11,17 122:25 134:10 suit 100:21 takes 121:15 56:25 57:13,18 62:9 110:18,22,23 **timeframe** 26:1,3 talk 90:13 **Suite** 1:23 66:25 68:4 71:11 113:22 115:17,18 87:25 88:2 89:5 talked 70:6 97:1 **suited** 44:17 73:20 74:11,13 115:22 116:4 112:18 116:24 summarize 48:12 107:18 80:12 85:25 88:20 117:21 118:15,16 timeframes 12:9,10 **talking** 76:24 116:16 55:15 89:12.15 91:6 94:6 118:17 120:10,15 28:20 116:16 122:10 timely 70:3,5 summarizes 56:14 95:19 102:23 120:16 122:1,18 talks 106:14 summary 80:5 90:9 103:20,20 122:20 130:15 133:22 times 12:14 27:17 tap 111:23 summation 79:5 123:17,18,19 thinking 78:10 38:21 73:23 91:11 tape 112:6 sunshine 82:14 ThinkPad 84:19 131:21 134:20 93:19,22 104:16 task 133:24 superintendent 2:15 135:16 thinks 107:16 108:1 taxes 112:11 9:22 10:8,13 13:20 **thereof** 136:9 third 26:16 59:4 **timing** 6:23 7:7 13:3 taxpayer 104:21 45:13,18 46:16,19 thing 7:21 14:22 17:6 76:18 130:6 131:1 126:23 112:14 46:24 73:3 78:7 18:7 37:16 41:13 131:11 132:17,18 **Timothy** 1:22 3:4 taxpayers 112:10 102:16 105:12,18 132:20 55:24 66:13 76:20 136:4,14 team 61:8,10,20 superintendent's 86:6,22 98:11 115:3 **Thomas** 81:20 today 12:6 67:7 70:8 **Teams** 18:5 104:22 127:8 132:24 **thought** 7:24 15:20 71:4 90:9 102:13 tech 106:6 things 6:20 7:19 9:13 support 20:20 86:1 53:23 56:18 69:3 104:25 124:4,8 technology 57:20 supporting 110:25 20:24 23:23 38:20 81:7,13 124:3 told 22:11 84:25 60:8 64:2 79:20 **supposed** 64:18 77:13 61:15 64:10 65:11 threat 6:21 7:1 56:24 tomorrow 11:21 81:16 82:24 83:2 86:7 89:25 91:19 66:20 71:24 72:25 102:21 103:3 **tonight** 133:23 84:24 85:1 87:13 76:12 82:18 86:13 **Tony** 65:9,21 73:5 132:13 129:18 88:14 95:24 98:12 sure 10:10 30:7 33:21 86:17 91:3 94:13 **three** 26:16 27:6,23 top 126:19 112:8,8 119:24 36:14 46:3 61:1,6 95:8 98:9 101:11,22 28:22,25 29:9,11,16 topic 14:24 129:6 **topics** 13:3 61:19 74:22 78:3,11 104:10,18 105:1 31:10,12,21 35:20 technology's 78:24 94:12 99:3 100:18 106:19 107:10 36:1 48:17 85:12 total 69:2 **Telephonically** 2:2,4 112:19 115:15 108:2 111:10,21 112:4 126:2 127:9 **totally** 91:8 2:22 3:3 127:20 129:2 112:6 131:4 three-year 49:23 touched 65:9,11 tell 87:19 130:20 132:4 think 9:13 20:23 threshold 90:24 102:7

track 36:14 38:21
training 11:18
transaction 77:5
79:19,24 82:20
83:21,22 110:25
transactions 92:11
96:2 97:10 101:6
109:5 111:2 113:17
114:19 115:13,23
117:25 118:11
120:4
transcript 108:5
136:8
transcripts 67:12
transmission 62:2
transmit 23:4,12
89:20 92:23 93:23
106:25 107:13
108:12 109:5,11,12
110:12 119:24
120:1,20 135:5
transmittal 75:9 92:9
transmitted 108:6
109:14
transmitting 65:2
91:22
transpire 72:12
trash 64:4
treated 126:20
tricky 109:12
tried 68:6,16 102:18
117:17
triggers 51:5
trouble 35:25 54:20
true 34:5 134:10
136:8
truly 94:21
trust 112:14
try 10:16 72:12 73:10
92:3 112:25 117:11
117:15
trying 39:5 68:13
70:22 82:4 83:3
90:6 95:21 106:11
106:13 111:21
116:19 121:24
122:24
tune 132:8
turn 63:10 66:23
100:9
turned 50:18 65:7
turiitu 50.10 05.7

turning 66:7 twice 13:17 58:25 104:20 two 6:19 8:1 15:18 16:5 25:22 26:19 28:4 31:5,14,20 33:3 44:3 54:12 64:10 67:7 70:7 75:14 76:12 77:21 94:13 106:8 118:18 123:21 126:5.6 **type** 27:19,23 53:2 62:22 66:8 101:21 114:9 129:4 types 69:17 typically 125:16 127:12 130:25 **typing** 113:23 116:16

U ultimate 56:2 ultimately 25:25 61:13 **unable** 25:8 unanimous 19:23 20:1,6 uncertain 71:2 uncomfortable 55:1 71:19 72:10 uncovering 63:25 undercover 93:4 underlined 38:21 76:8 understand 34:21 46:22 70:16 80:16 82:11 85:7 87:11 91:11 104:19 understanding 46:6 49:11 67:11 82:23 92:5 107:24 understated 132:5 understood 82:14 unfortunately 72:21 90:11 **United** 84:13 University 81:20 unlimited 13:7 **upcoming** 125:13 **update** 24:22 25:3,7 25:13 26:4,6,23 58:6

updating 26:22 use 14:1 29:6 38:1 81:8 84:14,18 112:3 131:7 user 65:1 users 62:25 usual 23:11 usually 18:4 50:11

usually 18:4 50:11 \mathbf{V} **vacation** 100:19 Valerie 2:16 5:19,20 validate 76:10 validates 70:2 72:14 72:18,19 validity 95:2 **valuation** 131:8,17 **valuations** 131:11,20 **value** 12:16 variety 12:12 vendor 70:2 vendors 60:1 67:21 68:10.22 69:11.13 **verify** 86:12 version 33:1 39:11 43:19 versus 31:18 41:16 60:13,18 62:21 82:2 vice 2:2 4:11 19:4,6 19:11,14 20:4,9 21:8 30:14,17 31:7 31:8,18,19,22 103:7 Vickie 2:15 13:20 45:14 view 126:22 viewed 60:23 violations 84:1,21 virtual 58:1 virtually 20:13 voiced 62:19 64:13 voices 91:12 volunteers 12:22 Volusia 28:15 **vote** 19:19,23 20:1,6 21:3 23:11 24:8 29:9 36:7 54:18 55:7 59:10 75:22

135:1,2 voted 19:4 35:22,24 36:1 75:19 122:14 votes 121:7 122:11 voting 65:2 121:12 123:5

W wait 33:7 51:6 71:14 78:10 **waiting** 126:25 wall 51:3 72:5 Walsh 30:4 34:11 36:18 37:8 40:12 50:10 71:8,12 85:23 98:20 104:1,14 118:23 119:10 131:22 **WANDA** 2:12 want 12:6,17 23:19 30:6 32:7 46:9,9 49:2 51:6 52:18 62:5.10 65:4 69:7 70:15 73:19 75:7.9 75:9 76:13 78:3 84:25 85:14 94:12 97:15,16,19,20,20 98:3,21 101:11 102:1 104:5 110:13 111:3,20 112:22 113:6 115:10,11 117:22 126:5 129:2 wanted 17:9 24:16,19 45:20 46:20 52:6 55:13 58:23 60:17 61:1,6,15,18 71:13 76:20 88:21 90:25 95:14 99:15 107:25 134:14 wanting 15:24 wants 112:14 Wanza 2:16 5:19,20 5:20 9:21,24 10:3 23:20,25 102:14,25 103:4,17,20 **Wanza's** 103:12 Warehousing 2:22 57:12 74:15 94:18 100:1 warm 77:21

warranty 78:24

wasn't 67:13 68:19 69:9 71:22 72:7.11 72:11 73:6 87:17 89:1 100:6,16,18 wast 87:2 waste 104:21 way 8:4 9:4 16:9 41:9 49:1 71:18,25 83:16 85:11 89:21 91:18 105:17 107:6 109:23 111:15 117:9 123:9 125:3 ways 104:21 we'll 7:11 9:21 14:3 18:9 19:19 26:9 63:17 103:13,19 108:12 we're 6:24 7:25 9:9 14:14,16 18:14,16 22:10,16 35:17 38:12,25 39:13 43:18 50:3 51:6 53:19 54:5 62:11 64:15,20,25 65:1 75:11,19,25 76:2,7 77:18 78:2,4,12 83:1,2 86:2 89:24 90:16 94:12.25 99:13 101:2 103:8 104:7 106:20 108:3 109:1 111:12 112:23 113:1 115:13.15.17 116:16,25 118:16 122:24 124:1,7 125:24 126:23,25 we've 11:9 33:8 35:6 44:22 48:12 52:14 53:5 69:16,17,19 74:17 78:11 95:23 106:15,16 107:2,3 128:21 weakness 128:13,15 weaknesses 93:17 Wednesday 102:24 week 46:4 week's 122:5 weeks 25:22 85:18 **welcome** 26:25 went 90:17,20 98:1

98:25 99:1 106:22

91:23 104:13

107:23 108:18

117:19 120:17

121:8,15 122:5,6

600 1:11 weren't 82:23 86:22 117:17 47:22 49:11 106:5,7,21 **633** 1:23 86:25 96:23 124:3 writing 72:4 79:10 **10,000** 84:13 **2018** 88:24 whitewash 66:10 90:2 96:7 112:7 **10:49** 1:14 4:3 **2019** 106:4,9,22 69 65:18 whitewashed 65:13 written 37:25 71:9 **100** 100:7 111:14 112:23 7 whitewashing 105:7 83:25 109:8 **10th** 59:18 **2020** 60:23 125:25 **7** 19:10 37:25 76:14 112:17 wrote 117:24 118:2 11 6:25 9:2 24:11 **2021** 1:13 126:12 76:17 77:21 **whoever's** 50:23 **11:25** 5:16 20:12 136:10 \mathbf{X} **70.000** 84:13 108:4 **11:30** 22:12 **2021-2022** 4:7 20:19 **Williams** 72:23 11th 25:7 58:1 **2022** 11:8 19:14 Y 8 **12** 6:25 9:2,3 22:20 **willing** 52:24 127:14 **yeah** 7:18 10:7 14:19 8 38:1 58:8,17 window 25:21 24:11 **21** 74:19 30:16 34:12 36:12 800 132:2,12,14 wish 58:6 61:21,25 **12:30** 5:14 **218.391** 25:2 26:5 42:18 43:5 45:8 133:18 66:5 85:13 102:23 12th 1:13 58:2 34:15 38:4 42:25 56:6 77:8 84:16 **81** 85:17 wondering 53:14 13 9:3 22:20 24:11 43:17 55:23 96:11 103:9 109:3 **82** 92:8 113:13 **Woods** 72:23 59:19 **22nd** 58:1,4 110:22 115:11 119:23 word 43:5 44:4 50:13 **14** 8:15 9:3,17 10:5 **23rd** 136:10 116:15 117:14 **820** 131:15.15 51:10,16,21,23 56:4 22:21 24:12.21 **24** 88:22 118:4,19 **84** 126:7 58:13,14 81:8 59:21 67:5 75:23 **24th** 25:10,15 56:1 year 4:7 10:9 11:8 wording 9:12 38:19 **14th** 25:16,19 60:22 **250** 132:9 9 12:2 14:20 19:14 72:14 76:15 111:18 **15** 25:20 47:22 **27th** 25:6,9 133:8 9th 59:7 60:23 20:19 37:20 44:2 words 39:3 41:7,14 **15,000** 84:18 28th 58:1 51:4 75:15 126:8,15 45:2,17 53:19 72:16 **15th** 11:14.23 **29** 132:25 133:1 127:9,11,13 128:16 92:5 96:21 101:2,4 **16** 96:16,16 117:3 2B 26:12 129:24 132:8 109:8 120:13 **16-059** 99:23 133:13 3 wordsmith 96:8 **16-059E** 98:22 118:9 year's 106:24 **3** 6:14,20 83:14,17 107:5 114:15 119:2,14 years 44:3,3,8,9,24 **30** 81:20 112:12 wordsmithing 50:4 **16-095** 110:24 45:6,10 47:20,21,23 **30-day** 25:20 work 17:22 27:23,24 16059-E 57:21 48:15,17 49:11,12 **30-year** 111:23 112:3 27:25 28:6 45:23 **17** 57:19 49:18,24 50:8 69:19 **30th** 11:15 103:18 49:16 50:21 53:2 **17th** 4:4 13:12 21:17 81:21 82:8,20 97:3 **3100** 8:15 24:23 25:2 61:4 81:23 89:20 24:21,24 25:4,11 100:7 103:24 105:1 25:4,7,9,13 26:4,6,6 90:17 95:2 102:8 58:2 105:2 106:8 112:4 26:8 39:8 56:3 127:1 132:13,20 **18** 60:11 62:21,25 112:12 127:2,9 **33301** 1:24 134:7 76:5 113:13 yes/no 86:12 **36** 58:8,17 59:14,19 worked 66:3 93:15 **19** 60:7 62:21 76:6 yesterday 13:18 59:21 working 13:14,25 75:19 131:25 132:1 2 **391** 41:17 42:7 62:7 66:3 132:15,21 **2** 6:20 7:1 26:11,17 **3rd** 1:11,23 99:24 works 112:5 **vielded** 27:21 workshop 25:6,8,10 26:18 27:6 40:10 4 41:4 43:10 55:18 25:14 55:25 \mathbf{Z} **4** 27:11 43:19 53:21 68:25 78:8 world 48:16 84:14 zero 72:8 86:2 87:15 **2(b)** 34:15 124:16 **2:00** 99:24 100:2 worried 101:2 122:22 0 5 20 47:22 127:13 worse 34:9,11 **5** 11:5 16:11 18:17 134:12 worth 66:21 1 79:19 80:1 **200** 1:23 worthy 8:11 77:7 **1** 130:13 131:3,13 5-to-4 59:10 **2013** 101:16 would've 105:10 **1:00** 102:18 123:13 **50** 17:25 **2014** 133:11.15 wouldn't 17:5 81:18 **1:30** 123:25 **2015** 59:18 88:5 105:9 108:24 **1:32** 1:14 135:18 6 99:24 100:6,17,19 **WRIGHT** 1:10 10 9:3,16,19 10:4 6 18:21,23 79:19 **2016** 59:7 88:3,24 write 56:19 95:21 22:7,9,15 23:1 60 87:3